Homoleptic Rare-Earth Metal Complexes Containing Ln–C σ -Bonds[†]

Melanie Zimmermann[‡] and Reiner Anwander^{*,‡,§}

Department of Chemistry, University of Bergen, Allégaten 41, 5007 Bergen, Norway, and Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Eberhard-Karls-Universität Tübingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 18, 72076 Tübingen, Germany

Received April 22, 2010

6254

Contents

1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	Introdu 1. Gei 2. Sco 3. Nor 4. His	ction neral Introduction—Need of This Review ope of This Review menclature tory of Homoleptic Rare-Earth Metal dro)Carbyl Complexes	6195 6195 6195 6196 6197
1.	5. Svr	thesis Protocols and Other Considerations	6198
2.	Methyl	Complexes	6200
2.	1. Syr [Li([Ln]	thesis, Structure, and Properties of donor) _x] ₃ [LnMe ₆], Li ₃ Ln ₂ Me ₉ (thf) _x (Et ₂ O) _y , and Me ₃] ₀	6200
2.	2. [Li(Pre	donor) _x] ₃ [LnMe ₆] and [LnMe ₃] _n as Synthesis cursors	6201
3.	Tetraal	kylaluminate Complexes	6203
3.	1. Syr [Ln	thesis, Structure, and Properties of $(II)(AIR_4)_2]_n$	6203
3.	2. [Ln	(II)(AIR ₄) ₂] _n as Synthesis Precursors	6204
3.	3. Syr Ln(thesis, Structure, and Properties of $III)(AIR_4)_3$	6204
3.	4. Ln(III)(AIMe ₄) ₃ as Synthesis Precursors	6207
4.	Tetram	ethylgallate Complexes Ln(GaMe ₄) ₃	6210
5.	Dimeth Li₃{Ce[(ylaminopropyl Complexes (CH ₂) ₃ NMe ₂] ₆ } and Li{Yb[(CH ₂) ₃ NMe ₂] ₄ }	6211
6.	tert-But	ylate Complexes [Li(solv) _x][Ln <i>t</i> Bu ₄]	6211
7.	Neoper	tyl Complexes Ln(CH ₂ tBu) ₃ (thf) ₂	6212
8.	(Trimet	hylsilyl)methyl Complexes	6213
8.	1. Syr Ln(ithesis, Structure, and Properties of III)(CH ₂ SiMe ₃) ₃ (solv) _x and $(III)(CH_2SiMe_3)_3(solv)_x$	6213
0		$ O((SOV)_X L((C \square_2 S)(V \square_3)/4) $	601E
0.	2. LII(001	$Cn_2Sine_3)_3(iii)_x$ as Synthesis Freculsols	6016
	0.2.1.	$[Ln(CH_2SiMe_3)_{3-n}(donor)_1]^{n+}[anion]^{n-}$	0210
	8.2.2.	Half-Sandwich Complexes	6217
	8.2.3.	Constraint Geometry Complexes	6219
	8.2.4.	Complexes with Neutral Nitrogen- and Oxygen-Based Ligands	6219
	8.2.5.	Complexes with Monoanionic Nitrogen-, Oxygen-, and Phosphorus-Based Ligands	6220
	8.2.6.	Complexes with Dianionic Nitrogen- and Oxygen-Based Ligands	6222
9.	[Dimeth	ıyl(phenyl)silyl]methyl Complexes	6224

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel.: (+49) 7071 2972069. Fax: (+49) 7071 292436. E-mail: reiner.anwander@uni-tuebingen.de. † In memory of Herbert Schumann.

* University of Bergen.

[§] Eberhard-Karls-Universität Tübingen.

9	.1.	Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of Ln(CH ₂ SiMe ₂ Ph) ₂ (thf) ₂	6224
9	.2.	Ln(CH ₂ SiMe ₂ Ph) ₃ (thf) ₂ as Synthesis Precursors	6225
10.	Bis	(trimethylsilyl)methyl Complexes	6226
10	.1.	Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of $Ln(II)[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_2(solv)_x$ and $(Masku) \ge 1$	6226
10	.2.	Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of Ln(III)[CH(SiMe ₃) ₂] ₃ and Ln(III)[CH(SiMe ₃) ₂] ₃ and	6227
10	3	In(III)[CH(SiMe ₂)] ₂ as Synthesis Precursors	6230
11.	Tris	s(trimethylsilyl)methyl Complexes	6233
11	.1.	Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of	6233
		$Ln(II)[C(SiMe_3)_3]_2$ and $Ln(II)[C(SiMe_3)_2(SiMe_2R)]_2$	
11	.2.	Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of Related Ln(II) SilyImethyl Complexes	6235
12.	Mix	ed Alkyl Complex Lu(CH ₂ SiMe ₃) ₂ (CHPh ₂)(thf) ₂	6236
13.	Ber	ızyl Complexes	6236
13	.1.	Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of $Ln(III)(CH_2Ph^R)_3(donor)_x$	6236
13	.2.	$Ln(CH_2Ph^R)_3(donor)_x$ as Synthesis Precursors	6237
13	.3.	Synthesis, Structure, Properties, and Derivatization of	6238
		$Ln(II)[CH(SiMe_3)C_6H_4-o-NMe_2]_2(thf)_2$	
13	.4.	Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of $Ln(III)(CH_2C_6H_4-o-NMe_2)_3$ and	6239
		$Ln(III)(CH_2C_6H_4-o-SiMe_3)_3$	
13	.5.	Ln(CH ₂ C ₆ H ₄ -o-NMe ₂) ₃ as Synthesis Precursors	6241
14.	Phe	enyl Complexes	6242
14	.1.	Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of $Ln(II)(Ph^{P})_{2}(solv)_{x}$	6242
14	.2.	Ln(II)(Ph ^R) ₂ (solv) _x as Synthesis Precursors	6243
14	.3.	Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of $Ln(III)(Ph^{R})_{3}(solv)_{x}$ and $[Li(solv)_{x}][Ln(III)(Ph^{R})_{x}]$	6245
14	.4.	$Ln(III)(Ph^{R})_{3}(solv)_{r}$ as Synthesis Precursors	6247
14	.5.	Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of	6249
		Mixed-Valent Ph ₂ Yb(III)(thf)(µ-Ph) ₃ Yb(II)(thf) ₃	
15.	Alk	ynide Complexes	6250
15	.1.	Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of $Ln(II)(C \equiv CR)_2$ and $H[Ln(II)(C \equiv CR)_3]$	6250
15	.2.	Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of $Ln(III)(C \equiv CR)_3$ and $[cation][Ln(III)(C \equiv CR)_4]$	6252
16.	Cor	nclusions and Perspectives	6253
17.	Ack	nowledgments	6254

10.1021/cr1001194 © 2010 American Chemical Society Published on Web 09/29/2010

18. References

1. Introduction

1.1. General Introduction—Need of This Review

The past decade has witnessed an enormous growth in the chemistry of σ -bonded rare-earth metal (Ln) alkyls, particularly due to their relevance for Ziegler-type anionic polymerization catalysis and related catalytic transformations such as olefin hydrogenation, hydrosilylation, and alkyne dimerization. A highly desirable feature of these application-driven research efforts was the new fundamental organorare-earth metal chemistry, which has been developing in parallel. It is only the availability and utilization of pure ("well-characterized") σ -bonded rareearth metal alkyl complexes of the type $Ln^{II}R_2$ and $Ln^{III}R_3$ that ensures advanced precatalyst synthesis and design and, hence, a meaningful interpretation of fundamental structure-reactivity relationships. Because the reactivity and catalytic activity of organolanthanide complexes are highly dependent on the size of the rare-earth metal center, it was of utmost importance to gain access to structurally characterized derivatives, with Ln^{III}R₃-trivalent derivatives being the most relevant for catalytic transformations, spanning the entire size range (ScIII \rightarrow La^{III}). This, however, turned out to be a major challenge because the thermodynamic and/or kinetic stability of such homoleptic complexes crucially depends on the size of the rareearth metal cation and the synthesis protocol applied. While the catalytic performance of σ -bonded rare-earth metal (Ln) alkyls has been an integral part and the main focus of several recent surveys on organolanthanide chemistry (see Table 1), $^{1-25}$ more fundamental aspects of the lanthanide-carbon σ -bond were described lately in a 1997 review by S. A. Cotton.¹ Albeit giving some consideration to important catalytic applications, the main emphasis of our presentation is clearly on the synthesis, structure, and properties of homoleptic alkyl complexes and their utilization as synthesis precursors.

1.2. Scope of This Review

To restrict the range of this review, several assumptions, limitations, and definitions were carried out. The term "rare-earth metal" will be used for the group 3 elements scandium, yttrium, and the 14 lanthanides (lanthanum-lutetium) excluding promethium. The elements will be abbreviated by Ln. The only work which will be surveyed is that related to the chemistry of homoleptic rare-earth metal complexes with a single type of monovalent (hydro)carbyl ligand, that is, forming Ln-C σ -bonds (Chart 1 shows examples of (hydro)carbyl complexes, which are beyond the scope of this review).²⁶⁻⁴¹ Compounds containing donor solvent molecules (solv) as the only additional ligands are also referred to as homoleptic (e.g., $Ln(CH_2SiMe_3)_3(thf)_x$). Only isolated and characterized derivatives will be included. In this regard, the discussion of in situ generated compounds is omitted; however, some examples are included in sections 8 and 14 paying tribute to their value as rare-earth metal alkyl synthesis precursors.

Main emphasis will be put on synthesis approaches toward homoleptic rare-earth metal (hydro)carbyl complexes, whereas consideration is given to difficulties/imponderabilities of the respective synthesis strategies. The (thermal) stability as well as properties in solution and in the solid state will be presented. Moreover, the derivatization of the homoleptic (hydro)carbyl complexes with (ancillary) proligands will be



Melanie Zimmermann, born in 1977, studied chemistry at the Technische Universität München, Germany, where she received her diploma in 2003. She earned her Ph.D. in organometallic chemistry under the guidance of Reiner Anwander as a joint degree from the Technische Universität München, Germany, and the University of Bergen, Norway. In 2005, she spent four months as a fellow of the Bayerische Forschungsstiftung at Stanford University, Stanford, California, U.S.A., in the group of Robert M. Waymouth. Her Ph.D. thesis dealt with rare-earth metal alkyls, which is also part of this review. She spent her postdoctoral work as a fellow of the Norwegian Research Foundation in the group of Reiner Anwander at the University of Bergen, Norway, and the Eberhard-Karls-University in Tübingen, Germany. In 2009, she moved to John E. Bercaw's group at the California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, U.S.A. Among several fellowships, she received the Birkeland Award in Physics and Chemistry in 2009.

Reiner Anwander was born in 1961 in Schwabmünchen (Bavaria, Germany). He studied chemistry at the Technische Universität München TUM, where he also obtained his Diploma in 1989 and Dr. rer. nat. degree in 1992, both under the supervision of Wolfgang A. Herrmann. This was followed by postdoctoral research on organolanthanide chemistry with Bill Evans at the University of California, Irvine, California, U.S.A. Then he spent three years at the Universität Stuttgart starting his "habilitation" on "surface organometallic chemistry on nanoporous materials", which he completed in 2000 at TUM. From 2005 until 2008, he held the position "Heterogeneous Catalysis" at the University of Bergen, Norway. He joined the faculty of the Eberhardt-Karls University Tübingen, Germany, in 2009. His research interests include organometallic chemistry, nanostructured materials, and catalysis.

surveyed and important catalytic applications will be emphasized. Only heteroleptic complexes of the type [L]Ln-[(hydro)carbyl]_x(solv)_y (L = ancillary ligand) directly derived from homoleptic rare-earth metal (hydro)carbyl precursors are included (for clarification, see Scheme 1). The present review is based on peer-reviewed papers written in English or German; patents are, however, not considered. Relevant literature is covered up until the end of 2008.

Table 1. Review Articles Covering Predominantly Ln-C Based Organorare-Earth Metal Chemistry

outhors	title	NOOR	rof
autions	uue	year	Iei
Cotton, F. A.	Alkyls and Aryls of Transition Metals	1955	2
Davidson, P. J.; Lappert, M. F.;	Stable Homoleptic Metal Alkyls	1974	3
Pearce R.		1074	
Davidson, P. J.; Lappert, M. F.;	Metal σ -Hydrocarbyls, MR _n . Stoichiometry, Structures,	1976	4
Pearce R.	Stabilities, and Thermal Decomposition Pathways	1076	-
Tsutsui, M.; Ely, N.; Dubois, R.	σ -Bonded Organic Derivatives of f Elements	1976	5
Lappert, M. F.	Unusual Metal Alkyls	1978	6
Schumann, H.	Organolanthanoid Compounds	1984	7
Schumann, H.	Organometallic Compounds of the Rare Earths	1985	8
Bochkarev, M. N.; Kalinina,	Advances in the Chemistry of Organolanthanides	1985	9
G. S.; Bochkarev, L. N.			
Evans, W. J.	The organometallic chemistry of the lanthanide elements in	1987	10
	low oxidation states		
Koschmieder, S. U.; Wilkinson,	Homoleptic and Related Aryls of Transition Metals	1991	11
G.			
Steinborn, D.	On the Influence of Heteroatoms in α - and β -Functionalized	1992	12
	Alkyl Transition-Metal Compounds		
Schaverien, C. J.	Organometallic Chemistry of the Lanthanides	1994	13
Edelmann, F. T.	Cyclopentadienyl-free Organolanthanide Chemistry	1995	14
Edelmann, F. T.	Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II	1995	15
Eaborn, C.; Izod, K.; Smith, J. D.	Synthesis, structure and reactions of organometallic	1995	16
	compounds of Groups 1–3 containing bulky		
	silicon-substituted alkyl groups		
Zakharov, L. N.; Struchkov, Y. T.	X-ray study of organic and organoelement compounds of the	1997	17
	lanthanides		
Cotton, S. A.	Aspects of the lanthanide–carbon σ -bond	1997	1
Edelmann, F. T.; Freckmann,	Synthesis and Structural Chemistry of Non-Cyclopentadienyl	2002	18
D. M. M.; Schumann, H.	Organolanthanide Complexes		
Deacon, G. B.; Forsyth, C. M.;	Bis(pentafluorophenyl)mercury-A versatile synthon in	2002	19
Nickel, S.	organo-, organooxo-, and organoamido-lanthanoid chemistry		
Piers, W. E.; Emslie, D. J. H.	Noncyclopentadienyl ancillaries in organogroup 3 metal	2002	20
	chemistry: A fine balance in ligand design		
Arndt, S.; Okuda, J.	Mono(cyclopentadienyl) Complexes of the Rare-Earth Metals	2002	21
Hou, Z.; Wakatsuki, Y.	Science of Synthesis	2002	22
Hou, Z.	Recent Progress in the Chemistry of Rare Earth Metal Alkyl	2003	23
	and Hydrido Complexes Bearing Mono(cyclopentadienyl)		
	Ligands		
Mountford, P.; Ward, B. D.	Recent developments in the noncyclopentadienyl	2003	24
	organometallic and related chemistry of scandium		
Zeimentz, P. M.; Arndt, S.;	Cationic Organometallic Complexes of Scandium, Yttrium,	2006	25
Elvidge, B. R.; Okuda, J.	and the Lanthanoids		

Chart 1. Examples of (Hydro)carbyl Complexes beyond the Scope of This Review



Scheme 1. Heteroleptic Complexes Included and Excluded in This Review

included:	
$Ln(CH_2SiMe_3)_3(thf)_x + H(C_5Me_5)$	- SiMe ₄
	(C ₅ Me ₅)Ln(CH ₂ SiMe ₃) ₂ (thf) _y
excluded:	
$(C_5Me_5)LnCl_2(thf)_x + 2 LiCH_2SiMe_3$	- 2 LiCl
	(C ₅ Me ₅)Ln(CH ₂ SiMe ₃) ₂ (thf) _y

1.3. Nomenclature

The review will be organized according to the type of monovalent (hydro)carbyl ligand and the oxidation state of the rare-earth metal center. Compounds will be labeled following the system shown in Figure 1. Ligand variations and cations are indicated by superscripts after the complex type designation; rare-earth metal centers and retained donor solvent molecules are specified by a subscript.

Homoleptic rare-earth metal (hydrocarbyl) complexes will be named A-AH (Table 2). Heteroleptic derivatives derived thereof will be numbered with Arabic numerals 1-263(Figure 2).



Figure 1. Compound labeling scheme for this review.

1.4. History of Homoleptic Rare-Earth Metal (Hydro)Carbyl Complexes

The way in which a metal atom and a carbon moiety establish a chemical bond between themselves is the contrary of a Romeo and Juliet story! The two elements can get together, but actually they do not want to.⁴² This rather romantic description by Schlosser of the supposedly inherent thermodynamic instability and/or kinetic lability of metal alkyl complexes reflects not only the long search for stable derivatives of the *d*-transition and rare-earth metals.⁴³ In fact, the statement nicely scenarizes the main motivation why chemists have not been desperate in pursuing research in this field: lack of thermodynamic/kinetic stability means a high reactivity and hence catalytic potential!

After Frankland's epoch-making discovery of the spontaneously inflammable $ZnEt_2$ in 1849,⁴⁴ it was not until 60 years later when Pope and his co-worker Peachy isolated heteroleptic Me₃PtCl as the first stable solid metal complex bearing single bonds to saturated carbon.^{45,46} Another 40 years later, the solid-state structures of [Me₃PtX]₄ (X = Me, I) were determined by X-ray diffraction analyses.⁴⁷ Until the



Figure 2. Examples of the compound labeling in this review.

1960s, homoleptic metal σ -hydrocarbyls were described for most of the main group elements, albeit only in the higher oxidation states for elements such as Hg, Tl, Sn, or Pb.⁴⁸ Unsuccessful attempts to prepare other simple transition metal alkyl derivatives were still attributed to the low stability of such compounds^{2,49} The following decade was marked by significant progress in the transition metal chemistry of σ -hydrocarbyl ligands, and the long established view that the transition metal-to-carbon bond is weak became untenable and had to be discarded.^{50,51} However, homoleptic transition metal compounds were unusual and the known compounds were highly unstable (TiMe₄, ZrMe₄).^{52–54} A breakthrough in organo-transition metal alkyl chemistry was independently

Table 2. Compound Labeling According to the Type of Monovalent (Hydro)Carbyl Ligand

label	monovalent hydrocarbyl ligand	Ln oxidation state
Α	Me (anionic complexes)	III
В	Me	III
С	AlR_4 (R = Me, Et)	II
D	AlR_4 (R = Me, Et)	III
Е	GaMe ₄	III
F	$(CH_2)_3NMe_2$	III
G	tBu	III
Н	CH ₂ tBu ₃	III
J	CH_2SiMe_3	III
K	CH_2SiMe_3 (anionic complexes)	III
L	CH ₂ SiMe ₂ Ph	III
Μ	$CH(SiMe_3)_2$	II
Ν	$CH(SiMe_3)_2$ (anionic complexes)	II
0	$CH(SiMe_3)_2$	III
Р	CH(SiMe ₃)(SiMe ₂ OMe)	III
Q	$C(SiMe_3)_3$	II
R	$C(SiMe_3)_2(SiMe_2R)$ (R = OMe, CHCH ₂ , (CH ₂) ₂ OEt)	II
S	(Me ₃ Si) ₂ CSiMe ₂ (CH ₂) ₂ SiMe ₂ C(SiMe ₃) ₂	II
Т	$(Me_3Si)_2CSiMe_2OSiMe_2C(SiMe_3)_2$	II
U	(Me ₃ Si)(SiMe ₂ OMe)CSiMe ₂ (CH ₂) ₂ SiMe ₂ C(SiMe ₃)(SiMe ₂ OMe)	II
\mathbf{V}	CH_2Ph^R (R = H, Me-4, Me_2-3,5, <i>t</i> Bu-4)	III
\mathbf{W}	CH(SiMe ₃)C ₆ H ₄ -o-NMe ₂	II
X	$CH_2C_6H_4$ - <i>o</i> -NMe ₂	III
Y	CH ₂ C ₆ H ₄ - <i>o</i> -SiMe ₃ (anionic complexes)	III
Z	$Ph^{R} (Ph^{R} = C_{6}H_{5}, C_{6}F_{5}, C_{6}F_{4}-p-H, C_{6}H_{3}Ph_{2}-2,6)$	II
AA	Ph ^R (Ph ^R = C ₆ H ₅ , C ₆ F ₅ , C ₆ H ₄ - <i>p</i> -Me, C ₆ H ₄ - <i>p</i> -Et, C ₆ H ₃ (O <i>i</i> Pr) ₂ -2,6,	III
A D	$C_6H_3(OC_6H_{11})_2$ -2,0) DbB (onionic complexes) (DbB = C U C U Mc 2.6	ш
AD	Ph ⁻ (anonic complexes) (Ph ⁻ $- C_6H_5$, $C_6H_3Me_2-2,6$,	111
	$C_{6}\Pi_{3}(O(\Gamma_{1})_{2}-2,0)$	Ш
AC	$O - C_6 \Pi_4 C \Pi_2 N IVIE_2$	
AD	$C = C \mathbf{P} \left(\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{D} \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{f} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{M} \mathbf{a} \right)$	11/111 11
AL	C = CR (R - PII, IBU, ME) $C = CR (anionia complexes) (R - C H)$	11 11
Ar	$C = CR$ (anionic complexes) ($R - C_4 \Pi_9$) C = CR ($R - Dh + Rh$ dendrit)	11 111
AG	C = CR (R - PII, IBU, definit)	111
AH	$C = CK (K = Pn, IBu, C_4H_9)$	111

achieved by the groups of Lappert and Wilkinson. With the introduction of bulky alkyl groups like $[CH_2SiMe_3]$, $[CH(SiMe_3)_2]$, $[CH_2CMe_3]$, or $[CH_2Ph]$, stable transition metal alkyl complexes became accessible, suggesting that transition metal carbon bonds are not inherently weak.^{55–58} The synthesis of kinetically stable complexes rather depends on the choice of a suitable ligand.

The first attempted preparation of an organorare-earth metal compound surfaced most likely as early as 1902 in the Anorganisch-Chemisches Laboratorium at Königlich Technische Hochschule zu München. Back then, Muthmann and Kraft investigated, among others, into the reactivity of metallic cerium and lanthanum-freshly prepared from LnCl₃ by way of electrolysis-toward hydrogen and ethylene, affording the binary rare-earth metal hydrides.⁵⁹ In a footnote of this paper, it is stated that, in the same laboratory, Dr. J. Brunner has conducted a series of experiments in order to synthesize trimethylcerium. Accordingly, the most promising results were obtained when metallic cerium was treated with HgMe₂ in a sealed ampule. Upon distilling off unreacted dimethylmercury under a current of carbonic acid, a material remained that, when exposed to air, took fire, instantaneously releasing an exceedingly unpleasant smell. It was also noted that the material, putative CeMe₃, could not be isolated in a pure form. Because of the fact that the valence electrons of the rare-earth elements can reside in orbitals with different main quantum numbers, A. v. Grosse concluded in 1925 that these elements do not qualify to form alkyl complexes.⁶⁰ Certainly, such theoretical statements further stirred the inventive talent of synthesis-driven chemists, true to the motto "the importance of questioning scientific assumptions".⁶¹ Ten years later, Rice and Rice provided further positive evidence for the formation of alkyl compounds when exposing a lanthanum mirror to methyl radicals in an experiment utilizing the Paneth technique.62 Next, the synthesis of $LnEt_3(OEt_2)_x$ (Ln = Sc, Y) from the respective chlorides LnCl₃ and EtMgBr in ether followed by purification via distillation at 200 °C under a current of nitrogen had been claimed by Plets in 1938.⁶³ The synthesis, however, could never be repeated. In connection with the Manhattan project, Gilman and Jones attempted the preparation of organolanthanide compounds. Reacting LaCl₃ with phenyllithium in ether or lanthanum metal with diphenylmercury at 135 °C in a sealed tube for 100 days yielded biphenyl rather than benzoic acid after treating the reaction mixture with CO₂.⁶⁴ The formation of a "thick brown syrup" accompanied by evolution of methane was described when LaCl₃ and methyllithium reacted at ambient temperature. In 1955, an evaluation of the hitherto existing studies on rareearth metal σ -bonded hydrocarbyls led to the conclusion *that* the existence of isolable, well-defined (at least in composition) alkyl or aryl derivatives is extremely meager or nonexistent.² This was stated one year after Wilkinson and Birmingham succeeded in the synthesis, isolation, and comprehensive characterization (except X-ray structure analysis) of $Ln(C_5H_5)_3$ (Ln = Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, Gd, Dy, Er, Yb), the first " π -bonded" complexes of the rareearth metals.⁶⁵ In 1968, Hart and Saran reported the synthesis of $Sc(C_6H_5)_3$ as the first genuine σ -bonded organometallic compound of a rare-earth element.⁶⁶ With [Li(thf)₄][Lu(C₆H₃-Me₂-2,6)₄], Hart and co-workers further provided the first structural proof of a σ -bonded *f*-transition metal alkyl/aryl in 1972.67 Attempts to obtain permethylated rare-earth metal complexes "LnMe3" as the simplest organometallic derivatives are strongly related to Schumann.^{8,68,69} In the early 1980s, Schumann and Müller succeeded in the synthesis of thermally stable ate complexes $[Li(donor)]_3[LnMe_6]$,⁷⁰ but it took another 20 years until the elusive neutral rare-earth metal methyl complexes $[LnMe_3]_n$ were isolated and characterized by us.⁷¹

In accordance with *d*-transition metal chemistry, the introduction of "neopentyl"-type ligands [CH₂CMe₃], and particularly the silyl-substituted variants [CH₂SiMe₃], [CH(SiMe₃)₂], and [C(SiMe₃)₃], by the groups of Lappert and Eaborn opened up prolific organorare-earth metal chemistry.^{72–74} It was not until 1988 when the structural characterization of the first neutral homoleptic rare-earth metal σ -bonded hydrocarbyl complexes Ln[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃ (Ln = La, Sm) was reported by Hitchcock et al.⁷⁵ Until today, such (trimethylsilyl)methane derivatives are the most widely applied alkyl ligands in rare-earth metal chemistry.

1.5. Synthesis Protocols and Other Considerations

Associated with the exceptional progression in the field of rare-earth metal hydrocarbyls, several synthesis routes to homoleptic rare-earth metal alkyl, aralkyl, and alkynyl complexes have been developed. Scheme 2 indicates common synthesis pathways toward the formation of Ln(III)–C-(hydrocarbyl) bonds. Important compound-specific details and differing synthesis protocols are mentioned in the following sections.

Rare-earth metal halides are suitable precursors for a variety of rare-earth metal hydrocarbyl compounds. Traditional salt-metathesis reaction of $LnX_3(solv)_x$ and an organoalkali metal compound therefore remains by far the predominant synthesis route (Scheme 2a). However, incorporation of alkali metal salts and ate complex formation are often observed (vide infra). As this is usually an undesired feature and particularly pronounced in rare-earth metal alkyl chemistry, alternative synthesis routes involving well-defined metalorganic precursors have been developed.

The transformation of lanthanide alkoxide bonds to lanthanide alkyl bonds in some cases is an attractive alternative to the traditional salt-metathesis reaction (Scheme 2b). The outcome of this kinetically controlled metathesis reaction is very sensitive toward slight changes of reaction conditions and the properties of the reactants, though.

Oxidation of rare-earth metals (Scheme 2c) and transmetalation reactions (Scheme 2d) as synthesis protocols are Scheme 2. Synthesis Routes to Homoleptic Rare-Earth



so far limited to the few lanthanide elements with readily available divalent oxidation states (Sm, Eu, Yb). Because of the comparatively low acidity of hydrocarbon acids (vide infra), an [alkyl] \rightarrow [alkyl] exchange as a synthesis route toward homoleptic rare-earth metal hydrocarbyl complexes could only be utilized for relatively Brønsted acidic alkynes (Scheme 2e).

Peralkylation of rare-earth metal amide complexes ([amide] \rightarrow [alkyl] transformation) using Lewis acidic group 13 alkyls (AIR₃, GaMe₃) offers an elegant route to heterobimetallic Ln/M alkyl compounds (Scheme 2f). Strongly connected to the intrinsic properties of such heterobimetallic compounds, the donor cleavage of a tetraalkylaluminate moiety was found to be a unique route to highly reactive rare-earth metal methyl compounds (Scheme 2g). The reverse adduct formation displays an economic pathway toward several other bimetallic compounds (Scheme 2h).

The large rare-earth metal cations are characterized by high electrophilicity and the ability to support high coordination numbers (8-12).⁷⁶ The 4*f* valence orbitals of the lanthanides are embedded in the interior of the ion, well shielded by the $5s^2$ and $5p^6$ orbitals.⁷⁷ Consequently, their poor overlap with ligand orbitals contributes to the predominant ionic character of organolanthanide complexes. Thus, the chemistry of the rare-earth metal complexes is rather governed by electrostatic and steric requirements than by filled orbital considerations. The gradual decrease in ionic radius (lanthanide contraction) and the limited radial extension of the valence orbitals are manifested in subtle reactivity changes of complexes with analogous ligand environments but different rare-earth metal centers.^{78–81}

The given intrinsic properties of rare-earth metal cations imply challenges inherent to the accessibility and stability of homoleptic rare-earth metal hydrocarbyl complexes. Besides extreme sensitivity toward air and moisture, the large size of the rare-earth metal cation and its preference for high coordination numbers are the main challenges to be met by the hydrocarbyl ligand.⁴² Potential ligands have to provide enough steric bulk and/or additionally coordinating groups to achieve steric and electronic saturation of the rare-earth metal center. In the absence of such bulky ligands, steric and electronic saturation is achieved by various methods, severely influencing the complex stability and reactivity:

Formation of Anionic or Ate Complexes

The formation of anionic rare-earth metal ligand moieties or ate complexation are commonly observed features of saltmetathesis reactions when alkali metal hydrocarbyl derivatives are employed (vide infra). Ate complexation, as the main reaction pathway or as contamination, occurs via coordination of additional counterligands or alkali metal halide incorporation. Because of the additional electronic and steric saturation of the metal environment, as a rule the reactivity of ate complexes is significantly decreased.

Donor Interactions/Solvent Complexation

Solvent complexation usually results from salt-metathesis reactions carried out in ethereal solvents such as Et_2O or tetrahydrofuran (thf) (vide infra). Solvent coordination usually decreases the reactivity of Ln-R bonds by depolarization, steric saturation, and competitive reactions. As the majority of organic transformations mediated/catalyzed by lanthanide centers depends on the precoordination of a

neutral, functionalized substrate, solvent coordination possibly competes or even suppresses substrate coordination by stereoelectronic saturation of the rare-earth metal center. However, donor coordination in many cases allows for the isolation of otherwise labile homoleptic rare-earth metal hydrocarbyl complexes. It can further enforce crystallization and disrupt polymeric networks.

Formation of Polymeric Networks

Steric and electronic factors often force the stabilization of monometallic species via agglomerization (vide infra). Formation of di- and multinuclear species is achieved by intermolecular bridging of the smallest, most reactive, and labile Ln-R bond and, hence, leads to decreased reactivity. Formation of polymeric networks can further result in low solubility of the respective compounds, frustrating characterization and further reactions.

Despite the requirements for a suitable ligand system, a variety of hydrocarbyl ligands has been successfully applied to organorare-earth metal chemistry. One of the concepts applied includes hydrocarbyl ligands containing "built-in" chelating donor functionalities. Intramolecular ring formation via dative bonds stabilizes mononuclear complexes by the chelate and entropy effect. Ligand-bonded donor groups successfully compete with donor solvent molecules for coordination sites, implying improved thermal stability. The interaction of strong donor groups significantly decreases the reactivity of an adjacent Ln–R bond but enhances the complex stability.

Introduction of bulky neopentyl-type ligands and particularly the use of silyl-substituted derivatives [CH₂SiMe₃], [CH(SiMe₃)₂], and [C(SiMe₃)₃] resulted in very high stability of the respective rare-earth metal complexes. As the β -elimination pathway is an important decomposition route in early transition metal chemistry, ligand degradation reactions are impeded by the absence of β -hydrogen atoms. The remarkable stabilizing effect of the silyl substituents is further attributed to the stabilization of the respective carbanion by $(p \rightarrow d)_{\pi}$ or $(p \rightarrow \sigma^*)$ interaction with the silicon atom.^{82–84}

Measurement of the gas-phase acidity of the corresponding carbon acids indeed revealed a significant stabilization of the α -silyl substituted carbanions. The acidity, relative to neopentane, increases by about 20 and 36 kcal/mol for the addition of one or two silyl groups, respectively.^{84,85} (The gas-phase proton affinity (gas-phase acidity) is defined as the enthalpy change for the heterolytic H–R bond dissociation, ΔH°_{acid} (HR) = $D^{\circ}(H–R) - EA(R) + IP(H)$. The smaller the ΔH°_{acid} value, the more acidic the compound.)

Rare-earth metal alkyl compounds are important alkyl transfer reagents and initiate a variety of catalytic reactions. The Ln-C(hydrocarbyl) bond is significantly weaker than Ln-O(alkoxide) bonds and, however, as strong as Ln-N(amide) bonds, which markedly affects the synthesis chemistry and derivatization of rare-earth metal hydrocarbyl complexes.

This has been confirmed by the determination of absolute bond disruption enthalpies *D* by means of calorimetric titrations for the representative systems Cp*Sm–X (X = OtBu, *D* = 82.4 kcal/mol; NMe₂ = 48.2 kcal/mol; CH(SiMe₃)₂ = 47.0 kcal/ mol).⁸⁷ In addition to the comparably low thermodynamic stability, the Ln–C(hydrocarbyl) bond displays kinetic lability. Acid–base exchange reactions are fundamental for the derivatization of rare-earth metal hydrocarbyl complexes and the formation of catalytically active species. Therefore,



Figure 3. Gas-phase acidities of several C–H acidic compounds relevant for rare-earth metal hydrocarbyl chemistry.⁸⁴⁻⁸⁶



Figure 4. pK_a values of several C–H acidic compounds relevant for rare-earth metal hydrocarbyl chemistry (solvent indicated in parentheses).^{88–90}

the most common hydrocarbyl proligands are depicted in Figure 4 according to their increasing pK_a values in H₂O or dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO).^{88–90} Under certain restrictions, this scale might be used as a measure of reactivity.

Because of the weak acidity of organosilanes and competitive nucleophilic displacement reactions, pK_a values of the organosilicon compounds could so far not be measured by the usual proton-transfer equilibria studies.⁹¹ A good estimation of relative acidities, however, can be obtained from the respective gas-phase acidities as depicted in Figure 3.84-86 Hydrocarbyl proligands display (with some exceptions) relatively high pK_a values. In an acid-base type reaction, a hydrocarbyl ligand and particularly alkyl ligands can therefore be displaced by a proligand with lower pK_a . This applies for almost all known classes of ligands, be it hydrido, amido, and alkoxo ligands. Even though the reactivity of a Ln-C bond is critically dependent on several additional kinetic/ steric and thermodynamic factors, shown characteristics give an impression of the high potential of rare-earth metal hydrocarbyl complexes in synthesis.

2. Methyl Complexes

Unsolvated methyl complexes are classified as the most reactive organorare-earth metal compounds. Enhanced basicity and the small size of the methyl ligand promote extraordinary reactivity,^{4,92} enabling, e.g., methane activation⁹³ and multiple hydrogen abstraction.⁹⁴ Permethylated transition-metal complexes, as represented by neutral $M(CH_3)_n$ and anionic $[M(CH_3)_n]^{m-}$, have attracted considerable interest not only for displaying the simplest organometallic derivatives but also for their intrinsic bonding phenomena.^{4,95} While structural and theoretical investigations on homoleptic group 4, 5, 6, and 7 derivatives proceeded remarkably, group 3 and lanthanide congeners remained elusive until very recently.^{71,95} Commonly, stable homoleptic rare-earth alkyl compounds involve sterically demanding or chelating alkyl groups to meet the rare-earth metal's need for steric saturation. Apparently, the small methyl ligand cannot provide such stereoelectronic protection, often resulting in fast secondary reactions and decomposition.

2.1. Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of [Li(donor)_x]₃[LnMe₆], Li₃Ln₂Me₉(thf)_x(Et₂O)_y, and [LnMe₃]_n

Early attempts to obtain permethylated rare-earth metal complexes by reaction of methyllithium with LnCl₃ (Ln = Sc, Y, La) gave evidence for the formation of such compounds as non-volatile, pyrophoric products. Isolation from ethereal solutions, however, was not successful.^{68,69} In the 1980s, Schumann and co-workers reported on the synthesis of thermally stable anionic permethylated complexes [Li(donor)]₃[LnMe₆] (**A**) stabilized by chelating bases (donor = tmeda (*N*,*N*,*N'*,*N'*-tetramethylethylenediamine), dme (1,2-dimethoxyethane), and teed (tetraethylethylenediamine)).^{70,96–98}

Dropwise addition of ethereal LiMe solutions to suspensions of the rare-earth metal trichlorides in the presence of stoichiometric amounts of the respective donor molecules resulted in the formation of hexamethylate complexes for the entire series of rare-earth metals except Sc, Ce, Pm, and Eu (Scheme 3, I–III). Following a slightly modified synthesis procedure using $LnCl_3(thf)_x$ as the rare-earth metal source, Okuda and co-workers obtained $[Li_3LnMe_6(thf)_x]$ as powdery solids for the smaller metal centers Sc, Y, and Gd-Lu (Scheme 3, IV).^{99,100} Crystallization of [Li₃LnMe₆(thf)_x] (Ln = Sc, Y, Tb) from saturated diethyl ether solutions gave the heteronuclear complexes $[Li_3Ln_2Me_9(thf)_x(Et_2O)_y]$ (Scheme 3, V).¹⁰⁰ In an attempt to synthesize permethylated compounds of Ce(IV), Thiele et al. reacted cerium(IV) acetylacetonate with varying amounts of methyllithium in ethereal solvents.¹⁰¹ Because of the high oxidation potential of Ce³⁺/ Ce⁴⁺ combined with the high polarity of lithiumorganyls,

Scheme 3. Synthesis of Anionic Methylate Complexes (A)

2	LoCL		6 LiMo	Et ₂ O, -78 °C→rt, 2 h + 3 tmeda	[Li/tmoda]] [LaMo]
	LIICI3	Ŧ	0 LIME	- 3 LICI	
н	LnCl ₃	+	6 LiMe	Et₂O/dme, -78 °C→rt, 3 h - 3 LiCl	Ln = Y, La, Pr, Nd, Sm, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb, Lu [Li(dme)] ₃ [LnMe ₆]
					Ln = Ho, Er, Tm, Yb, Lu
ш	2 LnCl ₃	+	10 LiMe	teed/Et₂O, -78 °C→rt, <u>3 h</u> [L	i ₂ (teed)(Et ₂ O) ₂] ₂ [LnMe ₅] ₂ Ln = Pr, Nd, Sm, Lu
157	1-01 (1-0			Et₂O, -78 °C→rt, 3 h	
IV	LnCl ₃ (tnf)	x + 1	6 LIMe	- 3 LICI	[LI3LnMe6(thf)x]
				1. Et ₂ O, -78 °C→rt, 3 h	Ln = Sc, Y, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb, Lu
V	LnCl ₃ (thf)	x +	- 6 LiMe	- 3 LICI	[Li ₃ Ln ₂ Me ₉ (thf) _x (Et ₂ O) _y] Ln = Sc (x = 2; y = 3);
V/I	Colocac		71.040	thf, -78 °C→rt, 12 h	$f_{1} = 10 (x = 3, y = 2)$
VI	Ce(dCdC)	4 +	. / LIME	- Li(acac) - Me •	
VII	Colocool		41.1140	Et₂O, -78 °C→rt, 12 h	Li Ma Calana) 1
VII	UE(audc)	4 +		- Li(acac) - Me •	
VIII	Ce(acac)		4 LiMo	dme, -78 °C→rt, 12 h	LilMe-Ce(acac)
VIII	UC(audu)	4 1		- 3 Li(acac) - Me •	



Figure 5. Solid-state structure of $[Li(tmeda)]_3[HoMe_6]$ ($A_{Ho-tmeda}$), adapted from ref 97.

only cerium(III) compounds could be isolated (Scheme 3, VI–VIII). Common to all methylated Ce(III) products was the incorporation of Li(acac) (Li(acetylacetonate)), while the extent of the salt incorporation was governed by the reaction conditions.

Compounds [Li(donor)]₃[LnMe₆] (**A**) are soluble in ethereal solvents, slightly soluble in aromatic solvents, but insoluble in aliphatic solvents.⁹⁷ The thermal stability decreases with increasing effective radius of the rare-earth metal cation. Hence, derivatives of the small ions (Lu–Ho) decompose over 120 °C, whereas all larger ions form complexes that are less stable.⁹⁷ The cerium(III) compounds Li₃[CeMe₆][Li(acac)]₃, Li₃[Me₃Ce(acac)₃], and Li[Me₃Ce-(acac)] decompose at temperatures above 110 °C,¹⁰¹ while hexamethylates [Li₃LnMe₆(thf)_x] are reported as thermally extremely sensitive.¹⁰⁰

In the solid state, the rare-earth metal cation of $[\text{Li}(\text{donor})]_3[\text{LnMe}_6]$ is surrounded by six methyl groups in a slightly distorted octahedral arrangement (Figure 5). The lithium atoms are located at the center of tetrahedra made up of two methyl groups and the two nitrogen or oxygen donors of tmeda and dme, respectively.^{8,96–98} [Li₂(teed)-(Et₂O)₂]₂[LnMe₅]₂ appear to be dinuclear complexes featuring bridging methyl groups between the two rare-earth metal cations and between Ln and Li.^{8,96} Heteronuclear complexes [Li₃Ln₂Me₉(thf)_x(Et₂O)_y] display a LiLn₂ core structure and show an overall C_2 -symmetry. Each rare-earth metal center is surrounded by six methyl groups, describing a distorted octahedral geometry (Figure 6).¹⁰⁰

Hexamethyl rare-earth metal complexes are extremely sensitive toward moisture and oxygen. Upon hydrolysis, all ligands are displaced from the rare-earth metal with concurrent formation of Ln(OH)₃, CH₄, and tmeda/dme/teed. Further investigations on the chemical reactivity of [Li(donor)]₃[LnMe₆] (**A**) are limited to preliminary studies on the methylation of α , β unsaturated ketones and aldehydes. 1,2-Methylation was found to be favored over 1,4-methylation of the tested substrates.⁹⁷ Protonolysis of compounds [Li₃LnMe₆(thf)_x] with borate reagents yielded cationic species active in isoprene polymerization (vide infra).^{99,100}

Twenty years after Schumann's discovery of ionic permethylated compounds [Li(donor)]₃[LnMe₆], we succeeded in the synthesis of neutral homoleptic trimethylyttrium and trimethyllutetium (**B**).⁷¹ Adding stoichiometric amounts of



Figure 6. Solid-state structure of $[Li_3Sc_2Me_9(thf)_2(Et_2O)_3]$ (A_{Sc-thf,Et_2O}) , adapted from ref 100.





thf (3 equiv) to a solution of $Ln(AlMe_4)_3$ (\mathbf{D}^{Me}) in hexane instantly produced a white precipitate of $[LnMe_3]_n$ (\mathbf{B}). Optimized conditions for the donor-induced tetramethylaluminate cleavage reaction (see also section 3.4) comprise the use of freshly sublimed $Ln(AlMe_4)_3$ and the less Lewis basic donor diethyl ether, as well as low reaction temperature (Scheme 4, I). Homoleptic Y(AlMe_4)_3 was also successfully cleaved by the softer donor PMe₃ (Scheme 4, II).¹⁰² The production of $[YMe_3]_n$ is accompanied by formation of thermally stable Me₃Al(PMe₃); the microanalytical data, however, clearly show that diethyl ether is a superior cleavage agent for Y(AlMe_4).

Compounds $[LnMe_3]_n$ (**B**) are insoluble in aliphatic and aromatic solvents and slowly decompose in the presence of donor solvents (thf, Et₂O). Insolubility prevents the characterization of $[LnMe_3]_n$ by solution NMR spectroscopy and single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies. Solid-state Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) and magic-angle spinning (MAS) NMR spectroscopy revealed a uniform coordination environment at the rare-earth metal center, suggesting a polymeric network of rare-earth metals connected by bridging methyl groups. So far, only trimethylyttrium and trimethyllutetium could be obtained following the synthesis routes depicted in Scheme 4. Applying similar synthesis protocols to Ln(AlMe₄)₃ of the larger rare-earth metals resulted in the formation of powdery materials with low solubility. The obtained solid materials contain mixtures of rare-earth metal cluster compounds, which are the result of incomplete tetramethylaluminate cleavage and extensive C-H bond activation reactions.102

2.2. [Li(donor)_x]₃[LnMe₆] and [LnMe₃]_n as Synthesis Precursors

The donor-cleavage reaction of $Ln(AlMe_4)_3$ (\mathbf{D}^{Me}) (Ln = Y, Lu) as described above was found to be completely reversible. Treatment of $[LnMe_3]_n$ (\mathbf{B}) with 3 equiv of $AlMe_3$

Scheme 5. Derivatization of $[LnMe_3]_n$ (B) by Lewis Acid/ Donor Addition



Scheme 6. Synthesis of Cationic Methyl Complexes from [Li₃LnMe₆(thf)_x] (A) and Ln(AlMe₄)₃ (D)



led to quantitative reformation of Ln(AlMe₄)₃ (\mathbf{D}^{Me}) (Scheme 5).⁷¹ Accordingly, other strong Lewis acids like AlEt₃ and GaMe₃ redissolved [YMe₃]_n to yield heterobimetallic Y(Al₃Me₃Et₉) ($\mathbf{D}^{Et,Me}_{Y}$) and Y(GaMe₄)₃ (\mathbf{E}_{Y}),^{71,103} respectively. In the presence of tmeda as donor solvent, [YMe₃]_n, and 3 equiv of LiMe, the anionic hexamethylate [Li(tmeda)]₃-[YMe₆] ($\mathbf{A}_{Y-tmeda}$) formed in moderate yield. Reaction with 1,4,7-trimethyl-1,4,7-triazacyclononane (Cn) gave [CnYMe₃] (1) which has previously been prepared in the group of Bercaw following a salt metathesis protocol (Scheme 5).¹⁰⁴

Homoleptic $[LnMe_3]_n$ further proved to react with Brønsted acids HN(SiHMe_2)_2 and HOCH*t*Bu_2, forming homoleptic solvent-free amide {Y[N(SiHMe_2)_2]_3}_2 (**2**)¹⁰³ and alkoxide Y(OCH*t*Bu_2)_3 (**3**),⁷¹ respectively. Highly efficient methylation of the carbonylic functionality in 9-fluorenone (high yield, high group transfer economy) was observed, demonstrating the multifaceted applicability of [LnMe_3]_n as rare-earth metal precursor and in organic synthesis.¹⁰³

Dimethyl monocations $[LnMe_2(thf)_x][BPh_4]$ (\mathbf{B}^+_{thf}) can be prepared from the ate complexes $[Li_3LnMe_6(thf)_x]$ (\mathbf{A}) by protonolysis with $[NEt_3H][BPh_4]$, by nucleophilic attack of the methyl dications $[LnMe(thf)_x][BPh_4]_2$ (\mathbf{B}^{2+}_{thf}) (vide infra) with methyllithium, or by protonolysis of $Ln(AlMe_4)_3$ (\mathbf{D}) with $[NEt_3H][BPh_4]$ (Scheme 6, I). Only the latter method allowed isolation of the monocations (\mathbf{B}^+) as these compounds cannot be separated from LiBPh₄, which is formed by the former two methods.^{99,100,105}



Figure 7. Solid-state structure of cation $[YMe_2(thf)_5]^+$ in $[YMe_2(thf)_5][BPh_4]$ (**B**⁺_{Y-thf}), adapted from ref 99.



Figure 8. Solid-state structure of bis(cation) $[YMe(thf)_6]^{2+}$ in $[YMe(thf)_6][BPh_4]_2$ (B^{2+}_{Y-thf}), adapted from ref 105.

When 5 equiv of $[NEt_3H][BPh_4]$ are added to thf solutions of $[Li_3LnMe_6(thf)_x]$ (**A**), compounds $[LnMe(thf)_x][BPh_4]_2$ (\mathbf{B}^{2+}_{thf}) precipitate (Scheme 6, II).^{100,106} Because of the unavailability of the methylate complexes **A** for the larger rare-earth metal centers La, Ce, Pr, Nd, and Sm, dicationic methyl complexes were prepared by protonolysis of the homoleptic tetramethylaluminates Ln(AlMe_4)_3 (\mathbf{D}^{Me}) using $[NR_3H][BPh_4]$ (R = Et, *n*Bu) or $[NEt_3H][B(C_6H_4-F-4)_4]$ as Brønsted acids (Scheme 6, III–V).^{100,106}

Methyl dications $[LnMe(thf)_x][BPh_4]_2$ of the larger rareearth metals La–Sm are significantly less stable than their smaller analogues.¹⁰⁰ A ring-opening reaction of tetrahydrofuran to form a pentoxy complex was reported for Nd as the metal center,¹⁰⁶ whereas a suspension of the samarium derivative in thf gradually dissolved at ambient temperature and in daylight to give divalent $[Sm(thf)_7][BPh_4]_2$ (4).^{100,107}

The solid-state structure of ion pair [LuMe₂(thf)₄][BPh₄] ($\mathbf{B}^+_{\text{Lu-thf}}$) revealed a distorted octahedral coordination geometry around the lutetium metal center with four coordinating solvent molecules and a *cis*-arrangement of the methyl groups.¹⁰⁰ On the contrary, the structure of [YMe₂(thf)₅]-[BPh₄] ($\mathbf{B}^+_{\text{Y-thf}}$) shows *trans*-disposed methyl groups, a pentagonal bipyramidal coordination geometry around the yttrium metal center, and the coordination of five thf molecules (Figure 7).⁹⁹

Replacing one methyl group by thf leads to the solid-state structure of ion triple [YMe(thf)₆][BPh₄]₂ (\mathbf{B}^{2+}_{Y-thf}), showing a similar geometric arrangement of the methyl ligand and donors around the Y metal center (Figure 8).¹⁰⁵ The remaining methyl group occupies the apical position of the pentagonal bipyramid. Analogous solid-state structures were also found for the small to medium sized rare-earth metal



Figure 9. Structure of $(binam)Ln(\mu-Me)_2Li(Do)_x(\mu-Me)Li(Do)$ (5).

centers Sc, Dy,¹⁰⁰ Ho,¹⁰⁶ and Tm.¹⁰⁰ The solid-state structures of [LnMe(thf)₆][B(C₆H₄F-4)₄]₂ (Ln = La, Sm) display a methyl-capped octahedral geometry. According to density functional theory (DFT) calculations, the preference for this capped octahedral structure is electrostatically driven because the shorter Ln–Me distance allows for a stronger electrostatic interaction.

In situ generated dications $[YMe(solv)_n]^{2+}$ (\mathbf{B}^{2+}_{Y-tol}) (solv = toluene) catalyzed the polymerization of 1,3-dienes when applying $[PhNMe_2H][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ as the cationizing agent, while the activation by [PhNMe₂H][BPh₄] did not result in catalytically active species.⁹⁹ This marked reactivity difference was attributed to the comparatively weak coordination of fluorinated anions, resulting in solvent-separated ion pairs in aromatic solvents. On the contrary, a strong η^6 -coordination of the [BPh4] anions would lead to inactive-contact ion pairs. Investigating the catalytic performance of in situ prepared $[YMe_2(solv)_n]^+$ (\mathbf{B}^+_{Y}) and $[YMe(solv)_n]^{2+}$ (\mathbf{B}^{2+}_{Y}) toward dienes revealed remarkably higher activity and cisselectivity for the dicationic species (monocation, 90% cis-PBD; dication, 97% cis-PBD; PBD = polybutadiene). Activities and polymer properties further displayed a strong dependence on the use of $AliBu_3$ as a scavenger (100 equiv). Initial studies further revealed a high reactivity of the cationic complexes, including the C–H bond activation of pyridine.¹⁰⁸

Recently, in situ generated anionic complexes $[Li(donor)]_3$ -[LnMe₆] (A) were reported to form chiral amide alkyl complexes **5** (Figure 9), which display active catalysts for the enantioselective hydroamination of aminoolefins.¹⁰⁹

3. Tetraalkylaluminate Complexes

3.1. Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of $[Ln(II)(AIR_4)_2]_n$

Early investigations by Boncella and Andersen regarding the reactivity of dimeric {Yb(II)[N(SiMe_3)_2]_2}₂ (**6**) toward molecules with Lewis acidic sites fundamentally contributed to the development of lanthanide tetraalkylaluminate compounds.¹¹⁰ Reaction of compound **6** with equimolar amounts of AlR₃ (R = Me, Et) resulted in the formation of bis(trialkylaluminum) adducts Yb(II)[N(SiMe_3)_2]_2(AlR_3)_2 (**7**). Determination of the solid-state structure of Yb[N(SiMe_3)_2]_2 (AlMe_3)₂ (**7**^{Me}) revealed a monomeric Yb[N(SiMe_3)_2]_2 fragment in which each lone pair of electrons on the nitrogen atoms is coordinated to aluminum atoms (Figure 10).¹¹⁰ Steric saturation of the large divalent ytterbium metal center is achieved by four additional γ -CH agostic interactions with adjacent methyl protons.



Figure 10. Structure of $Yb(II)[N(SiMe_3)_2]_2(AIMe_3)_2$ (7^{Me}).

Scheme 7. Synthesis of $[Ln(II)(AlR_4)_2]_n$ (C)

Ĺ	Ln[N(SiMe ₃) ₂] ₂ (thf) ₂	+ > 6 AIR ₃	hexane, rt, 16 h - 2 [R ₂ AIN(SiMe ₃) ₂] ₂ - 2 AIR ₃ (thf)	[Ln(AIR ₄) ₂] _n Ln = Yb, Sm R = Me, Et, <i>i</i> Bu
H	Ln(OAr ^{/Pr,H}) ₂ (thf) ₂	+ > 6 AIMe ₃	hexane, rt, 16 h - [Me ₂ Al(µ-OAr ^{Pr,H})] ₂ - 2 AlMe ₃ (thf)	[Ln(AIMe ₄) ₂] _n Ln = Yb, Sm

In 2001, the above-mentioned reactions were reinvestigated our group using an excess of the trialkylaluminum reagents (Scheme 7, I).^{111,112} The obtained peralkylated heterobimetallic complexes $[\text{Ln}(\text{II})(\text{AlR}_4)_2]_n$ (C) (R = Me, Et, *i*Bu) are the products of a complete [amide] \rightarrow [alkyl] transformation, proceeding via intermediately formed AlR₃ adducts as found by Boncella and Andersen (Scheme 7).¹¹⁰

While the methyl derivatives $[Ln(AlMe_4)_2]_n$ (\mathbb{C}^{Me}) precipitate quantitatively from hexane reaction mixtures to give analytically pure solids, $[Ln(AlEt_4)_2]_n$ (\mathbb{C}^{Et}) and $[Ln-(AliBu_4)_2]_n$ (\mathbb{C}^{IBu}) display excellent solubility in aliphatic media and can be separated from the byproduct [$R_2AlN-(SiMe_3)_2$]₂ by fractional crystallization.^{111–113} Homoleptic [$Ln(AlMe_4)_2$]_n (\mathbb{C}^{Me}) could further be obtained by complete alkylation of lanthanide(II) bis(2,6-diisopropylphenolates) with an excess of AlMe₃ (Scheme 7, II).¹¹⁴

Structure determination of the methyl derivatives [Ln- $(AIMe_4)_2]_n$ (\mathbb{C}^{Me}) is frustrated by the insolubility in aliphatic and aromatic solvents, but single crystals suitable for X-ray crystallographic structure determination could be obtained for the higher alkylated congeners [Ln($AIEt_4)_2$]_n (\mathbb{C}^{Et}).^{111,113} Both divalent metal centers ytterbium and samarium show isotypic structures in the solid state consisting of a polymeric network of interconnected anionic [Ln($AIEt_4$)₃]⁻ (Figure 11) and cationic [Ln($AIEt_4$)]⁺ (Figure 12) fragments. In the anionic unit, the lanthanide(II) metal center is coordinated by six carbon atoms in a pseudo-octahedral geometry (Figure 11). Each [$AIEt_4$] unit is coordinated in an η^2 fashion. While



Figure 11. Solid-state structure of the anionic molecular fragment $[Sm(AlEt_4)_3]^-$ of C^{Et}_{Sm} showing the interconnection of the formally anionic and cationic fragments, adapted from ref 113.



Figure 12. Solid-state structure of the cationic molecular fragment $[Sm(AlEt_4)]^+$ of C^{Et}_{Sm} showing the interconnection of the formally anionic and cationic fragments, adapted from ref 113.

Chart 2. Donor Adducts $Ln(AIR_4)_2(Do)_x$



the [AlEt₄] coordination in the cationic moiety [Yb(AlEt₄)]⁺ was described as a slightly distorted η^3 -coordination,¹¹¹ the respective cationic samarium unit is rather approaching a bent η^2 -coordination mode with one significantly longer Sm-(μ -CH₂) contact (Figure 12).¹¹³ Interconnection of the formally anionic and cationic molecular fragments into a three-dimensional network is accomplished via the "terminal" ethyl groups of [Ln(AlEt₄)₃]⁻, resulting in an overall μ , η^1 : η^2 coordination mode.

Because of dynamic exchange processes in solution (see section 3.3), the ¹H NMR spectrum of diamagnetic [Yb-(AlEt₄)₂]_n (C^{Et}_{Yb}) exhibits only two resonances for the [AlEt₄] ligands.¹¹³ Variable-temperature ¹H NMR studies did not reveal decoalescence of the proton signals for the bridging and nonbridging alkyl groups over the temperature range of -100 to +90 °C.¹¹¹ MAS NMR spectroscopic investigations of insoluble [Yb(AlMe₄)₂]_n (C^{Me}_{Yb}) were indicative of two distinct bridging methyl groups in the solid state.¹¹³

Contrary to the reactivity observed for trivalent homoleptic $Ln(AlMe_4)_3$ (\mathbf{D}^{Me}), donor-induced aluminate cleavage¹¹⁵ (see section 3.4) does not occur at lanthanide(II) metal centers. Interaction of polymeric $[Ln(AlR_4)_2]_n$ with donor molecules (donor = thf, pyridine (pyr), 1,10-phenanthroline (phen)) leads to the formation of discrete monomeric lanthanide donor adducts $Ln(AlR_4)_2(donor)_x$ (\mathbf{C}_{thf} , \mathbf{C}_{pyr} , and \mathbf{C}_{phen}) (Chart 2).^{112,113}

The observed divergent reactivity of heterobimetallic homoleptic $[Ln(II)(AIR_4)_2]_n$ and $Ln(III)(AIMe_4)_3$ toward Lewis basic molecules accounts for a different nature of the lanthanide–carbon bonding. Whereas the latter display true aluminate complexes (E_{N} scale according to Pauling: Ln(III) = 1.1–1.3, Al(III) = 1.6), divalent derivatives are better described as lanthanidate complexes $[AIEt_2]_2[LnEt_4(\text{donor})_x]$ similar to $[\text{Li}(\text{donor})_x]_3[\text{Ln}(\text{III})\text{Me}_6]$ (see section 2). The Ln–C bonding nature cannot be rationalized on the basis of Pauling's electronegativity scale.^{116,117} Neither can the Lewis acidity criterion (Al(III) > Ln(III) > Ln(II)), commonly considered as the driving force for AlR₃(donor) separation, be applied. Increased covalent contributions to the Ln(II)–C bonding rather seem to control the observed Lewis base addition reactions.

3.2. [Ln(II)(AIR₄)₂]_n as Synthesis Precursors

Studies on the reactivity of peralkylated $[Ln(II)(AIR_4)_2]_n$ (C) toward protic substrates were performed only recently. A suspension of $[Yb(AlMe_4)_2]_n$ in the reacted with excess HC₅Me₅ to yield the solvent-separated ion pair [(C₅Me₅)Yb-(thf)₄][AlMe₄] (8) according to a methane elimination reaction.¹¹³ A similar structural motif has previously been found in the solid-state structure of $[\eta^5$ -(fluorenyl)Yb(thf)₄]- $[AlMe_4]$ (9).¹¹⁸ Complexes $[Ln(AlR_4)_2]_n$ (C) readily undergo protonolysis reactions with bulky phenolic substrates HOAr^{t/Bu,Me} (OAr^{t/Bu,Me} = OC₆H₂tBu₂-2,6-Me-4) and HOAr^{t/Pr,H} $(OAr^{iPr,H} = OC_6H_3iPr_2-2,6)$ (Scheme 8).¹¹⁹ Generally, the reactions give complicated product mixtures containing up to three alkylation products. The reaction outcome is hereby sensitively balanced by the sterical demand of the phenolate ligands. Two prevalent secondary reaction pathways have been unambiguously identified, TMA(TEA) (TMA = trimethylaluminum; TEA = triethylaluminum) adduct formation and ligand rearrangement under formation of the bidentate ligand $[(\mu - OAr^{iPr,H})_2AIR_2]$. The bulkiness of the tert-butyl-substituted aryloxides, however, seems to suppress such ligand rearrangement.114,119,120

3.3. Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of $Ln(III)(AIR_4)_3$

When investigating the reactivity of homoleptic rare-earth metal alkylamides toward Lewis acidic highly reactive organoaluminum reagents, Evans and co-workers discovered the formation of heterobimetallic Ln(III)/Al alkyl species.¹²¹⁻¹²³ The degree of alkylation in the generated heterobimetallic compounds is hereby strongly dependent on the amount of alkylaluminum reagent present in the reaction mixture and the steric/electronic properties of the alkylamide ligands. Whereas homoleptic AlMe₃ adducts $Ln[(\mu-NMe_2)(\mu-Me)-$ AlMe₂]₃ (16) were isolated from Ln(NMe₂)₃(LiCl)₃ in the presence of 3 equiv of AlMe₃,¹²¹ peralkylated tris(tetramethylaluminates) $Ln(AlMe_4)_3$ (\mathbf{D}^{Me}) formed with an excess of trimethylaluminum.¹²² Such AlMe₃-mediated complete $[NR_2] \rightarrow [AlMe_4]$ transformations were found to be a viable route for the synthesis of several tetramethylaluminatecontaining organorare-earth metal complexes.¹²⁴⁻¹²⁷

Since the discovery of Ln(AlMe₄)₃ (\mathbf{D}^{Me}) in 1995,¹²² the general synthesis protocol has been optimized and applied to the entire size range of Ln³⁺ metal centers (Scheme 9, I).^{128,129} The hetereobimetallic compounds can be obtained in high yield. Several crystallization steps are, however, necessary to obtain crystalline, trimethylaluminum-free Ln(AlMe₄)₃. The high volatility of the alkylated amide byproduct [Me₂AlNMe₂]₂ is one of the main advantages of this synthesis strategy, allowing for easy separation of the desired product.

Although rare-earth metal tetramethylaluminates are accessible by alkylation of a number of other Ln(III) precursors, like silylamide complexes Ln[N(SiHMe₂)₂]₃(thf)₂ (**17**),¹³⁰ tetrameric

Scheme 8. Alkane Elimination Reactions of Peralkylated Complexes $[Ln(AlR_4)_2]_n$: All Reactions Performed in Toluene (R = Me) or Hexane (R = Et) with 2 equiv of HOAr^R: (a) 1 equiv HOAr^{/Bu,Me}; (b) Degradation Product Most Likely by Traces of H₂O; (c) Also Obtained with 4 equiv of HOAr^{*i*Pr,H}; (d) thf Possibly Originates from Yb(AlEt₄)₂(thf)₂ Present As Minor Impurity; (e) Main Product but Slower Crystallization



Scheme 9. Synthesis of Homoleptic Ln(III)(AlR₄)₃ (D)

In(NMea)a(LiCl)a + 6 AlMea	hexane, rt, 18 h
	- 3 LiCl Life units 2/3 - 1.5 [Me ₂ AlNMe ₂] ₂ Ln = Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, Gd, Ho, Lu
II Ln[N(SiHMe ₂) ₂] ₃ (thf) ₂ + 8 AIR ₃	3 → 3 LiCl - 3 LiCl - 3 LiCl - 1.5 [R ₂ AIN(SiHMe ₂) ₂] ₂ Ln = Y, Nd, La; R = Me Ln = La; R = Et
III 0.25 [Ln(OCH ₂ CMe ₃) ₃] ₄ + 6 Al	IMe ₃ <u>- 3 Me₂AIOCH₂CMe₃</u> Ln(AIMe ₄) ₃ Ln = Y, La. Nd
IV [Ln(OSiR'₂R")₃]₂ + exc AlMe₃	hexane, rt, 18 h - 3 [Me ₂ AIOSiR' ₂ R''] ₂ Ln = Y, La; R' = Ph, R'' = rBu Ln = Y, La; R' = Ph, R'' = rBu Ln = Y, La; R' = Ph, R'' = rBu
V YCl ₃ + 3 LiAIMe ₄	toluene, 110 °C, 3 d - 3 LICI Y(AIMe ₄) ₃
VI [LnMe ₃] _n + 3 AIMe ₃	hexane, -35 °C 30 min → Ln(AIMe ₄) ₃ Ln = Y, Lu

 $[Ln(OCH_2CMe_3)_3]_4$ (18),¹²⁰ aryloxide $[Y(OAr^{iPr,H})_3]_2$ (19),¹¹⁴ and siloxides $[Ln(OSiR'_2R'')_3]_2$ (20),¹³¹ separation of peralkylated Ln(AlMe_4)_3 from the alkylated byproducts has proven to be difficult (Scheme 9, II–IV). Because of the high solubility of tetraethylaluminate complexes Ln(AlEt_4)_3 (\mathbf{D}^{Et}), separation from residual AlEt₃ and the byproduct $[Et_2AlNR_2]_2$ was not successful. Only reaction of La[N-(SiHMe_2)_2]_3(thf)_2 (17_La) with AlEt_3 produced separable single

Scheme 10. Two-Step Mechanistic Scenario for the Formation of Tetramethylaluminate Ligands by (a) [Amide] \rightarrow [Methyl] or (b) [OR] \rightarrow [Methyl] Exchange



crystals of La(AlEt₄)₃ ($\mathbf{D}^{\text{Et}}_{\text{La}}$) (Scheme 9, II).¹²⁸ Salt metathesis as a one-step synthesis protocol for the preparation of Ln(AlMe₄)₃ could be applied for anhydrous YCl₃ and 3 equiv of lithium tetramethylaluminate (Scheme 9, V).¹²⁹ Suspensions in toluene yielded 7% of Y(AlMe₄)₃ after seven days. Derivatives of the larger rare-earth metals could not be obtained by this method. Alternatively, Ln(AlMe₄)₃ of very high purity can be obtained by AlMe₃-adduct formation to polymeric trimethyl compounds [LnMe₃]_n (**B**) (Ln = Y, Lu). Adding 3 equiv of AlMe₃ to a hexane suspension of [LnMe₃]_n yielded crystalline Ln(AlMe₄)₃ in almost quantitative yield (Scheme 9, VI).^{71,102}

Formation of tetramethylaluminate ligands by [amido] \rightarrow [methyl] or [OR] \rightarrow [methyl] exchange, respectively, likely proceeds via a two-step mechanistic scenario (Scheme 10). In a first step, the strong Lewis acid AlMe₃ coordinates to the basic amide-nitrogen/OR. Such adduct formation apparently results in a weakening of the originally strong



Figure 13. Structure of $Nd(NiPr_2)[(\mu-NiPr_2)(\mu-Me)AlMe_2][(\mu-Me)_2AlMe_2]$ (21).



Figure 14. Solid-state structure of $Lu[(\mu-Me)_2AlMe_2]_3$ (\mathbf{D}^{Me}_{Lu}), adapted from ref 129.

Ln-N/Ln-O bond. Intermediate formation of a fourmembered Ln-N-Al-Me ring^{110,121,123} (Ln-O-Al-Me ring)^{114,131–134} containing a bridging methyl group allows for partial saturation of the mildly Lewis acidic rare-earth metal center. Addition of a second AlMe3 molecule results in the complete [amido] \rightarrow [methyl] ([OR] \rightarrow [methyl]) exchange under the formation of a tetramethylaluminate ligand and the thermodynamically very stable [Me₂AlNR₂]₂ ([Me₂AlOR]₂).¹³⁵⁻¹³⁸ It is suggested that the rates of the individual steps are controlled by the amido/OR group, the aluminum alkyl, their concentrations, and the reaction conditions. Stepwise addition of 4 equiv of AlMe₃ to Nd(N*i*Pr₂)₃(thf) allowed for the isolation of $Nd(NiPr_2)[(\mu-NiPr_2)(\mu-Me)AlMe_2][(\mu-Me)_2-$ AlMe₂] (21) containing three different types of ligands (Figure 13).¹²³ This mixed ligand compound is acting as a model for the two-step tetramethylaluminate formation.

The [amido/OR] \rightarrow [alkyl] transformation is a versatile synthesis procedure, reported for several heteroleptic Ln/Al heterobimetallic organorare-earth complexes. A high-yield synthesis of rare-earth metal tetramethylaluminates, though, underlies steric restrictions, and the choice of monoanionic lanthanide amide/OR precursors is often limited to small functionalities (NMe₂, NEt₂). Contrary to divalent Ln(II)[N-(SiMe₃)₂]₂(thf)₂, steric constraints hamper the adduct formation/ alkylation when using homoleptic Ln(III)[N(SiMe₃)₂]₃.^{130,139}

The solid-state structures of homoleptic Y,¹²² La, Pr,¹²⁹ Nd,¹²² Sm, and Lu¹²⁹ tetramethylaluminates have been determined, showing a rare-earth metal cation size-dependent aluminate coordination. Ln(AlMe₄)₃ of the small- to middle-sized Ln(III) ions (Lu–Sm) crystallize in the centrosymmetric space group C2/c (Figure 14). The slightly larger



Figure 15. Solid-state structure of $La[(\mu-Me)_2AlMe_2]_2[(\mu-Me)_3AlMe]$ (\mathbf{D}^{Me}_{La}), adapted from ref 129.

praseodymium and neodymium derivatives (monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$) crystallize with two independent molecules in the unit cell. All solid-state structures show a 6-fold coordination of carbon atoms around the Ln(III) metal centers, resulting in a pseudo-octahedral coordination geometry (Figure 14). Each [AlMe₄] unit coordinates to the central Ln metal through two bridging methyl groups, forming planar or almost planar [Ln(μ -Me)₂Al] metallacycles. The bridging carbon atoms revealed a heavily distorted trigonal-bipyramidal coordination geometry. Because of steric unsaturation of the rare-earth metal center, two of the three H atoms in each bridging methyl group are directed toward the Ln atom.

X-ray crystallographic structure analysis of La(AlMe₄)₃ revealed the presence of three different [AlMe₄] coordination modes (Figure 15). While one ligand coordinates in the routinely observed η^2 fashion to form an almost planar [La(μ -Me)₂Al] heterobimetallic unit, the second [AlMe₄] ligand shows a bent η^2 coordination with an additional La–(μ -Me) contact. The third tetramethylaluminate ligand coordinates through three bridging methyl groups to the lanthanum metal center, providing additional stereoelectronic saturation.¹²⁹

In the course of X-ray crystallographic investigations of homoleptic and heteroleptic organorare-earth metal complexes with tetramethylaluminate ligands, different types of [AlMe₄] coordination modes were observed (Chart 3). Among these, terminal (b) and bridging $\eta^{1}:\eta^{1}$ coordinated ligands (f) seem to be favored.^{114,126,129,133,140–143} However, in the presence of sterically highly unsaturated rare-earth metal centers, bent [Ln(μ -Me)₂Al] moieties (c)^{125,144–148} as well as terminal η^{3} (d)¹²⁹ and bridging (μ -Me)AlMe(μ -Me)₂-coordinated aluminate ligands (g) appeared.^{129,149} A few examples of terminal η^{1} -coordinated [AlMe₄] ligands (a) were reported for sterically very constrained environments.^{150–152} Noncoordinating [AlMe₄] units (e) were found for solvent separated lanthanide(II) ion pairs.^{113,118}

Homoleptic tris(tetramethylaluminates) are soluble in aliphatic and aromatic solvents. Because of immediate donor-induced aluminate cleavage, ethereal solvents have to be avoided. Ln(AlMe₄)₃ are thermally stable, and derivatives of the small- to middle-sized rare-earth metals can be sublimed (Ln = Y, 80 °C at 10^{-3} mbar; Ln = Lu, 90 °C at 10^{-3} mbar).





Despite their solid-state structures, the ¹H NMR spectra of Ln(AlMe₄)₃ show only one signal for the [AlMe₄] moieties at ambient temperature.¹²² This is indicative of a very fast exchange of bridging and terminal methyl groups (Figure 16). However, different types of methyl groups could be resolved at lower temperature for complexes of the smaller Ln(III) metals. Consistent with increased steric unsaturation and therefore more rapid alkyl exchange, decoalescence temperatures decreased with increasing size of the rare-earth metal center (Lu = 278 K, Y = 229 K, and Sm = 216 K). The methyl group exchange mechanism was studied by dynamic NMR spectroscopy and line-shape analysis, revealing activation parameters indicative of an associative methyl group exchange for Ln(AlMe₄)₃ (Ln = Sm, Y, Lu).

3.4. Ln(III)(AIMe₄)₃ as Synthesis Precursors

An important reactivity concept of heterobimetallic Ln/ Al alkyl complexes, the donor(Do)-induced aluminate cleavage was reported by Lappert and co-workers in 1979 (Scheme 11).¹¹⁵

Originally applied for lanthanidocene complexes $(C_5H_5)_2Ln(AlMe_4)$, the donor(pyridine)-cleavage gave access to dimeric $(\mu$ -Me)_2-bridged complexes $[(C_5H_5)_2Ln(\mu-Me)]_2$.¹¹⁵ Lappert's concept of donor-induced aluminate cleavage recently allowed for the generation of solvent-free $[LnMe_3]_n$ (**B**) from homoleptic $Ln(AlMe_4)_3$ (Ln = Y, Lu) (section 2.1).^{71,102} The previously mentioned formation of ion pairs $[LnMe_2(thf)_x][BPh_4]$ (**B**⁺_{thf}) and ion triples $[LnMe(thf)_6][BAr^R_4]_2$ (**B**²⁺_{thf}) (section 2) can also be assigned to a donor(thf)-induced cleavage of $Ln(AlMe_4)_3$ followed by protonolysis reaction with $[NR_3H][BAr^R_4].^{99,100,105}$

The reversibility of the tetraalkylaluminate cleavage reaction is another important detail of the early work by Lappert and co-workers.¹¹⁵ It was later exploited for the synthesis of mixed-alkylated complexes, e.g., mixed methyl/ethyl aluminate complexes.¹²⁴

Further, the above-mentioned donor-induced cleavage reactions imply another important concept of the [AlMe₄]



Figure 16. Proposed associative methyl exchange in homoleptic $Ln(AlMe_4)_3$ complexes.

Scheme 11. Donor(Do)-Induced Aluminate Cleavage and the Reversibility Phenomenon in Aluminate Chemistry



Scheme 12. Ln(AlMe₄)₃ as Synthesis Precursors

(a)	l n(AlMe.). +	H[L]	aliphatic/aromatic solvents	
(4)			- CH ₄ - AIMe ₃	
(b)	lp(AIMe.).		aliphatic/aromatic solvents	
(0)		r[∟]	- KAIMe4	

moiety. Thus, tetramethylaluminates can also be described as adducts LnMe₃(AlMe₃)₃ ("alkyls in disguise"). In accordance with this bonding feature, several alkane elimination reactions have been reported, leading to heteroleptic Ln/Al tetramethylaluminate rare-earth metal complexes (Scheme 12a).

Reaction with substituted cyclopentadienes $H(Cp^R)$ gave access to a variety of mono(cyclopentadienyl) bis(aluminate) complexes (Chart 4).^{144–146} Metallocene formation, even for the large rare-earth metal centers, could successfully be suppressed by adjusting the reaction time and temperature. The reaction of Ln(AlMe₄)₃ with potassium salts K(PC₄Me₄) and K[PC₄Me₂(SiMe₃)₂] was found to produce mono-(PC₄Me₂R₂) bis(tetramethylaluminate) complexes **26** under concomitant formation of KAlMe₄ (Scheme 12b).¹⁴⁷ This reaction adds another bonding concept describing the Ln(III)-AlMe₄ bonding as predominantly ionic, readily engaging in salt-metathesis reactions. All half-sandwich complexes depicted in Chart 4 form active catalysts for the polymerization





Chart 5. $Ln(AlMe_4)_3$ Derivatives Containing Monoanionic $[O]^-$ and $[OCO]^-$ Ligands



of isoprene upon activation with B(C₆F₅)₃, [Ph₃C][B(C₆F₅)₄], or [PhNMe₂H][B(C₆F₅)₄]. The catalyst activities and stereoselectivities are governed by the size of the rare-earth metal center, the substituents at the cyclopentadienyl ancillary ligand, and the borane/borate activator employed. Excellent *trans*-1,4-stereoselectivity was found for the catalyst mixture (C₅Me₅)La(AlMe₄)₂/B(C₆F₅)₃ (99.5% *trans*-1,4 PIP, M_w/M_n = 1.19).

Homoleptic Ln(AlMe₄)₃ readily undergoes protonolysis reactions with Brønsted acidic proligands, e.g., alcohols, silanols,

Chart 6. Ln(AlMe₄)₃ Derivatives Containing Monoanionic [NNN]⁻ Ligands



Chart 7. $Ln(AlMe_4)_3$ Derivatives Containing Dianionic $[NON]^{2-}$ and $[NNN]^{2-}$ Ligands



carboxylic acids, and amines, to generate heteroleptic Ln/Al bimetallic complexes (Charts 5–7).^{126,128,129,133,140,150–152} Particularly, mixed alkoxide/aluminate and carboxylate/aluminate complexes were extensively used as model systems to study structure–reactivity relationships in commonly used Ziegler-type catalysts. Upon "cationization" with R₂AlCl reagents (R = Me, Et), such compounds provide highly active catalysts for the *cis*-1,4 stereospecific polymerization of 1,3-dienes.^{114,128,129,131–134}

The reactivity of $Ln(AlMe_4)_3$ toward several monoanionic (Chart 6)¹⁵⁰⁻¹⁵² and dianionic chelating nitrogen donor ligands (Chart 7)^{126,140} has been investigated. Reaction according to Scheme 12 was observed in all cases, leading to rare-earth metal complexes that contain [AlMe₄] moieties or cleavage (**31**) and C–H bond activation products (**32** and **37**) thereof.

The overall yields of rare-earth metal containing products, however, were significantly dependent on the rare-earth metal size. Competitive formation of aluminum complexes apScheme 13. Proposed Mechanistic Scenario of Kinetically and Thermodynamically Controlled Ligand Attack Occurring in Reactions between the Imino–Amido–Pyridine Ligand Precursor and $Ln(AlMe_4)_3$ (D) (H[NNN] = Imino–Amido–Pyridine; According to Ref 150)



Scheme 14. Mechanistic Scenario for the Formation of [Me₂LnCl]_n from Ln(AlMe₄)₃ and Et₂AlCl



peared to be inherent to the interaction of nitrogen donor ancillary ligands and Ln(AlMe₄)₃. The product distributions seem to be the result of kinetically controlled reaction sequences (Scheme 13). The key feature appears to be the kinetically controlled initial attack of the ancillary ligand's amine functionality at a bridging or terminal methyl group of one tetramethylaluminate moiety. In the presence of easily accessible bridging methyl groups, particularly for the larger rare-earth metal centers (La-Nd), methane elimination reaction between a bridging methyl group and the amine functionality (Scheme 13, I_1) results in the formation of thermodynamically stable Ln-N(ancillary ligand) bonds (Scheme 13, 33). Because of enhanced steric crowding, the thermodynamically less favored attack at a terminal methyl group appears to be pronounced for Ln(AlMe₄)₃ of the small rare-earth metal centers (Lu-Y) (Scheme 13, I_2). Consequent bond formation to the adjacent aluminum atom proceeds under loss of methane and leads to preferential formation of the respective aluminum complexes (Scheme 13, $I_3 \rightarrow 38 \rightarrow 39$). A significantly increased yield of aluminum sideproducts with decreasing metal cation size (La < Nd < Y \ll Lu) is inherent to all investigated systems, substantiating the proposed mechanistic scenario.^{126,140,150,151}

Homoleptic Ln(AlMe₄)₃ reacts with R₂AlCl (R = Me, Et) under formation of polymeric/ionic mixed-methyl/chloride rare-earth metal compounds [Me₂LnCl]_n/[MeLnCl₂]_n (**40**).^{128,153} Such compounds are discussed as possible polymerizationinitiating species in the industrial *cis*-1,4 stereospecific polymerization of dienes. Mixtures containing Nd(AlMe₄)₃ and Et₂AlCl initiate the stereospecific polymerization of isoprene with very high activities. The resulting polyisoprene shows very high *cis*-1,4 content (99%) and narrow molecular weight distributions ($M_n/M_w = 2.11$).¹⁵³ On the basis of NMR spectroscopic investigations and catalytic studies, a mecha-

Scheme 15. Proposed Surface Species of Hybrid Materials after Immobilization of Nd(AlMe₄)₃ and Et₂AlCl on Dehydrated MCM-48



nistic scenario for the formation of $[Me_2LnCl]_n$ from $Ln(AlMe_4)_3$ and Et_2AlCl has been proposed (Scheme 14).¹⁵³

In a preliminary study, cubic mesoporous silica MCM-48 featuring a three-dimensional mesopore arrangement was applied to heterogenize binary [Nd(AlMe₄)₃/Et₂AlCl] precatalyst systems (Scheme 15).¹²⁸ The organometallic—inorganic hybrid material **41** was characterized by means of FTIR spectroscopy, elemental analysis, and nitrogen physisorption. The neodymium-grafted materials performed as efficient single-component catalysts in the slurry polymerization of isoprene. Polymer analysis revealed high-*cis*-1,4-stereospecificities (>99% *cis*) and narrow molecular weight distributions ($M_n/M_w = 1.33-1.88$).

4. Tetramethylgallate Complexes Ln(GaMe₄)₃

In 1994, Evans et al. reported the synthesis and molecular structure of neodymium(III) tris(tetramethylgallate) (\mathbf{E}_{Nd}) as



Chart 8. Isolated Reaction Intermediates Occurring during the Formation of $Ln(GaMe_4)_3$



the first structurally characterized molecular lanthanide—gallium heterobimetallic complex.¹²¹ The reaction of 6 equiv or an excess of GaMe₃ with a suspension of Nd(NMe₂)₃-(LiCl)₃ in hexane afforded the solvent-free heterobimetallic alkyl compound Nd(GaMe₄)₃ in high yield (Scheme 16, I).

The formation of tetramethylgallate ligands is likely to occur through intermediate coordination of Lewis acidic GaMe₃ to the basic amido-nitrogen atoms of Ln(NMe₂)₃-(LiCl)₃. An additional equivalent of GaMe₃ triggers the complete [amido] \rightarrow [methyl] exchange, leading to [GaMe₄] ligands (see section 3.3). Successful isolation and characterization of the GaMe₃ adduct Nd(NMe₂)₃(GaMe₃)₃ (**42**) (Chart 8) and partially exchanged complex La(GaMe₄)[(NMe₂)-(GaMe₃)]₂ (**43**) (Chart 8) support the proposed stepwise mechanism.¹²¹

Ligand transformation is further driven by the formation of very stable [Me₂GaNMe₂]₂, which can be separated from Ln(GaMe₄)₃ by fractional crystallization. With the discovery of [LnMe₃]_n (**B**), an alternative and economic synthesis route toward homoleptic Ln(GaMe₄)₃ evolved.^{71,103} Polymeric compound [YMe₃]_n could be redissolved by GaMe₃, yielding very pure Y(GaMe₄)₃ in almost quantitative yield (Scheme 16, II). The stoichiometric use of expensive trimethylgallium and the avoidance of undesired gallium-containing byproducts are clearly favorable attributes. However, the applicability of this synthesis approach is so far limited to yttrium as a rare-earth metal center.

Tris(tetramethylgallate) complexes of the rare-earth metals are soluble in hydrocarbons and aromatic solvents. Donor solvents lead to immediate donor cleavage of the [GaMe₄] ligand (see section 3.4). Single crystals of the neodymium and yttrium derivatives have been obtained from hexane solutions and revealed octahedrally coordinated rare-earth metal cations and a tetrahedral geometry about the gallium metal centers (Figure 17).^{103,121} All three Ln–C–Ga–C rings are almost planar, and two of the hydrogen atoms at the five-coordinate bridging carbon atoms are tilted toward the rare-earth metal center. Compared with structurally related Ln(AlMe₄)₃, the LnGa distances are considerably shorter than the respective LnAl distances, which is further reflected in less acute C–Ln–C angles.



Figure 17. Solid-state structure of $Y(GaMe_4)_3(E_Y)$, adapted from ref 103.

Scheme 17. Synthesis of Dimethylaminopropyl Complexes (F)



5. Dimethylaminopropyl Complexes Li₃{Ce[(CH₂)₃NMe₂]₆} and Li{Yb[(CH₂)₃NMe₂]₄}

The large size of the rare-earth metal cation and its preference for high coordination numbers challenges the development of potential hydrocarbyl ligands. One concept to achieve steric and electronic saturation of the rare-earth metal center is the use of ligands containing "built-in" chelating donor functionalities. Intramolecular ring formation via dative bonds stabilizes mononuclear complexes by the chelate and entropy effect. Ligand-bonded donor groups can further compete with donor-solvent molecules for coordination sites, enhancing the thermal stability of the resulting compounds. The 3-(N,N-dimethylamino)propyl moiety appeared as well suited for the formation of a multiplicity of main group^{154–157} and transition metal chelates.^{158–160} The envisaged synthesis of neutral homoleptic dimethylaminopropyl complexes of the rareearth metals by reaction of anhydrous $LnCl_3$ (Ln = La, Pr, Er) with Li(CH₂)₃NMe₂ did not yield the desired products but resulted in the formation of LiCl containing compounds $Li_{3}{Ln[(CH_{2})_{3}NMe_{2}]_{3}Cl_{3}}(thf)_{r}$ (F) (Scheme 17, I).¹⁶¹ Salt contamination was found irrespective of the amount of lithium alkyl used, and attempted separation of lithium chloride by extraction and recrystallization was unsuccessful. Analogous reactions of NdCl₃ and DyCl₃ with Li(CH₂)₃NMe₂ revealed the decomposition of the organic ligands already at ambient temperature. When treating YbCl₃ with 4 equiv of Li(CH₂)₃NMe₂, red crystals of the homoleptic dimethylaminopropyl complex Li{ $Yb[(CH_2)_3NMe_2]_4$ } (**F**_{yb}) could be isolated in moderate yield (Scheme 17, II).¹⁶² Applying a similar synthesis procedure to cerium(III) chloride in the presence of 6 equiv of the lithium alkyl gave anionic $Li_3{Ce[(CH_2)_3NMe_2]_6}$ (**F**_{Ce}) (Scheme 17, III).

Anionic rare-earth metal dimethylaminopropyl complexes are soluble in aliphatic and ethereal solvents. The described compounds are thermally stable and decompose at temper-



Figure 18. Solid-state structure of Li $\{Yb[(CH_2)_3NMe_2]_4\}$ (F_{Yb}), adapted from ref 162.

atures above 110 °C under formation of dimethylamine, propene, and small amounts of higher hydrocarbons. Despite the presence of β -hydrogen atoms, β -hydride elimination as a decomposition pathway has not been observed.^{161,162}

The solid-state structure of Li{Yb[(CH₂)₃NMe₂]₄} (\mathbf{F}_{Yb}) revealed a distorted octahedral geometry at the ytterbium metal center (Figure 18).¹⁶² Two [(CH₂)₃NMe₂] ligands coordinate in a chelating fashion to the ytterbium metal center, while the dimethylamine functionalities of the other two alkyl ligands form a dative bond toward the lithium cation. On the basis of the atomic distances C21–Li and C31–Li, multicentered bonding has been proposed, which results in a distorted tetrahedral coordination of lithium.

Derivatization of dimethylaminopropyl rare-earth metal complexes by means of alkane elimination has not been reported so far.

6. tert-Butylate Complexes [Li(solv)_x][LntBu₄]

The first synthesis of homoleptic *tert*-butylate complexes of the rare-earth metals was reported in 1978 by Evans.¹⁶³ Following the synthesis approach in Scheme 18, I, thf adducts $[Li(thf)_x][LntBu_4]$ (Ln = Sm, Er, Yb) have been isolated and characterized by means of elemental analysis, IR spectroscopy, magnetic susceptibility, and ¹H NMR spectroscopy (Sm).

Several years later, Schumann et al. synthesized the diethyl ether analogues [Li(Et₂O)₄][Ln*t*Bu₄] of lutetium, erbium, and terbium (Scheme 18, II).⁹⁷ Ate complex formation was reported regardless of the stoichiometric ratio of the starting materials. Exchanging the ether donors by tmeda allowed for the isolation of compounds [Li(tmeda)₂][Ln*t*Bu₄] (Scheme 18, III).⁹⁷ An alternative synthesis protocol starting from Ln(O*t*Bu)₃ and 4 equiv of L*it*Bu in the presence of tmeda yielded products of the same composition (Scheme 18, V).⁹⁷ Aiming at the synthesis of tetravalent *tert*-butylate rare-earth metal complexes, the preparation of dme analogues [Li(dme)₃][Ln*t*Bu₄] (Ln = Tb, Er) was reported (Scheme 18, VI).¹⁶⁴

Compounds $[Li(solv)_x][LntBu_4]$ are insoluble in hydrocarbon solvents and form oils in aromatic solvents. They are completely soluble in ethereal solvents (Et₂O, thf).

Scheme 18.	Synthesis	of	[Li(solv) _x][Ln	tBu ₄] (G)
------------	-----------	----	-----------------------------	-----------------------	---





Figure 19. Solid-state structure of $[Li(tmeda)_2][LutBu_4]$ ($G_{Lu-tmeda}$), adapted from ref 165.

Scheme 19. Reactivity of $[Li(thf)_x][LntBu_4]$ (G_{thf}) toward Alkynes

 $[Li(thf)_{x}][LntBu_{4}] + 4 HC \equiv CR \xrightarrow{thf, rt, 1 h - 24 h}_{-4 C_{4}H_{10}} LiLn[C \equiv CR]_{4}(thf)$ Ln = Y, Lu, Er, Sm R = tBu, Ph

The solid-state structures of $[Li(tmeda)_2][LutBu_4]$ ($G_{Lu-tmeda}$) and $[Li(dme)_3][ErtBu_4]$ (G_{Er-dme}) revealed solventseparated ion pairs (Figure 19).^{164,165} The rare-earth metal center of the anionic $[LntBu_4]$ unit is ligated by the four *tert*butyl groups in an approximately tetrahedral arrangement. The Ln-C distances and the C-Ln-C angles (107.3-111.2°) are in the expected range.

Despite the presence of β -hydrogen atoms, compounds [Li(solv)_x][LntBu₄] provide relatively high stability. [Li-(thf)_x][LntBu₄] (Ln = Yb, Sm) were reported to be stable for several days at ambient temperature.¹⁶³ Thermal decomposition of the respective samarium compound was monitored by NMR spectroscopy, and it was suggested that dissociation of LitBu occurs as one of the initial decomposition steps. The absence of equivalent quantities of 2-meth-ylpropene and 2-methylpropane after decomposition led to the conclusion that β -hydride elimination is not the most facile degradation pathway.¹⁶⁶ This phenomenon is in contrast to transition metal organometallic chemistry, where β -hydride elimination usually prevents the formation of stable tBu species.

 $[\text{Li}(\text{thf})_x][\text{Ln}t\text{Bu}_4]$ react with alkynes according to an acid—base reaction under formation of tetra(alkynide) anions $[\text{Ln}(\text{C}=\text{CR})_4]^-$ (Scheme 19). The *t*Bu ligands are hereby completely displaced under concomitant formation of 2-methylpropane.^{167,168}

Already under mild conditions, [Li(tmeda)₂][LntBu₄] reacts with α,β -unsaturated aldehydes and ketones under formation of 1,2-addition products.¹⁶⁵ All four tBu groups can be transferred, but rare-earth metal containing reaction intermediates could not be isolated. Attempts to oxidize *tert*butylate complexes [Li(dme)₃][LntBu₄] to neutral tetra(*tert*butyl) rare-earth metal(IV) compounds by common oxidizing agents (dry O₂, Ag-salts, 1,1'-dimethylferrocenium tetrakis[3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]borate) did not yield isolable compounds.¹⁶⁴

7. Neopentyl Complexes Ln(CH₂tBu)₃(thf)₂

Lappert and Pearce reported the first group 3 neopentyl complexes $Ln(CH_2tBu)_3(thf)_2$ (**H**) together with their silyl analogues $Ln(CH_2SiMe_3)_3(thf)_2$ (**I**) in 1973.⁷² A trigonalbipyramidal arrangement of the ligands around the metal center was anticipated by the ¹H NMR spectra of $Sc(CH_2tBu)_3(thf)_2$ and $Y(CH_2tBu)_3(thf)_2$, but final structural proof was not supplied until 30 years later when Niemeyer successfully crystallized the respective ytterbium compound $Yb(CH_2tBu)_3(thf)_2$.¹⁶⁹ Scheme 20. Synthesis of $Ln(CH_2tBu)_3(thf)_2$ (H) by Salt Metathesis



Scheme 21. Synthesis of Yb(CH₂tBu)₃(thf)₂ (H_{Yb})

3 Yb + 3 ICH ₂ t Bu $\xrightarrow{\text{thf}}$ 3 IYbCH ₂ t Bu
3 IYbCH ₂ tBu + 3 ICH ₂ tBu $\xrightarrow{\text{thf}}$ 3 I ₂ YbCH ₂ tBu + 3 CH ₂ tBu
$3 I_2 YbCH_2 tBu \xrightarrow{\text{thf}} Yb(CH_2 tBu)_3(thf)_2 + 2 YbI_3$
$2 \text{ Ybl}_3 + \text{ Yb} \xrightarrow{\text{thf}} 3 \text{ Ybl}_2$
4 Yb + 6 ICH ₂ tBu $\xrightarrow{\text{thf}}$ Yb(CH ₂ tBu) ₃ (thf) ₂ + 3 Ybl ₂ + 3 CH ₂ tBu

Whereas Lappert and Pearce followed the widely used synthesis procedure starting from lanthanide tris(halides) and lithium alkyl reagents (Scheme 20), $Yb(CH_2tBu)_3(thf)_2$ was synthesized directly from Yb metal and organohalides (Scheme 21).¹⁶⁹

Such a direct approach is more common for the synthesis of divalent organolanthanide complexes, and reaction mixtures often provide complex mixtures of Ln(II) and Ln(III) organyls. Purple crystals of Yb(CH₂tBu)₃(thf)₂ were obtained from reaction mixtures containing Yb chips and 2,2-dimethylpropyliodide (neopentyliodide).

¹H NMR spectroscopy and magnetic-susceptibility measurements confirmed the presence of a paramagnetic Yb(III) metal center. The expected trigonal-bipyramidal structure could finally be proven by X-ray structure determination (Figure 20).¹⁶⁹

Structural details are in very good agreement with those found in the respective Yb(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(thf)₂ structure. The O-Yb-O angle (178.8°) between the axial thf donor molecules is very close to the ideal value, but the highest possible C_{3h} symmetry is not accomplished. Two [CH₂tBu] ligands are facing each other. Steric repulsion is reflected in the nonuniform C-Yb-C angles (110.3°-133.5°) and Yb-C bond lengths (2.36-2.39 Å).



Figure 20. Solid-state structure of $Yb(CH_2tBu)_3(thf)_2$ (H_{Yb}), adapted from ref 169.

Scheme 22. Decomposition of $[(C_5Me_5)_2Ln(CH_2tBu)]_x$ (44) via β -Methyl Elimination



Very little is known about rare-earth metal neopentyl complexes. Considering the wide application of the respective tris(trimethylsilyl)methyl complexes, this is especially surprising. Further derivatizations of lanthanide alkyls Ln- $(CH_2 tBu)_3(thf)_2$ by means of alkane elimination have not been reported so far. The low thermal stability of $[CH_2tBu]$ containing compounds could be a reasonable explanation for the low number of reported representatives. Metallocenes $(C_5Me_5)_2Ln(CH_2tBu)$ (44) (Ln = Sc, Lu) could be obtained by salt-metathesis reaction of $[(C_5Me_5)_2LnCl]_x$ and LiCH₂tBu.^{170,171} Such compounds decompose at ambient temperature, and their solutions are sensitive to ambient light. The stability of 44 the hereby decreases with increasing size of the lanthanide cation, and decomposition occurs under formation of the β -methyl elimination products $[(C_5Me_5)_2LnMe]_x$ (Scheme 22)^{170,171} No α -agostic interaction of the neopentyl ligand and the lanthanide metal center-providing further stabilization-was observed in the solid-state structure of monomeric $(C_5Me_5)_2Sc(CH_2tBu)$. These findings substantiate the low thermal stability of the homoleptic compounds and demonstrate possible decomposition pathways, regardless of the absence of a β -hydrogen atom.

8. (Trimethylsilyl)methyl Complexes

In 1969, trimethylsilyl-substituted methyls were recognized as valuable ligands for main group and transition metal organometallic chemistry.¹⁷² Useful properties like thermal stability, solubility, and chemical reactivity are conferred on their metal complexes. Steric bulk, the stabilizing effect of the silyl group, and the absence of β -hydrogen or β -alkyl substituents characterize this important class of alkyl ligands.⁵⁵

8.1. Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of Ln(III)(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(solv)_x and [cation(solv)_x][Ln(CH₂SiMe₃)₄]

The application of homoleptic (trimethylsilyl)methyl rareearth metal complexes $Ln(CH_2SiMe_3)_3(thf)_x$ (\mathbf{J}_{thf}) underwent an exceptional development during the past decade. Today, $Ln(CH_2SiMe_3)_3(thf)_x$ are among the most widely used starting materials in organorare-earth metal chemistry. Although first synthesized as early as 1973 by Lappert and Pearce,⁷² detailed investigations into structure and reactivity of these lanthanide hydrocarbyls were presented only recently.

The initial synthesis of group 3 (trimethylsilyl)methyl complexes $Sc(CH_2SiMe_3)_3(thf)_2$ and $Y(CH_2SiMe_3)_3(thf)_2^{72}$ was extended to the lanthanide metals some years later. Main contributions were made by the groups of Lappert and Schumann describing the respective lutetium,¹⁷³ ytterbium,¹⁷⁴ thulium, erbium,^{174,175} and terbium¹⁷⁴ compounds. The representative of the medium-sized lanthanide metal center samarium¹⁷³ was described and characterized in 2002, marking the upper cation size limit for isolable compounds $Ln(CH_2SiMe_3)_3(thf)_x$ (**J**_{thf}).

Scheme 23. Synthesis of $Ln(CH_2SiMe_3)_3(solv)_x$ (J)



Table 3. $Ln(CH_2SiMe_3)_3(thf)_x$ (J_{thf}): Synthesis, thf Coordination, Yield, And Characterization

Ln	synthesis	$thf_{coord.}(x)$	yield	characterization	ref
Sc	Ι	2		¹ H, ¹³ C, IR, elemental analysis (EA), mp	72
	II	2			
	III	2	71%		179
Y	Ι	2		¹ H, ¹³ C, ²⁹ Si, IR, EA, mp, X-ray ($x = 3$)	72
	II	2	82%		176, 180
	III	3	69%		179
Lu	Ι	2		¹ H, ¹³ C, IR, EA, X-ray	177, 181
	II	2	63%		177
	III	2	65%		179
Yb	Ι	2		¹ H, IR, EA, mp, X-ray	174, 181
	II	2			
	IV	2	48%		178
Tm	Ι	2		IR, EA	181, 182
	II	2			
Er	Ι	2	29%	IR, EA, mp, X-ray	174, 175
Tb	Ι	2		IR, mp, EA	174
	II	2			
Sm	Ι	3	50%	¹ H, ¹³ C, IR, EA, mp, X-ray	173

Several synthesis procedures for Ln(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(thf)_x have been described, the majority following a salt-metathesis reaction of the anhydrous rare-earth metal halides LnCl₃ or the thf adducts LnCl₃(thf)_x and 3 equiv of LiCH₂SiMe₃ (Scheme 23, I–III). The original syntheses reported by the groups of Lappert and Schumann follows eq I in Scheme 23 using hexane (pentane)/diethylether mixtures combined with (stoichiometric) amounts of thf.^{72,175} Reactions were performed at ca. 0 °C and ambient temperature, respectively. With the introduction of compounds Ln(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(thf)_x as rare-earth metal alkyl precursors, slightly modified synthesis protocols II and III have been applied using hexane suspensions of LnCl₃(thf)_x and LiCH₂SiMe₃.^{176,177}

Synthesis route IV starting from Yb chips and ICH₂SiMe₃ is limited to this redox-active Yb metal center.¹⁷⁸ In situ preparation of the rare-earth metal alkyls has also proved suitable when further reacting Ln(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(thf)_x in alkane elimination reactions with protic reagents. Table 3 sum-



Figure 21. Solid-state structure of $Lu(CH_2SiMe_3)_3(thf)_2 (J_{Lu-thf})$, adapted from ref 173.



Figure 22. Solid-state structure of $Sm(CH_2SiMe_3)_3(thf)_3 (J_{Sm-thf})$, adapted from ref 173.

marizes the reported synthesis approaches, the number of coordinating thf molecules, yields, and characterization of compounds $J_{\rm thf}.$

Because of inefficient steric shielding of the rare-earth metal center by the $[CH_2SiMe_3]$ ligands, complexes **J** require stabilizing donor molecules, usually thf. The number of thf molecules coordinated increases with increasing size of the rare-earth metal cation (Table 3).

Solvation affects the solid-state structures of Ln-(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(thf)_x (Figures 21 and 22). The representatives of the smaller rare-earth metals Ln(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(thf)₂ (Ln = Lu,¹⁷³ Yb,¹⁷⁸ and Er¹⁷³) feature a five-coordinate metal center with the three [CH₂SiMe₃] ligands occupying the equatorial positions and the thf oxygen atoms occupying the axial positions of a trigonal bipyramid (Figure 21). A propellerlike arrangement of the alkyl ligands around the metal center (C_{3h} symmetry) is not realized. Rather, two of the silyl groups are facing each other, causing nonuniform angles C–Ln–C (max. 110°–134°) and Ln–C distances. X-ray structure analyses of Y(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(thf)₃¹⁸⁰ and Sm(CH₂SiMe₃)₃-(thf)₃¹⁷³ revealed a distorted *fac*-octahedral coordination of

Scheme 24. Thermal Decomposition Pathways of Lu(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(thf)₂ (According to Ref 183)



alkyl ligands and the three donor thf molecules (Figure 22). The angles O-Ln-O (74°-81°) are considerably smaller than angles C-Ln-C (101°-108°). Such octahedral coordination can also be enforced by thf \rightarrow diglyme ligand exchange as reported for Lu(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(thf)(diglyme) (Scheme 23, V).¹⁸³ Replacement of one thf molecule by 1,3diisopropyl-4,5-dimethylimidazol-2-ylidene (ImMe2iPr2) according to Scheme 23, VI, yielded Ln(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(thf)- $(ImMe_2iPr_2)$ (Ln = Er, Lu).¹⁸⁴ In the solid state, the carbene adducts display a distorted trigonal-bipyramidal coordination sphere around the metal center. Contrary to the respective thf adducts, the thf oxygen and the third alkyl ligand occupy the axial positions of $J_{thf/Im}$ while steric requirements force two alkyl ligands and one $ImMe_2iPr_2$ ligand into the equatorial positions of the trigonal bipyramid. Displacement of thf in $Ln(CH_2SiMe_3)_3(thf)(ImMe_2iPr_2)$ by a second ImMe₂*i*Pr₂ donor molecule (Scheme 23, VI) results in fivecoordinate complexes that resemble a strongly distorted square pyramid.¹⁸⁴ The edges of the basal plane are occupied by two alkyl and two ImMe₂iPr₂ ligands, and the apical position is occupied by the third alkyl ligand.

A major drawback of homoleptic alkyls \mathbf{J} is their thermal instability. At ambient temperature, solid and dissolved samples of $Ln(CH_2SiMe_3)_3(thf)_x$ decompose within hours, leading to oily insoluble products and the formation of SiMe₄.¹⁷³ Especially the derivatives of the larger lanthanides are prone to ligand degradation reactions, limiting the availability of these useful precursors to the small- and middle-sized rare-earth metals (Sc-Sm). Nevertheless, the synthesis of Nd(CH₂SiMe₃)₃ was published in 1980. The authors claimed a dimeric structure of the insufficiently characterized product.¹⁸⁵ The synthesis and isolation of the neodymium compound, however, has not been reproduced so far. In some cases, in situ alkylation of $LnBr_3(thf)_x$ with LiCH₂SiMe₃ followed by the addition of a protic ligand precursor yielded the desired alkylated compound in moderate yields, even for the large rare-earth metal centers.¹⁸⁶⁻¹⁸⁹ Monitoring the reaction of $LaBr_3(thf)_4$ with 3, 4, and 5 equiv of LiCH₂SiMe₃ in thf- d_8 by ¹H NMR spectroscopy revealed a highly dynamic system with rapid interconversion of

Scheme 25. Formation of Ate Complexes [Li(solv)_x][Ln(CH₂SiMe₃)₄] (K)



different alkyl species in solution, whereas the products derived from a 1:4 stoichiometry are the thermodynamically most stable species.¹⁸⁹

Three reasonable elimination pathways have been proposed for the thermal decomposition of Ln(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(thf)_x, all involving the evolution of SiMe₄ (Scheme 24).¹⁸³ While α -H elimination from a [Ln–CH₂Si] moiety was first assumed to be the preferred decomposition pathway,¹⁷⁵ more detailed investigations on the thermal degradation of Lu(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(thf)₂ corroborate γ -H elimination to be predominant. The "face-to-face" arrangement of two of the SiMe₃ groups in trigonal-bipyramidal compounds **J**_{thf} (Figure 21) seems to impede the α -H elimination of SiMe₄, and octahedrally coordinated Lu(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(thf)(diglyme) proved to be thermally robust.¹⁸³ A β -H elimination mechanism involving the donor (thf) ligands could be ruled out by studies on Lu(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(thf-d₈)₂.

Carbene adducts Ln(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(ImMe₂*i*Pr₂)₂ slowly decompose at ambient temperature under formation of so far unidentified decomposition products.¹⁸⁴ Tetramethyl-silane, however, could not be detected, which excludes degradation via an α - or γ -elimination process yielding carbene-stabilized organorare-earth alkylidene complex (Me₃SiCH₂)Ln(=CHSiMe₃)(ImMe₂*i*Pr₂)₂.

Besides thermal instability, ate complex formation is a complicating side-effect in the synthesis of **J**. Already the earliest publications reported on the occurrence of anionic complexes [Li(solv)₄][Ln(CH₂SiMe₃)₄] (**K**) regardless of the stoichiometry of LnCl₃ and LiCH₂SiMe₃.^{174,181} Direct synthesis of the lithium salts **K** was achieved by reaction of Ln(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(thf)_x with LiCH₂SiMe₃ (Scheme 25, I and II)^{174,190} and LnCl₃ with 4 equiv of LiCH₂SiMe₃ (Scheme 25, III and IV),^{181,190} respectively. The ate complexes are insoluble in nonpolar solvents but are readily soluble in ethers. The diethylether adducts [Li(Et₂O)₄][Ln(CH₂SiMe₃)₄] are kinetically labile and follow an α -H elimination pathway, leading to lanthanide alkylidenes Li[Ln(CH₂SiMe₃)₂-(CHSiMe₃)], but can be stabilized by donor exchange with tmeda (Scheme 25, III).¹⁸¹

However, the use of such ate complexes as alkyl precursor is limited. So far there is only one report on the successful application of $[\text{Li}(thf)_4][\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_4]$ for the synthesis of rare-earth metal bis(alkyl) complexes bearing a fluorenyl functionalized *N*-heterocyclic carbene ligand (Chart 10, **45**).¹⁹⁰

Alkylation of homoleptic rare-earth metal aryloxide complexes proved to be an excellent synthesis approach toward



neutral compounds $Ln[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_3$ (**O**).⁷⁵ Attempts to synthesize neutral Sm(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(thf)₃ following a similar synthesis protocol starting from monomeric aryloxide Sm(OAr^{*i*Pr,H})₃(thf)₂ and 3 equiv of LiCH₂SiMe₃, however, gave the mixed aryloxide—alkyl ate complex [Li(thf)]₂-[Sm(OAr^{*i*Pr,H})₃(CH₂SiMe₃)₂] (**46**) in moderate yield.¹⁹¹ The ionic compound can rather be described as the product of an addition reaction of 2 equiv of LiCH₂SiMe₃ than that of a ligand-substitution reaction. The solid-state structure displays a distorted square-based pyramidal samarium metal ligated by one terminal and one bridging [CH₂SiMe₃] ligand. The three [OAr^{*i*Pr,H}] ligands are bridging between the lanthanide metal and the lithium cations (Figure 23).

Interesting reactivity patterns were observed when combining $[CH_2SiMe_3]$ and alkoxide ligands. In an attempt to make a mixed alkyl/alkoxide compound starting from YCl₃ with 2 equiv of LiCH₂SiMe₃ and 2 equiv of LiO*t*Bu, the product of a nearly complete segregation of alkyl and alkoxide ligands into anionic and cationic compounds was observed (Scheme 26).¹⁹²

X-ray crystallography revealed the ion pair {Y(CH₂SiMe₃)_{*x*}-(O*t*Bu)_{5-*x*}[Li(thf)₄]Cl} {Y(CH₂SiMe₃)₄} (**47**) to be the outcome of this intricate reaction. While the cationic unit shows a complex structure of a central five-coordinate yttrium atom surrounded by [O*t*Bu] ligands (the cation contains a disordered ligand, which is a mixture of ~75% O*t*Bu and 25% CH₂SiMe₃), the anionic part contains an anionic homoleptic yttrium tetrakis(trimethylsilyl)methyl complex. The geometry about the yttrium central metal describes a tetrahedron with C-Y-C angles ranging from 105.9° to 113.2° (Figure 24).

8.2. Ln(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(thf)_x as Synthesis Precursors

Despite the aforementioned drawbacks (cation size restrictions, thermal instability, and ate complex formation), $Ln(CH_2SiMe_3)_3(thf)_x$ (J_{thf}) are widely used rare-earth metal alkyl synthesis precursors. Protonolysis of one, two, or all three [CH₂SiMe₃] ligands under loss of SiMe₄ allowed for the synthesis of an impressive variety of heteroleptic rareearth metal (alkyl) compounds. Particularly, the access to catalytically highly active alkyl compounds including cationic variants led to extensive derivatization of $Ln(CH_2SiMe_3)_3$ -(thf)_x.



Figure 24. Solid-state structure of anionic $\{Y(CH_2SiMe_3)_4\}$ in 47, adapted from ref 192.

8.2.1. Cationic Complexes [Ln(CH₂SiMe₃)_{3-n}(donor)_x]ⁿ⁺[anion]ⁿ⁻

Okuda et al. found that toluene solutions of $Ln(CH_2SiMe_3)_3(thf)_2$ (Ln = Y, Tm, Er, Ho, Dy, and Tb) effectively catalyze the polymerization of ethylene upon activation with Brønsted acid [PhNMe₂H][B(C₆F₅)₄] in the presence of AliBu₃.¹⁰⁵ The obtained polymerization activities were well correlated to the effective ionic radius of the rare-earth metal. Monocationic complexes $[Ln(CH_2SiMe_3)_2(solv)_x][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ (**J**⁺) and dicationic compounds $[Ln(CH_2SiMe_3)(solv)_x][B(C_6F_5)_4]_2$ (**J**²⁺) were discussed as the catalytically active species, and a series of such ionic rare-earth metal (trimethylsilyl)methyl compounds was investigated.¹⁹³⁻¹⁹⁸ Neutral tris(alkyl) complexes Ln(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(thf)₂ react via alkyl abstraction with neutral Lewis acids, in particular group 13 organometallics such as BPh₃, B(C₆F₅)₃, and M(CH₂SiMe₃)₃ (M = Al, Ga, In), to form monocationic complexes of the type [Ln(CH₂SiMe₃)₂- $(\text{donor})_x$]⁺[anion]⁻ (Scheme 27, I–V, VIII, and IX).^{105,193–197} The compounds display solvent-separated ion pairs while the number of coordinated donor molecules is governed by the size of the rare-earth metal cation and the type of donor ligands (thf, 12-crown-4, 15-crown-5, 18-crown-6). The reaction of the tris(alkyls) with $B(C_6F_5)_3$ in thf-d₈ requires forcing conditions (several hours at 40–50 °C; Scheme 27, V), and the resulting monocations can only be characterized in situ. Ion pairs $[Y(CH_2SiMe_3)_2(thf)_4][M(CH_2SiMe_3)_4]$ (Scheme 27, VIII and IX) are stable as thf solutions but recombine in the presence of aromatic hydrocarbons to form the respective neutral precursor compounds.¹⁹⁷ Cationic (trimethylsilyl)methyl complexes can further be obtained by protonolysis reaction of Ln(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(thf)₂ with weak Brønsted acids such as [NEt₃H][BPh₄], [PhNMe₂H][BPh₄], and [PhNMe₂H][B(C₆F₅)₄] (Scheme 27, VI and VII).^{105,195,196} Thermally fairly robust compounds can hereby be obtained with [BPh₄]⁻ counterions, whereas mono(cations) containing the $[B(C_6F_5)_4]^-$ anion are only observable by NMR spectroscopy.

The respective two equivalent reactions of **J** with BPh₃, [NEt₃H][BPh₄], and [PhNMe₂H][BPh₄] result in the clean formation of thermally robust dicationic alkyl complexes $[Ln(CH_2SiMe_3)(donor)_x]^{2+}[anion]_2^{2-}$ (Scheme 28, I–III).^{105,195,196} The residual alkyl group of the thf solvates is resistant to a third equivalent of [NR₂H][BPh₄].

Scheme 27. Synthesis of Cationic Complexes $[Ln(CH_2SiMe_3)_2(donor)_x]^+[anion]^- (J^+)$





While cationic rare-earth metal alkyls $[Ln(CH_2SiMe_3)_{3-n}-(solv)_x]^{n+}[anion]^{n-}$ are insoluble in hydrocarbons and aromatic solvents, they were reported to be soluble and stable



Figure 25. Solid-state structure of the cationic moiety of $[Y(CH_2SiMe_3)_2(thf)_4][Al(CH_2SiMe_3)_4]$ ($J^+_{Y-thf}/Al(CH_2SiMe_3)_4$), adapted from ref 105.





in the presence of donor solvents (thf, pyridine). Ion pair $[Y(CH_2SiMe_3)_2(thf)_4][Al(CH_2SiMe_3)_4]$ ($J^+_{Y-thf}/Al(CH_2SiMe_3)_4$) could further be activated by [PhNMe₂H]-[B(C₆F₅)₄], providing high activity in the polymerization of ethylene. Single crystals of $J^+_{Y-thf}/Al(CH_2SiMe_3)_4$ were obtained from a pentane/thf mixture, revealing a distorted octahedral coordination geometry about the yttrium metal center (Figure 25).¹⁰⁵ The two remaining alkyl ligands of the cationic unit are arranged in a *cis* fashion while four thf donor molecules stabilize the yttrium metal center. Such a *cis* arrangement of the alkyl ligands was further

Chart 9. Complexes Derived from [Y(CH₂SiMe₃)₂(thf)₄][BPh₄]



Scheme 30. General Synthesis Procedure for Half-Sandwich Complexes from Ln(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(thf)_x (J_{thf})



observed in the solid-state structure of $[Y(CH_2SiMe_3)_2(thf)_4]$ -[Ga(CH₂SiMe₃)₄].¹⁹⁷

Since a highly polarized rare-earth metal-carbon bond is combined with a Lewis acidic cationic metal center, both nucleophilic and electrophilic properties can be anticipated for cationic bis(alkyl) and mono(alkyl) complexes. Accordingly, monocationic complexes [Y(CH₂SiMe₃)₂(thf)₄][BPh₄] and [Y(CH₂SiMe₃)₂(thf)₄][Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₄], as well as dication [Y(CH₂SiMe₃)(thf)₅][BPh₄]₂, were found to be highly reactive toward ketones in thf solutions at ambient temperature.¹⁹⁸ Whereas reactions with aliphatic ketones (acetone, pentanone-3, acetophenone) resulted in intractable mixtures of several compounds, the reactions with excess of benzophenone and fluorenone gave the expected alkylation products in high yield (Scheme 29). In the presence of carbon dioxide (1 bar), [Y(CH₂SiMe₃)₂(thf)₄][BPh₄] produced dinuclear cationic complex 48 as the product of a CO₂ insertion into the rare-earth metal alkyl bond (Chart 9). Further, the yttrium monocation proved to be a suitable precursor for the synthesis of bis(1,2-azaborolyl)yttrium alkyl complex 49 (Chart 9).¹⁹⁹ Treatment of the rare-earth metal compound with 1 equiv of the respective lithium azaborolyl yielded the thermodynamically stable bis(1,2-azaborolyl)yttrium compound as the result of ligand redistribution.

8.2.2. Half-Sandwich Complexes

Structurally well-characterized organorare-earth metal complexes based on cyclopentadienyl ligands are of considerable interest, particularly for catalytic hydroamination and as homogeneous polymerization catalysts for both nonpolar and polar monomers. Compared with bis(cyclopentadienyl) complexes, half-sandwich rare-earth metal complexes that contain only one cyclopentadienyl ligand show an increased potential for functionalization at the metal





center. Allowing for two σ -bonded alkyl ligands, such compounds retain one alkyl ligand upon cation formation by treatment with, e.g., organoboron reagents. Hence, they

display potential catalyst precursors for polymerization reactions or organic transformations. The conventional synthesis of mono(cyclopentadienyl) rare-earth metal com-

Table 4. Further Applications of Half-Sandwich Complexes $(Cp^R)Ln(CH_2SiMe_3)_2(donor)_x$

compound	further application	ref
50	[CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions	205-209
	formation of mono(cations)	
	alternating ethylene-norbornene	
	copolymerization	
	insertion of CO	
	Intramolecular C-H bond activation	
	dihydrido complexes	
51	[CH ₂ SiMe ₂] exchange reactions	204, 206,
	synthesis of hydride compounds	210-222
	synthesis of mixed hydride/aryloxide compounds	
	formation of mono(cations)	
	syndiospecific polymerization of styrene	
	ethylene-styrene copolymerization	
	ethylene-norbornene copolymerization	
	(alternating and poly(ethylene- <i>alt</i> -norbornene)	
	homo- and alternating conclumerization of	
	cyclohexene oxide with CO_2	
	polymerization of isoprene (3,4-enriched)	
	styrene-isoprene copolymerization	
	ethylene-dicyclopentadiene copolymerization	
	ethylene, dicyclopentadiene, and styrene	
	terpolymerization	201
52	formation of mono(cations)	206
53	synthesis of hydride compounds	204 216 217
55	formation of mono(cations)	204, 210, 217
	syndiospecific polymerization of styrene	
	ethylene—norbornene copolymerization	
54	intramolecular C–H bond activation	204. 216-218
	formation of mono(cations)	223-226
	syndiospecific polymerization of styrene	
	ethylene-norbornene copolymerization	
55	insertion of CO ₂	180
	formation of a cyclopentadienyl-allyl ligand	
=/	by multiple metalation	227
50	[CH ₂ SIMe ₃] exchange reactions	227
	formation of mana(actions)	
	insertion of CO ₂	
	insertion of Me ₂ SiNCO	
	insertion of <i>i</i> PrN=C=N <i>i</i> Pr	
	polymerization of ethylene	
57	[CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions	221
45	formation of mono(cations)	228
	polymerization of isoprene (3,4-selective)	
58	no further application	229
59	formation of mono(cations)	190
<i>(</i> 0)	polymerization of isoprene (3,4-enriched)	220
60	formation of mono(cation)	230
61	polymerization of ethylene	221
01 62	no further application	231
63	ny further application	176
64	no further application	176
65	ROP of ε -caprolactone	233. 234
	formation of monocations	
	[CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions	

plexes by salt-metathesis reactions is often hampered by ate complex formation with concomitant alkali metal salt incorporation.^{200–202} Alkane elimination was found to be a facile synthesis route to complexes of the type $(Cp^R)Ln(CH_2-SiMe_3)_2(donor)_x$. Reaction of $Ln(CH_2SiMe_3)_3(thf)_x$ (J_{thf}) with the respective substituted cyclopentadiene $H(Cp^R)$ (Scheme 30) gave access to a large variety of mono(cyclopentadieneyl)—bis(alkyl) complexes (Chart 10 and Table 4). Metallocene formation,²⁰³ even in the presence of excess $H(Cp^R)$, was not observed when silyl-substituted cyclopentadienes were employed.²⁰⁴ The use of silyl-free cyclopentadienes $H(C_5Me_5)$ and $H(C_5Me_4H)$ often results in mixtures of mono-and bis(cyclopentadienyl) complexes.²⁰³

Mono(cyclopentadienyl) complexes **51** and **53** can undergo hydrogenolysis of both alkyl ligands, affording isolable hydrido clusters.^{204,210,212,219} The in situ generation of cationic mono(cyclopentadienyl) rare-earth metal complexes by alkyl abstraction using borate reagents [Ph₃C][B(C₆F₅)₄] and [PhNMe₂H][B(C₆F₅)₄], respectively, results in highly active polymerization catalysts (Table 4). Scandium bis(alkyl) complex **51**_{Sc} shows excellent activity for the syndiospecific styrene homopolymerization (activity, 1.36 × 10⁴ (kg PS)/ (mol Sc h); $M_w/M_n = 1.37$), and complexes **51**, **53**, and **54** proved suitable for the co- and terpolymerization of a series of monomers.^{204,206,210–225}

8.2.3. Constraint Geometry Complexes

Incorporation of the cyclopentadienyl ancillary ligand into a chelate array of (pendant) donor functionalities gives access to prominent Cp derivatives. Since the original introduction by the Bercaw group, the linked amido-cyclopentadienyl (Cp) ligand has advanced to be one of the most versatile ligands for group 4 metal polymerization catalysts.²³⁵ Catalysts based on this type of ligand provide a constrained ligand environment but are anticipated to be more active toward sterically demanding monomers than metallocenes. Aminecyclopentadiene proligands react with Ln(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(thf)_x (J_{thf}) according to an alkane-elimination reaction in a similar manner as shown in Scheme 30. Because of the dianionic nature of the resulting ligand, only one [CH₂SiMe₃] ligand is retained in the resulting compounds, which allows for further derivatization (Chart 11).

In the presence of Ph₃SiH or H₂, complexes **66**–**73** form dimeric hydrido complexes,^{176,177,204,236} showing high potential in the catalytic hydrosilylation of olefins.^{226,237,238} Catalytic activities and stereoselectivities are hereby influenced by the length of the linker between the cyclopentadienyl and the amido-functionality and the substituents at the amidonitrogen.²³⁷ Remarkable catalytic activity was observed for complexes **68**^{cyclohexyl}. Upon activation with equimolar amounts of [Ph₃C][B(C₆F₅)₄], such compounds polymerized ethylene and isoprene, regiospecifically yielding 3,4-polyisoprene with isotactic-rich stereo microstructures and relatively narrow molecular weight distribution ($M_w/M_n = 1.8$).²²² Complex **67**_Y was found to initiate the polymerization of the polar monomers *tert*-butyl acrylate and acrylonitrile, however, yielding atactic polymeric products (see Table 5).²⁰⁴

8.2.4. Complexes with Neutral Nitrogen- and Oxygen-Based Ligands

While early work in organorare-earth metal chemistry was dominated by complexes supported by cyclopentadienyl-type ligands of varying substitution and modification, the limitations inherent to these ligand sets triggered the development of alternative ancillary ligands. Particularly in the past 15 years, advanced ligand design gave access to a wide variety of rare-earth metal complexes supported by noncyclopentadienyl ligand environments. Because of the Lewis acidic nature of the rare-earth metal ions, ligands based on the hard donor elements oxygen and nitrogen are most commonly used, while some notable exceptions have been reported. To avoid ligand redistribution, multidentate ligands are generally favored. Since rare-earth metal cations are invariable in the +3 oxidation state (except Eu(II), Sm(II), Yb(II), and Ce(IV)), neutral, monoanionic, or dianionic ligand sets are the most desirable.





 Table 5. Further Applications of Complexes with Functionalized

 Cp^R Ligands

compound	further application	ref
66	synthesis of hydride compounds [CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions dimerization of terminal alkynes cross-coupling of terminal alkynes with isocyanides catalytic addition of amine N-H	176, 177, 237, 239–244
67	alkyne C–H, and phosphine P–H bonds to carbodiimines hydrosilylation of olefins synthesis of hydride compounds [CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions donor-exchange reactions hydrosilylation of olefins polymerization of <i>tB</i> u-acrylate polymerization of acrylonitrile dimerization of terminal alkynes catalytic addition of amine N–H, alkyne C–H, and phosphine P–H bonds to carbodiimines	176, 177, 204, 237, 239, 241, 243–246
68	cross-coupling of terminal alkynes with isocyanides synthesis of hydride compounds hydrosilylation of olefins polymerization of ethylene isospecific 3,4-polymerization of	215, 222, 226
69	synthesis of hydride compounds	247
70	synthesis of hydride compounds [CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions hydrosilvlation of olefins	237, 238, 248
71	synthesis of hydride compounds hydrosilylation of olefins	236, 237
72	synthesis of hydride compounds	176
73	synthesis of hydride compounds polymerization of methyl methacrylate (low activity)	249

Neutral macrocyclic and tripodal ancillary ligands containing oxygen, nitrogen, or sulfur donors were found suitable to stabilize tris(alkyl) rare-earth metal complexes (Chart 12). Moreover, such facially coordinating ancillary ligands allow for the formation of stable monocationic and in some cases even dicationic rare-earth metal alkyl species.

Complexes **74–84** were prepared by the reaction of tris(alkyl) precursors $Ln(CH_2SiMe_3)_3(thf)_x$ (**J**_{thf}) with equimolar amounts of the respective neutral donor ligand (Chart 12 and Table 6).

In situ formation of mono- and dicationic rare-earth metal alkyl species by treatment with $[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]$, $[PhNMe_2H]$ - $[B(C_6F_5)_4]$, or $B(C_6F_5)_3$, respectively, was reported for compounds **74–80**, **82**, and **83**.^{182,193–195,250–256} The borate/borane activated complexes (except **74–76**, and **81**) polymerized ethylene with moderate to high activities. Activated complex **78** stabilized by 1,4,7-trithiacyclononane further initiated the polymerization of 1-hexene and styrene with very high activities but yielded atactic polymers with poor control of the molecular weights.²⁵²

Bis(cations) formed by 83_{Sc} and 2 equiv of [Ph₃C]-[B(C₆F₅)₄] are highly active in the polymerization of 1-hexene, producing highly isotactic poly(1-hexene) (2030 kg/(mol h); *mmmm* = 90%) (see Table 6).²⁵⁴

8.2.5. Complexes with Monoanionic Nitrogen-, Oxygen-, and Phosphorus-Based Ligands

A large number of monoanionic ancillary ligand sets has been developed, well suitable to stabilize alkyl complexes of the rare-earth metals. The monoanionic ancillary ligand allows for organometallic rare-earth metal complexes with two hydrocarbyl or hydride ligands that can be converted into the corresponding cationic monoalkyl/monohydride species by activation with borate/borane reagents like [Ph₃C][B(C₆F₅)₄], [PhNMe₂H][B(C₆F₅)₄], or B(C₆F₅)₃. The resulting cationic species have demonstrated encouraging catalytic activities for a range of polymerization reactions including olefins, conjugated dienes, and polar monomers. Homoleptic Ln(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(thf)_x (J_{thf}) are the most widely used alkyl precursors for the synthesis of rare-earth metal bis(alkyl) complexes supported by such monoanionic ancil-

Chart 12. Ln(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(thf)_x Derivatives Containing Neutral [OOOO], [OOOOO], [OOOOOO], [NN], [NNN], [SSS], and [ONO] Ligands



 Table 6. Further Applications of Complexes Containing Neutral

 N- and O-Based Ligands

compound	further application	ref
74	formation of mono- and dications	193-195
75	formation of monocations	193
76	formation of monocations	193
77	formation of mono- and dications	250, 251, 255, 257
78	formation of mono- and dications polymerization of ethylene polymerization of 1-hexene polymerization of styrene	252, 255
79	formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene	251, 255
80	formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene hydroamination/cyclization of aminoalkenes	253, 258
81	formation of monocations	259
82	formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene	250, 251, 255
83	formation of mono- and dications polymerization of α -alkenes (1-becene 1-bentene 1-octene)	182, 254, 256
84	[CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions insertion of carbodiimines	260

lary ligands. Routinely, alkane-elimination reaction of equimolar equimolar amounts of the respective proligand and $Ln(CH_2SiMe_3)_3(thf)_x$ gave access to a large variety of complexes $[L]Ln(CH_2SiMe_3)_x(thf)_n$ (Charts 13–16).

One of the first monoanionic nitrogen-donor ancillaries applied in this alkane elimination reaction is the benzamidinato ligand. The Hessen group reported benzamidinato—bis(alkyl) complexes **89** and **90** as well as the formation of cationic species upon activation with [PhNMe₂H][B-(C₆F₅)₄].^{186,261,262} In situ prepared cations effectively catalyzed the polymerization of ethylene, yielding polyethylene with a narrow polydispersity (**89**_Y/[PhNMe₂H][B(C₆F₅)₄]/TiBAO: 3×10^3 kg/(mol bar h); $M_w/M_n = 2.0$).²⁶¹

Remarkably, the benzamidinato ligand proved suitable to form bis(alkyl) complexes of the entire rare-earth metal cation size range. One-pot reaction of LaBr₃(thf)₄, NdCl₃(thf)₃, or GdCl₃(thf)₃ with 3 equiv of LiCH₂SiMe₃ and 1 equiv of the amidine yielded the respective complexes **89**_{La}, **89**_{Nd}, and **89**_{Gd}—the first neosilyl complexes of the early lanthanide metals.¹⁸⁶

Active ethylene polymerization catalysts were further obtained from complexes $97,^{263}$ $98,^{264,265}$ $105,^{266}$ $106,^{187}$ $107,^{267}$ $109,^{268}$ $115,^{269}$ and 129^{270} when activated with borate reagents. Whereas cationic species derived from 92 and [Ph₃C][B(C₆F₅)₄] showed no activity in the polymerization of isoprene, addition of AlEt₃ as a third component resulted in versatile activity depending on the molar ratio of [Al]/



[Ln], however, producing polyisoprene with low stereoregularity.²⁷¹ High *cis*-1,4-polyisoprene (*cis*-1,4: 99%; $M_w/M_n =$ 1.05–1.13) could be obtained from catalyst mixtures **124**/ [PhNMe₂H][B(C₆F₅)₄] and **124**/[Ph₃C][B(C₆F₅)₄], rare examples of high catalytic activity in the absence of an organoaluminum cocatalyst.²⁷² Further, **86**,²⁷³ **95**,²⁷⁴ **126** (3,4enriched),²⁷⁵ **131** (*trans*-1,4-enriched),²⁷⁶ and **132**²⁷⁶ (*trans*-1,4-enriched) polymerize isoprene when activated by borate cocatalysts.

Neutral complexes **93**,^{271,277} **114**,^{278,279} **117**,²⁸⁰ **118**,²⁷⁹ **121**,²⁸⁰ and **127**²⁸¹ are active catalysts for the ring-opening polymerization (ROP) of lactide, whereas **99**,²⁸²**100**,²⁸³ **119**,²⁸⁴ and **120**²⁸⁴ polymerize ε -caprolactone. For further applications of complexes [L][Ln]_{3-x}(CH₂SiMe₃)_x(thf)_n, see Table 7.

8.2.6. Complexes with Dianionic Nitrogen- and Oxygen-Based Ligands

Reaction of Ln(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(thf)_x (\mathbf{J}_{thf}) with multidentate proligands containing two acidic functionalities yielded a series of very stable mono(alkyl) rare-earth metal complexes (Charts 17–19). The dianionic ligand set allows for the preparation of complexes related to bis(cyclopentadienyl) (= metallocene) derivatives. The catalytic application of complexes supported by dianionic ancillary ligands therefore depends on the initiating property of the remaining alkyl actor ligand. Compound **142**_{sc} bearing a tridentate diamide—pyridine ancillary ligand initiated the polymerization of the polar monomer methyl methacrylate (MMA). The resulting PMMA





showed a narrow molecular weight distribution ($M_w/M_n = 1.28$) but low control of the tacticity.³⁰⁶ Further, complexes **133** and **137** proved to be efficient initiators for the polymerization of MMA.³⁰⁷ The chiral binaphthylamido alkyl complex **139** and aminotroponiminato complex **145** per-

formed as catalysts for the asymmetric intramolecular hydroamination/cyclization of terminal aminoolefins.^{109,308}

Polylactides are among the most promising biodegradable and biocompatible synthetic macromolecules. Such polymers are most conveniently accessible by ring-opening polymer-





ization of lactide. Mono(alkyl) complexes **138**, **140**, **153**, and **157–159** initiate the polymerization of *rac*-lactide under mild conditions.^{277,278,281,309,310} Compounds **153** and **157–159** displayed living polymerization and produced polymers with very high stereoselectivity affording heterotactic polylactide from racemic lactide mixtures.^{278,309,310} Complexes **161** and **162** bearing thioether-functionalized bis(phenolato) ligands proved to be efficient catalysts for the hydrosilylation of olefins.^{311,312} For further applications of complexes [L]Ln(CH₂SiMe₃)(thf)_n, see Table 8.

9. [Dimethyl(phenyl)silyl]methyl Complexes

9.1. Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of Ln(CH₂SiMe₂Ph)₃(thf)₂

Triggered by the thermal instability of lanthanide alkyls $Ln(CH_2SiMe_3)_3(thf)_x$ (J_{thf}), more bulky [CH_2SiMe_2Ph] groups have been introduced to prepare homoleptic alkyls

Ln(CH₂SiMe₂Ph)₃(thf)₂ (\mathbf{L}_{thf}). The scandium and yttrium derivatives were first reported in 2002 by Piers et al. as easily accessible by a salt-metathesis reaction of LnCl₃(thf)_{*x*} and LiCH₂SiMe₂Ph (Scheme 31).^{302,322}

The [CH₂SiMe₂Ph] ligands impart higher stability of complexes L reflected in high isolable yields and significantly reduced thermal degradation. However, decomposition giving an unidentified, insoluble brown precipitate accompanied by the loss of Me₃SiPh occurred after 24 h at 65 °C in toluene- d_8 . The lower solubility of compounds Ln(CH₂SiMe₂Ph)₃(thf)₂ (L_{thf}) compared to Ln(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(thf)_x (J_{thf}) facilitates crystallization and purification of these alkyl derivatives.

In the solid state, $Sc(CH_2SiMe_2Ph)_3(thf)_2(L_{Sc-thf})$ features a trigonal-bipyramidal coordination geometry upon the scandium metal center.³⁰² The two thf molecules are axially coordinated, whereas the alkyl groups are arranged in a pinwheel array (Figure 26). The trigonal bipyramid is nearly regular, and the Sc–C bond lengths are essentially identical.





According to NMR spectroscopic studies, the solid-state structure is also retained in solution. Very recently, the respective thulium and lutetium compounds have been synthesized following the procedure depicted in Scheme 31.^{182,256} Because of the unexpected low stability, compounds L_{Tm-thf} and L_{Lu-thf} were not isolated but used in situ at -80 °C in an alkane-elimination reaction. Attempts to synthesize complexes L with the larger rare-earth metals have not been reported so far.

LiCH₂SiMe₂Ph is commercially not available and has to be synthesized from Me₂PhSiCH₂Cl and lithium powder. This and the low volatility of the Me₃SiPh side-product of alkane-elimination reactions (bp. 170 °C vs 27 °C for SiMe₄) are obvious drawbacks of the presented lanthanide alkyls.³⁰²

9.2. Ln(CH₂SiMe₂Ph)₃(thf)₂ as Synthesis Precursors

Like their less-bulky analogues, complexes Ln- $(CH_2SiMe_2Ph)_3(thf)_2$ (L_{thf}) have been used as starting materials for alkane-elimination reactions. The number of reported applications, however, is so far limited to monoanionic multidentate N,O-donor and neutral multidentate N-donor ligands (Chart 20).

A series of salicylaldiminato complexes **164**–**169** has been investigated with respect to the ancillary ligand impact on the (thermal) stability of complexes [L]₂Ln(CH₂SiMe₂Ph)-(thf)_x (Ln = Sc, Y) (**164**–**167**) and [L]Y(CH₂SiMe₂Ph)₂(thf)_x (x = 1, 2) (**168**).^{302,303,322} The stability of bis[L] complexes **164**–**167** increases with increasing steric bulk on the aldimine functionality. Insufficient steric shielding and elevated temperatures lead to rapid decomposition via ligand metalation and/or 1,3-migration of the entire [CH₂SiMe₂Ph] group to the aldimine carbon.

A series of monoanionic, tripodal ancillary ligands featuring various neutral O-, N-, and S- donors has been synthesized by the group of Bercaw.²⁶⁹ The reaction of in situ generated Ln(CH₂SiMe₂Ph)₃(thf)₂ (L_{thf}) (Ln = Sc, Y) with the respective ligand precursors cleanly produced compounds **171–174**. Cationization of compounds **171–174** with [PhNMe₂H][B(C₆F₅)₄] and/or MAO generated mono(alkyl) species displaying low activity in the polymerization of ethylene.

With the objective to obtain a catalytically active cationic lanthanide alkyl species, in situ prepared $Ln(CH_2SiMe_2Ph)_3$ -(thf)₂ (Ln = Tm, Lu) was treated with the C_3 -chiral tris(oxazolinyl)ethane to form donor-free **175**.¹⁸² The reaction

 Table 7. Further Applications of Complexes Containing Monoanionic N-, O-, and P-Based Ligands

85 no further application 285 86 formation of monocations 273 87 alternating copolymerization of sopreme 279, 286 88 formation of monocations 271 90 pd/ordizitation of isopreme 186, 261, 237, 288 90 pd/ordizitation of ethylene 186, 261, 237, 288 91 bydrositylation of alkenes 262 91 bydrositylation of alkenes 262 91 bydrositylation of alkenes 288 92 bydrositylation of isopreme 271 93 formation of monocations 271, 277 94 no further application 273 95 insertion of carbodimines 274 96 nofurther application 263, 289 97 formation of monocations 264, 265 98 polymerization of ethylene 262 99 RATO of carbolimines 274 90 Rother anglycation 283 101 no further application 262 102 formation of monocations 294, 265 103 IC/R,	compound	further application	ref
86 formation of isopene alternating copolymerization of cyclohexene oxide and CO ₂ 273 87 alternating copolymerization of cyclohexene oxide and CO ₂ 279, 286 88 formation of monocations polymerization of explene intramolecular hydroamination/ cyclization hydrosilylation of alkenes 186, 261, 287, 288 90 formation of monocations polymerization of alkenes 262 91 pormation of monocations polymerization of alkenes 288 92 formation of monocations polymerization of isoprene 271, 277 93 formation of monocations polymerization of isoprene 274 94 no further application 277 95 insertion of carbodimines 274 96 insertion of carbodimines 274 97 formation of monocations 264, 265 98 formation of monocations 264, 265 98 formation of hydride compounds polymerization of ethylene 283 100 no further application 203 101 formation of monocations 267, 287 102 formation of monocations 267, 287 103 <t< th=""><th>85</th><th>no further application</th><th>285</th></t<>	85	no further application	285
polymerization of isoprene279, 28687alternating copymerization of cyclohexene exide and CO227188formation of monocations186, 261, 287, 28890hydrosilylation of alkenes torkiton of ethylene intramolecular hydrosinination/ cyclization eydrosilylation of alkenes26291hydrosilylation of alkenes formation of monocations26192formation of monocations27193formation of monocations27194no further application formation of monocations27195insertion of carbodimines27496polymerization of ethylene polymerization of ethylene27497formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene28398formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene28399ROP of e-caprolactone cris-selective linear alkyne dimerization of ethylene283100ROP of e-caprolactone cris-selective linear alkyne dimerization of ethylene283101no further application cris-selective linear alkyne dimerization of ethylene283102formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene cris-selective linear alkyne dimerization of ecaprolactone283103ICH_SIMegl exchange reactions polymerization of ethylene cris-selective linear alkyne dimerization of ecaprolactone cyclization typenetization of ethylene264, 265104formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene cris-selective linear alkyne dimerization dimerization of ethylene267, 287, 28 <t< th=""><th>86</th><th>formation of monocations</th><th>273</th></t<>	86	formation of monocations	273
87alternating copolymerization of cyclobexeen oxide and CO2 formation of monocations polymerization of isoprene intramolecular hydroamination/ orbit and the cyclobexeen oxide and CO2 formation of monocations polymerization of alkenes27189formation of monocations polymerization of alkenes polymerization of alkenes polymerization of alkenes polymerization of chylene polymerization of alkenes polymerization of chylene polymerization of chylene trivense chylene polymerization of chylene trivense chylene thermal stability investigations termation of monocations polymerization of chylene thermal stability investigations termation of monocations polymerization of chylene trivense chylene thermal stability investigations tervester chylene trivense chylene thylene insertion cyclobexene oxide and CO2 cyclobexene oxide and CO2 cyclobexene oxide and CO2 cyclobexene oxide and CO2 cyclobexene oxide and CO3 cyc		polymerization of isoprene	
88Cyclonextene Oxule and CO2 polymerization of isoprene polymerization of ethylene inversion of monocations polymerization of ethylene polymerization of alkenes26290formation of monocations polymerization of alkenes polymerization of alkenes polymerization of alkenes polymerization of soprene polymerization of soprene27191hydrosilylation of alkenes polymerization of soprene polymerization of soprene polymerization of soprene27493formation of monocations polymerization of carbodimines polymerization of carbodimines polymerization of ethylene27495insertion of carbodimines polymerization of ethylene polymerization of ethylene polymerization of ethylene polymerization of ethylene polymerization of ethylene polymerization of ethylene cris-selective linear alkyne dimrization tris-selective linear alkyne dimrization thermal stability investigations polymerization of of offs278106formation of monocations polymerization of offs278271107formation of monocations polymerization of offs274108no further application thermal stability investigations polymerization of ethylene cyclotexene oxide and CO2 cyclotexene oxide a	87	alternating copolymerization of	279, 286
60100 mercation27189formation of monocations intramolecular hydroamination/ cyclization opherization of ethylene186, 261, 287, 28890polymerization of ethylene polymerization of alkenes polymerization of alkenes service26291hydrosilylation of alkenes polymerization of isoprene formation of monocations polymerization of isoprene27193formation of monocations formation of monocations polymerization of carbodimines polymerization of carbodimines polymerization of carbodimines polymerization of ethylene formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene get27496insertion of carbodimines polymerization of ethylene polymerization of ethylene polymerization of ethylene get282100ROP of e-caprolactone costenations polymerization of ethylene costenation of monocations polymerization of ethylene costenation flydride compounds 290-292292103formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene costeselective linear alkyne dimerization intramolecular hydroamination/ cyclization ethylene insertion of carbolimine costeselective linear alkyne dimerization ethylene insertion of ethylene termation of monocations polymerization of ethylene termation of monocations polymerization of ethylene termation of monocations polymerization of ethylene termation ethylene termation of monocations polymerization of ethylene termation and monocations polymerization of ethylene termation and production station polymerization of ethylene termation and production station thermal stability investigations formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene termation and produ	88	formation of monocations	271
 formation of monocations intramolecular hydrosimination/ cyclization polymerization of ethylene intramolecular hydrosimination/ cyclization polymerization of alkenes intramolecular hydrosimination of alkenes intramolecular hydrosimination of ethylene internation of monocations intramolecular hydrosimination of alkenes intramolecular hydrosimination of alkenes internation of anonocations internation of isoprene internation of isoprene insertion of carbodimines internation of isoprene insertion of carbodimines internation of ethylene insertion of carbodimines internation of ethylene insertion of ethylene internation i	00	polymerization of isoprene	271
polymerization of ethylene intranolecular hydroamination/ cyclization polymerization of alkenes polymerization of alkenes polymerization of alkenes polymerization of alkenes polymerization of alkenes polymerization of alkenes polymerization of soprene polymerization of soprene polymerization of carbodimines polymerization of carbodimines polymerization of carbodimines polymerization of arbodimines polymerization of monocations polymerization of ethylene polymerization of polymerization polymerization of ethylene polymerization of ethylene polymerization of ethylene cris-selective linear alkyne dimerization intramolecular hydroamination/ polymerization of ethylene polymerization of pelicenic polymerization of pelicenic polymerization of pelicenic polymerization of pelicenic polymerization of ethylene polymerization of pelicenic polymerization of pelicenic poly	89	formation of monocations	186, 261, 287, 288
intramolecular hydrosimination/ cyclization polymerization of alkenes polymerization of alkenes polymerization of alkenes polymerization of alkenes polymerization of soprene polymerization of ethylene polymerization of ethylene cis-selective linear alkyne dimerization intramolecular hydrak compounds polymerization of ethylene cis-selective linear alkyne dimerization intramolecular hydrak compounds polymerization of ethylene thermal stability investigations formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene thermal stability investigations formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene thermal stability investigations polymerization of ethylene thermal stability investigations polymerization of ethylene thermal stability investigations polymerization of ethylene thylene insertion polymerization of ethylene z-selective head-to-head alkyne dimerization polymerization of of ethylene polymerization of of ethylene polymerization of of ethylene polymerization of of polyene polymerization of of polyene polymerization of polymerization polymerization of of polyene polymerization of		polymerization of ethylene	
Cyclization Cyclization 90 formation of monocations 262 91 bydrosilylation of alkenes 288 92 formation of monocations 271 93 formation of monocations 271 94 no further application 277 95 insertion of carbodimines 274 96 insertion of carbodimines 274 97 formation of monocations 264, 265 96 polymerization of ethylene 282 90 ROP of e-caprolactone 282 100 ROP of e-caprolactone 281 101 Roffree application 262 102 formation of monocations 187, 188 104 formation of monocations 187, 188 105 formation of monocations 267, 287 106 formation of monocations 267, 287 107 formation of monocations 267, 287 108 no further application 253 109 formation of monocations 267, 287		intramolecular hydroamination/	
90 Invariants 262 91 hydrosilylation of ethylene 288 92 formation of isopene 271 93 polymerization of isopene 271 94 momentation of isopene 271 95 insertion of carbodimines 274 96 insertion of carbodimines 274 97 formation of monocations 263, 289 98 formation of monocations 264, 265 99 ROP of exapplactone 283 90 formation of monocations 264, 265 99 ROP of exapplactone 222 90 ROP of exapplactone 223 91 romation of monocations 264, 265 90 ROP of exapplactone 223 91 romation of monocations 264, 265 91 ROP of exapplactone 223 92 romation of monocations 290-292 93 ICH_SiMe] exchange reactions 293, 224 94 formation of monocations 298		cyclization bydrosilylation of alkenes	
polymerization of alkness 288 91 hydrosilylation of alkness 288 92 formation of monocations 271 93 formation of monocations 271 94 no further application 277 95 insertion of carbodimines 274 96 insertion of carbodimines 263, 289 97 formation of monocations 264, 265 98 formation of monocations 264, 265 99 ROP of <i>L</i> -caprolactone 283 100 ROP of <i>L</i> -caprolactone 283 101 no further application 200-292 102 formation of monocations 200-292 103 (CH_SINE_] carchange reactions 203, 294 104 formation of monocations 200-292 103 formation of monocations 200-292 104 formation of monocations 207, 287 105 formation of monocations 267, 287 106 formation of monocations 267, 287 107 formation of monocations 268, 297 108 no further application 253 109 formation of monocations 268, 297 109 formation of monocations 298 <tr< th=""><th>90</th><th>formation of monocations</th><th>262</th></tr<>	90	formation of monocations	262
91 hydrosilylation of alkenes 288 92 formation of monocations 271 93 formation of monocations 271, 277 93 formation of monocations 271 94 no further application 277 95 insertion of carbodimines 274 96 insertion of anonocations 263, 289 97 formation of monocations 264, 265 98 formation of entylene 282 99 ROP of <i>e</i> -caprolactone 283 101 no further application 262 102 formation of hydride compounds 290-292 103 [CH,SiMe_] exchange reactions 293, 294 104 formation of nonocations 283 105 formation of monocations 285 106 formation of monocations 187, 188, 266, 287, 25 105 formation of monocations 287, 287 106 formation of monocations 263, 289 107 formation of monocations 267, 287 108		polymerization of ethylene	202
92 formation of monocations 271 93 formation of monocations 271, 277 84 no further application 277 95 insertion of carbodimines 274 96 insertion of carbodimines 274 97 formation of monocations 263, 289 98 formation of monocations 264, 265 99 ROP of e-caprolactone 283 100 ROP of e-caprolactone 283 101 no further application 262 102 formation of hydride compounds 290-292 103 ICH,SiMe,J exchange reactions 293, 294 104 formation of hydride compounds 290-292 103 ICH,SiMe,J exchange reactions 293, 294 104 formation of hydride compounds 295 105 formation of nonocations 187, 188, 266, 287, 25 104 formation of nonocations 187, 188 105 formation of nonocations 261, 285 106 formation of nonocations 262, 287 107 formation of nonocations 263, 280 108 no further application 253 109 formation of nonocations 268, 297 110 formation o	91	hydrosilylation of alkenes	288
 polymerization of isoprene formation of nonocations 271, 277 ROP of D₁-Lactide formation of monocations polymerization of carbodimines 274 formation of monocations polymerization of isoprene formation of monocations polymerization of endpolymerization formation of nonocations polymerization of endplene polymerization of monocations polymerization of monocations polymerization of endplene polymerization of monocations polymerization of endplene polymerization of endplene polymerization of monocations polymerization of endplene polymerization of monocations polymerization of endplene polymerization of turblene Z-selective head-to-head alkyne dimerization of turblene Z-selective head-to-head alkyne polymerization of turblene polymerization of turblene polymerization of turblene polymerization of turblene polymerization of turblene	92	formation of monocations	271
93 formation of monocations 2/1, 2/1/ 94 no further application 277 95 insertion of carbodimines 274 96 insertion of carbodimines 274 97 formation of monocations 263, 289 98 formation of monocations 264, 265 99 ROP of <i>e</i> -caprolactone 283 100 ROP of <i>e</i> -caprolactone 283 101 no further application 262 102 formation of hydride compounds 290-292 103 [CH ₅ SiMe ₅] exchange reactions 290-292 104 formation of hydride compounds 290-323 105 formation of monocations 187, 188, 266, 287, 25 106 formation of monocations 187, 188, 266, 287, 25 107 formation of monocations 267, 287 108 formation of monocations 268, 297 109 formation of monocations 298 109 formation of monocations 208 109 formation of monocations 298 110 <	02	polymerization of isoprene	071 077
94 ROP of RaP-acture 277 95 insertion of carbodimines 274 96 insertion of carbodimines 274 97 formation of monocations 263, 289 98 formation of monocations 264, 265 99 ROP of e-caprolactone 282 100 ROP of e-caprolactone 283 101 no further application 262 102 formation of hydride compounds 290 – 292 103 [CH_SINe_] exchange reactions 293, 294 104 formation of hydride compounds 295 105 formation of nonocations 187, 188, 266, 287, 25 104 formation of monocations 187, 188 105 formation of monocations 187, 188 106 formation of monocations 263 107 formation of monocations 264, 265 108 no further application 253 109 formation of monocations 268, 297 110 formation of monocations 268, 297 1114<	93	POP of D L loctido	2/1, 2/7
95 insertion of carbodinmines 274 96 insertion of carbodinmines 274 97 formation of monocations 263, 289 98 formation of monocations 264, 265 99 ROP of <i>e</i> -caprolactone 283 100 ROP of <i>e</i> -caprolactone 283 101 no further application 262 102 formation of hydride compounds 290-292 103 [CH,SiMe_] exchange reactions 293, 254 104 formation of hydride compounds 290-292 105 formation of hydride compounds 290-292 106 formation of hydride compounds 290-292 107 formation of monocations 187, 188, 266, 287, 25 108 formation of monocations 283 109 formation of monocations 264, 265 109 formation of monocations 283 109 formation of monocations 267, 287 109 formation of monocations 268, 297 109 formation of monocations 268, 297 109 formation of monocations 298 110 formation of monocations 298 111 formation of monocations 298 112 no f	94	no further application	277
formation of isoprene 96 insertion of carbodinmines 274 97 formation of hydride compounds polymerization of ethylene 263, 289 98 formation of monocations 264, 265 99 ROP of <i>t</i> - caprolactone 282 100 ROP of <i>t</i> - caprolactone 283 101 no further application 262 102 formation of hydride compounds 290-292 103 fCH_SiNe_1 exchange reactions 293, 294 104 formation of nonocations 187, 188, 266, 287, 25 105 formation of monocations 187, 188, 266, 287, 25 106 formation of monocations 187, 188 107 formation of monocations 263, 287 108 no further application 253 109 formation of monocations 267, 287 109 formation of monocations 268, 297 110 formation of monocations 268, 297 111 formation of monocations 298 110 formation of monocations 298 111 formation of monocations 299	95	insertion of carbodiimines	274
96polymerization of isoprene insertion of carbodimines27497formation of hydride compounds polymerization of ethylene263, 28998formation of hydride compounds264, 26599ROP of ε -caprolactone282100ROP of ε -caprolactone283101no further application260102formation of hydride compounds290103[CH,SiMe_] exchange reactions293, 294104formation of hydride compounds295105formation of hydride compounds295106formation of hydride compounds295107formation of monocations187, 188, 266, 287, 25108polymerization of ethylene cris-selective linear alkyne dimerization intramolecular bydroamination/ cyclization267, 287108formation of monocations267, 287109formation of monocations268, 297110formation of monocations298111formation of monocations298112no further application253113formation of monocations299114ROP of rac-lactide ICH_SiMe_] exchange reactions269115formation of thylene cyclokexen oxide and CO2278, 279, 286116no further application261117ROP polymerization of t-lactide 280280118[CH_SiMe_] exchange reactions278119hydroscita and CO2272120ROP of ε -caprolactone284, 302		formation of monocations	
96insertion of carbodimmes $2/4$ 97formation of monocations formation of thydride compounds polymerization of ethylene $263, 289$ 98formation of monocations $264, 265$ 99ROP of ε -caprolactone 282 100ROP of ε -caprolactone 283 101no further application 262 102formation of hydride compounds $290-292$ 103[CH ₃ SiNe ₃] exchange reactions $293, 294$ 104formation of monocations $187, 188, 266, 287, 25$ polymerization of ethylenecris-selective linear alkyne dimerization intramolecular hydroamination/ cyclization $275, 287$ 106formation of monocations $267, 287$ 107formation of monocations $268, 297$ 108no further application $268, 297$ 109formation of monocations $268, 297$ 110formation of monocations 298 111formation of monocations 298 112no further application 253 109formation of monocations 299 hydrosilylation of olefins 269 112no further application of thylene $278, 279, 286$ 113formation of monocations 269 114ROP of rc -lactide 270 115formation of thylene 272 126ROP of rc -caprolactone $284, 302, 303$ 120ROP of rc -caprolactone $284, 302, 303$ 121no further application of L-lactide 280 122 <th></th> <th>polymerization of isoprene</th> <th></th>		polymerization of isoprene	
97formation of Mydride compounds polymerization of ethylene264, 26598formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene264, 26599ROP of ε -caprolactone282100ROP of ε -caprolactone283101no further application262102formation of hydride compounds290-292103[CH_SIMe_3] exchange reactions293, 294104formation of hydride compounds295105formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene cris-selective linear alkyne dimerization intramolecular hydroamination/ cyclization267, 287106formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene thermal stability investigations267, 287106formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene thermal stability investigations268, 297109formation of monocations intramolecular C-H bond activation ethylene insertion polymerization of ethylene Z-selective head-to-head alkyne dimerization268110formation of monocations insertion of cO269111formation of monocations insertion of CO269112no further application of monocations269113formation of monocations alternating copolymerization of cyclobextene oxide and CO2269114ROP of rac-lactide repolymerization of thylene repolymerization of thylene alternating copolymerization of zeroplactone copolymerization of soprene and butdiene cyclobextene oxide and CO2115formation of monocations repolymerization of toprene top of rac-l	96	insertion of carbodilmines	2/4
98formation of ethylene polymerization of ethylene264, 26599ROP of ε -caprolactone282100ROP of ε -caprolactone283101no further application260102formation of hydride compounds290-292103[CH_5:Me_3] exchange reactions293, 294104formation of nonocations293, 294105formation of monocations187, 188, 266, 287, 25polymerization of ethylenerefresteretive linear alkyne dimerizationris-selective linear alkyne dimerization187, 188106formation of monocations267, 287polymerization of ethylene253108no further application253109formation of monocations268, 2971110formation of monocations298112no further application253113formation of monocations298114formation of monocations298115formation of monocations298116formation of monocations298117ROP of rac-lactide278, 279, 286118formation of tubylene279119hydride compounds267111formation of tubylene279112no further application of tubylene279113formation of monocations269114ROP of rac-lactide280115formation of tubylene279116no further application of tubylene270117 <th>97</th> <th>formation of hydride compounds</th> <th>203, 289</th>	97	formation of hydride compounds	203, 289
98formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene polymerization of ethylene (CH_SIMe_J) exchange reactions264, 265100ROP of ε -caprolactone283101no further application262102formation of hydride compounds290-292103[CH_SIMe_J] exchange reactions293, 294104formation of hydride compounds295105formation of monocations187, 188, 266, 287, 25polymerization of ethylene cis-selective linear alkyne dimerization intramolecular hydroamination/ cyclization267, 287107formation of monocations267, 287polymerization of ethylene thermal stability investigations267, 287109formation of monocations268, 2971010formation of monocations268, 2971101formation of monocations298111formation of monocations298112no further application253113formation of monocations300114ROP of rac-lactide278, 279, 286115formation of monocations269116no further application301117ROP polymerization of L-lactide280118formation of monocations279119hydride compounds284, 302, 303120ROP of rac-lactide280131formation of isoprene and butdiene280141ROP polymerization of L-lactide280152formation of isoprene and butdiene270 <th></th> <th>polymerization of ethylene</th> <th></th>		polymerization of ethylene	
99polymerization of ethylene282100ROP of ε -caprolactone283101no further application262102formation of hydride compounds290-292103[CH_53Me]] exchange reactions293, 294104formation of hydride compounds295105formation of hydride compounds295106formation of hydride compounds295107formation of thylene187, 188, 266, 287, 25108reclassion187, 188109formation of monocations187, 188106formation of monocations267, 287107formation of monocations268108no further application253109formation of monocations268, 297111intramolocular C-H bond activationethylene12no further application253130formation of monocations298141formation of monocations298151formation of monocations298162no further application253173formation of monocations299174ROP of rac -lactide278, 279, 286175formation of monocations298176no further application300insertion of chylene279176polymerization of t-lactide279177ROP of rac -lactide278, 279, 286178formation of nonocations269179polymerization of t-lactide280 </th <th>98</th> <th>formation of monocations</th> <th>264, 265</th>	98	formation of monocations	264, 265
99ROP of ε -caprolactone282100ROP of ε -caprolactone283101no further application262102formation of hydride compounds290292103[CH_SiMe ₃] exchange reactions293, 294104formation of monocations187, 188, 266, 287, 25105formation of monocations187, 188, 266, 287, 25106formation of monocations187, 188, 266, 287, 25107formation of monocations267, 287108no further application253109formation of monocations267, 287109polymerization of ethylene253109formation of monocations268, 297109intramolecular C-H bond activationethylene2-selective head-to-head alkynedimerization110formation of monocations298111formation of monocations299112no further application253113formation of monocations300114ROP of rac-lactide278, 279, 286115formation of monocations269116no further application301117ROP polymerization of L-lactide280118[CH_SiMe_3] exchange reactions270119hydride compounds284, 302, 303120ROP of ε -caprolactone284, 302, 303131formation of isoprene and270141ROP of ε -caprolactone284, 302, 303152[CH_SiMe_3] exchange reac		polymerization of ethylene	
100ROP of <i>e</i> -caprolactone283101no further application262102formation of hydride compounds290-292103[CH_SiMe_] exchange reactions293, 294104formation of hydride compounds295105formation of ethylene187, 188, 266, 287, 25 <i>cis-selective</i> linear alkyne dimerizationintramolecular hydroanination/cyclizationthermal stability investigations106formation of monocations267, 287107formation of monocations267, 287108no further application253109formation of monocations268, 297110intramolecular C-H bond activationethylene12. selective head-to-head alkyne253113formation of monocations298114formation of monocations299hydrosilylation of olefins209hydrosilylation of olefins209hydrosilylation of ethylene253113formation of monocations209hydrosilylation of ethylene278, 279, 286114ROP of <i>rac</i> -lactide278, 279, 286115formation of t-lactide280116no further application251117ROP polymerization of t-lactide280118[CH-SiMe_3] exchange reactions279119hydride compounds284, 302, 303120ROP of <i>e</i> -caprolactone284, 302131formation of isoprene and butatione275132 </th <th>99</th> <th>ROP of ε-caprolactone</th> <th>282</th>	99	ROP of ε -caprolactone	282
101 no further application 202 102 formation of hydride compounds 293, 294 104 formation of hydride compounds 295 105 formation of hydride compounds 295 106 formation of hydride compounds 295 105 formation of hydride compounds 295 106 formation of monocations 187, 188, 266, 287, 25 107 formation of monocations 187, 188 106 formation of monocations 267, 287 107 formation of ethylene 253 108 no further application 253 109 formation of monocations 268, 297 110 formation of monocations 298 110 formation of monocations 298 111 formation of monocations 300 112 no further application 253 113 formation of monocations 298 114 formation of monocations 298 115 formation of monocations 269 116 no further application 301 insertion of CO </th <th>100</th> <th>ROP of ε-caprolactone</th> <th>283</th>	100	ROP of ε -caprolactone	283
103 [CH,SiMe] exchange reactions 203, 294 104 formation of hydride compounds 295 105 formation of monocations 187, 188, 266, 287, 25 106 polymerization of ethylene 205 cix-selective linear alkyne dimerization intramolecular hydroamination/ 205 106 formation of monocations 187, 188 107 formation of monocations 267, 287 108 no further application 253 109 polymerization of ethylene 268, 297 108 no further application 268, 297 109 formation of monocations 298 110 formation of monocations 298 111 formation of monocations 298 111 formation of monocations 290 hydrosilylation of olefins 253 112 no further application 253 113 formation of monocations 300 insertion of CO 278, 279, 286 111 formation of monocations 269 polymerization of 1-lactide 278 279, 286 [CH_3Si	101	formation of hydride compounds	202
104 formation of hydride compounds formation of monocations 295 105 formation of monocations 187, 188, 266, 287, 29 01 <i>cis</i> -selective linear alkyne dimerization intramolecular hydroamination/ cyclization 187, 188 106 formation of monocations 187, 188 107 formation of monocations 267, 287 108 no further application 253 109 formation of monocations 268, 297 108 no further application 268, 297 110 formation of monocations 298 110 formation of monocations 299 hydroslylation of olefins 299 112 no further application 253 113 formation of monocations 209 hydroslylation of olefins 299 hydroslylation of olefins 211 112 no further application 233 113 formation of monocations 300 insertion of CO 209 278, 279, 286 [CH_SIMe_3] exchange reactions 301 114 ROP of <i>rac</i> -lactide 278, 302, 303 115	102	[CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions	293, 294
105 formation of monocations polymerization intramolecular hydroamination/ cyclization thermal stability investigations 187, 188, 266, 287, 25 106 formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene thermal stability investigations 187, 188 107 formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene 187, 188 108 no further application 253 109 formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene 253 109 formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene 253 2-selective head-to-head alkyne dimerization 298 110 formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene 253 112 no further application 253 113 formation of monocations insertion of CO 298 114 ROP of rac-lactide ICH,SiMe;] exchange reactions alternating copolymerization of cyclohexene oxide and CO ₂ 269 115 formation of monocations alternating copolymerization of cyclohexene oxide and CO ₂ 278, 279, 286 118 [CH,SiMe;] exchange reactions alternating copolymerization of cyclohexene oxide and CO ₂ 279 114 ROP of re-caprolactone ROP of re-caprolactone 284, 302, 303 120 ROP of re-caprolactone 284, 302 121 <th>104</th> <th>formation of hydride compounds</th> <th>295</th>	104	formation of hydride compounds	295
polymerization of ethylene cir-selective linear alkyne dimerization intramolecular hydroamination/ cyclization 106 formation of monocations 187, 188 polymerization of ethylene thermal stability investigations 107 formation of monocations 267, 287 polymerization of ethylene 253 109 formation of monocations 268, 297 intramolecular C–H bond activation ethylene insertion polymerization of ethylene Z-selective head-to-head alkyne dimerization 110 formation of monocations 298 111 formation of monocations 299 hydrosilylation of olefins 299 hydrosilylation of olefins 209 112 no further application 253 113 formation of monocations 209 hydrosilylate, exchange reactions 300 insertion of CO 114 ROP of <i>rac</i> -lactide 278, 279, 286 [CH ₅ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions 269 polymerization of ethylene <i>cyclohexene</i> oxide and CO ₂ 115 formation of monocations 269 polymerization of ethylene 116 no further application 301 117 ROP polymerization of <i>cyclohexene</i> oxide and CO ₂ 118 [CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions 279 ROP of <i>rac</i> -lactide 280 118 [CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions 279 ROP of <i>rac</i> -alctide 280 118 [CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions 279 ROP of <i>s</i> -caprolactone 284, 302, 303 ROP of <i>e</i> -caprolactone 284, 302 120 ROP of <i>p</i> -caprolactone 284, 302 121 ROP polymerization of <i>L</i> -lactide 280 122 no further application 280 123 no further application 270 polymerization of isoprene and butadiene copolymerization of isoprene and butadiene 305 124 formation of monocations 270 polymerization of isoprene (3,4) 125 [CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions 305 131 formation of monocations 276 polymerization of othylene 130 insertion of carbodinines 305 131 formation of monocations 276 polymerization of butadiene (<i>trans</i> -1,4) 132 formation of monocations 276 polymerization of butadiene (<i>trans</i> -1,4)	105	formation of monocations	187, 188, 266, 287, 296
intramolecular hydroximiation/ cyclization intramolecular hydroximiation/ cyclization 106 formation of monocations 107 formation of monocations 267, 287 108 no further application 109 formation of monocations 267, 287 108 no further application 253 109 formation of monocations 268, 297 intramolecular C-H bond activation ethylene insertion polymerization of ethylene Z-selective head-to-head alkyne dimerization 110 formation of monocations 298 111 formation of monocations 299 hydrosilylation of olefins 112 no further application 300 insertion of CO 114 ROP of rac-lactide <th></th> <th>polymerization of ethylene</th> <th></th>		polymerization of ethylene	
 Initial of the end o		cis-selective linear alkyne dimerization	
 thermal stability investigations formation of monocations formation of colefins no further application formation of CO formation of monocations gas gas formation of monocations gas gas formation of monocations gas gas gas formation of monocations gas <		cyclization	
106 formation of monocations 187, 188 polymerization of ethylene thermal stability investigations 267, 287 107 formation of monocations 267, 287 108 no further application 253 109 formation of monocations 268, 297 intramolecular C-H bond activation ethylene dimerization formation of ethylene Z-selective head-to-head alkyne dimerization 110 formation of monocations 298 111 formation of monocations 298 112 no further application 253 113 formation of monocations 300 insertion of CO 114 ROP of rac-lactide 278, 279, 286 [CH_5SiMe_3] exchange reactions 301 117 ROP olymerization of t-lactide 280 118 formation of monocations 279 ROP 77 77 119 hydride compounds 284, 302, 303 ROP of e-caprolactone 284, 302 303 121 no further application 280 121 ROP of perclaction 280 120 ROP		thermal stability investigations	
polymerization of ethylene thermal stability investigations267, 287 polymerization of ethylene 253107formation of monocations no further application of ethylene Z-selective head-to-head alkyne dimerization253109formation of monocations ethylene insertion of ethylene Z-selective head-to-head alkyne dimerization298110formation of monocations dimerization298111formation of monocations dimerization299112no further application ansertion of CO253113formation of monocations insertion of CO209114ROP of rac-lactide cyclohexene oxide and CO2278, 279, 286115formation of monocations alternating copolymerization of cyclohexene oxide and CO2209116no further application alternating copolymerization of cyclohexene oxide and CO2201117ROP polymerization of t-lactide 280284, 302, 303119hydraid compounds ROP of rac-lactide284, 302, 303120ROP of se-caprolactone to further application application copolymerization of isoprene and butadiene280121ROP polymerization of isoprene and butadiene272122no further application application280123no further application application of polymerization of apprene and butadiene281123no further application application275124formation of monocations applymerization of porprene (3,4)275125[CH_SiMe_3] exchange reactions apolym	106	formation of monocations	187, 188
107formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene267, 287108no further application253109formation of monocations268, 297intramolecular C-H bond activation ethylene insertion polymerization of ethylene Z-selective head-to-head alkyne dimerization268, 297110formation of monocations298111formation of monocations298112no further application253113formation of monocations298114ROP of rac-lactide278, 279, 286115formation of monocations300alternating copolymerization of cyclohexene oxide and CO2269115formation of monocations269polymerization of ethylene301116no further application301117ROP polymerization of thylene279116no further application284, 302, 303119hydroid compounds284, 302, 303120ROP of e-caprolactone280121ROP polymerization of L-lactide280122no further application280123no further application280124formation of monocations272polymerization of isoprene and butadiene270123no further application270124formation of monocations270125[CH_SiMe_] exchange reactions270126formation of monocations270127polymerization of isoprene and butadiene<		polymerization of ethylene	
107Induction of monocations polymerization of ethylene intramolecular C-H bond activation ethylene insertion polymerization of ethylene Z-selective head-to-head alkyne dimerization253 268, 297110formation of monocations formation of monocations298111formation of monocations merization298112no forther application and formation of monocations insertion of CO298113formation of monocations merization298114ROP of rac-lactide cyclokexen exide and CO2278, 279, 286115formation of monocations alternating copolymerization of cyclokexen exide and CO2269116no further application alternating copolymerization of cyclokexen exide and CO2269117ROP of rac-lactide polymerization of ethylene no further application polymerization of thylene301118[CH_SiMe_3] exchange reactions alternating copolymerization of L-lactide 280284, 302, 303120ROP of \$e\$-caprolactone280121ROP polymerization of L-lactide tudiene copolymerization of isoprene and butadiene copolymerization of isoprene and butadiene304125[CH_SiMe_3] exchange reactions a polymerization of isoprene and butadiene270123no further application formation of monocations a polymerization of isoprene and butadiene270124formation of monocations formation of monocations a polymerization of abotaliene270125[CH_SiMe_3] exchange reactions formation of monocations a polymerization of abudali	107	thermal stability investigations	267 207
108 no further application 253 109 formation of monocations 268, 297 intramolecular C-H bond activation ethylene insertion 268, 297 intramolecular C-H bond activation ethylene insertion 298 110 formation of monocations 298 111 formation of monocations 299 hydrosilylation of olefins 253 112 no further application 253 113 formation of monocations 299 hydrosilylation of olefins 278, 279, 286 [CH_5]Weg] exchange reactions alternating copolymerization of alternating copolymerization of 278, 279, 286 [114 ROP of rac-lactide 280 115 formation of monocations 269 polymerization of ethylene 301 301 116 no further application 301 301 117 ROP polymerization of L-lactide 280 118 [CH_2SiMe_3] exchange reactions 279 ROP of ε-caprolactone 284, 302, 303 ROP of ε-caprolactone 284, 302 280 120	107	polymerization of ethylene	207, 207
109formation of monocations intramolecular C-H bond activation ethylene insertion polymerization of ethylene Z-selective head-to-head alkyne dimerization268, 297110formation of monocations formation of monocations insertion of colorins298111formation of mono- and dications formation of mono- and dications and colorins298112no further application insertion of CO253113formation of monocations insertion of CO300114ROP of rac-lactide cyclohexene oxide and CO2278, 279, 286115formation of monocations alternating copolymerization of cyclohexene oxide and CO2269115formation of monocations polymerization of t-lactide cyclohexene oxide and CO2301117ROP polymerization of L-lactide ROP of rac-lactide ROP of rac-lactide ROP of ϵ -caprolactone ROP of ϵ -caprolactone 284, 302302120ROP of ϵ -caprolactone scopolymerization of L-lactide to further application polymerization of isoprene and butadiene copolymerization of isoprene and butadiene copolymerization of isoprene and butadiene copolymerization of polymeric (3,4)304125[CH4,SiMe_3] exchange reactions polymerization of soprene (3,4)304126formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene formation of monocations polymerization of polymerization formation of polymerization polymerization of butadiene (trans-1,4)126 <th>108</th> <th>no further application</th> <th>253</th>	108	no further application	253
intramolecular C-H bond activation ethylene insertion polymerization of ethylene Z-selective head-to-head alkyne dimerization 110 formation of mono- and dications 298 111 formation of mono- and dications 299 hydrosilylation of olefins 112 no further application 113 formation of monocations 300 insertion of CO 114 ROP of <i>rac</i> -lactide [CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions alternating copolymerization of cyclohexene oxide and CO ₂ 115 formation of monocations 269 polymerization of ethylene 116 no further application 117 ROP polymerization of L-lactide 118 [CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions 279 ROP of <i>rac</i> -lactide 119 hydride compounds ROP of <i>rac</i> -lactide 119 hydride compounds ROP of <i>rac</i> -lactide 120 ROP of <i>rac</i> -lactide 121 ROP polymerization of L-lactide 122 no further application 123 no further application 124 formation of monocations 275 polymerization of isoprene and butadiene copolymerization of isoprene and butadiene 126 formation of monocations 277 polymerization of isoprene (3,4) 127 polymerization of isoprene (3,4) 128 no further application 128 no further application 129 formation of monocations 270 polymerization of isoprene (3,4) 127 polymerization of isoprene (3,4) 128 no further application 129 formation of monocations 270 polymerization of of thylene 130 insertion of carbodimines 305 131 formation of monocations 276 polymerization of butadiene (<i>trans</i> -1,4) 132 formation of monocations 276 polymerization of butadiene (<i>trans</i> -1,4)	109	formation of monocations	268, 297
ethylene insertion polymerization of ethylene Z-selective head-to-head alkyne dimerization 110 formation of monocations 298 111 formation of monoc- and dications 299 hydrosilylation of olefins 253 112 no further application 253 113 formation of monocations 300 insertion of CO 114 ROP of <i>rac</i> -lactide 278, 279, 286 [CH ₅ SiMe ₅] exchange reactions 269 polymerization of ethylene 269 polymerization of ethylene 301 117 ROP polymerization of <i>L</i> -lactide 280 118 [CH ₅ SiMe ₅] exchange reactions 279 ROP of <i>rac</i> -lactide 270 119 hydride compounds 284, 302, 303 ROP of <i>rac</i> -lactide 280 120 ROP of <i>ε</i> -caprolactone 284, 302 121 ROP polymerization of <i>L</i> -lactide 280 123 no further application 280 124 formation of monocations 272 polymerization of isoprene and butadiene copolymerization of <i>L</i> -lactide 280 123 no further application 2772 polymerization of isoprene and butadiene 2772 polymerization of isoprene (3,4) 127 polymerization of <i>L</i> -lactide 281 128 no further application 270 polymerization of stoprene (3,4) 129 formation of monocations 275 polymerization of <i>L</i> -lactide 281 126 formation of monocations 270 polymerization of <i>L</i> -lactide 281 127 polymerization of <i>L</i> -lactide 281 128 no further application 270 130 insertion of ethylene 130 insertion of ethylene 130 insertion of othylene 130 insertion of othylene 131 formation of monocations 270 polymerization of bylene 132 formation of butadiene (<i>trans</i> -1,4)		intramolecular C-H bond activation	
Polymetrization of envice Z-selective head-to-head alkyne dimerization110formation of monocations298111formation of monocations299hydrosilylation of olefins253112no further application253113formation of monocations300insertion of CO114ROP of <i>rac</i> -lactide278, 279, 286[CH_2SiMe_3] exchange reactions alternating copolymerization of cyclohexene oxide and CO2269115formation of monocations269polymerization of ethylene301116no further application301117ROP polymerization of L-lactide280118[CH_5Me_3] exchange reactions279ROP of <i>rac</i> -lactide280119hydride compounds284, 302, 303ROP of <i>e</i> -caprolactone280120ROP of <i>e</i> -caprolactone280121ROP polymerization of L-lactide280122no further application280123no further application280124formation of monocations272polymerization of isoprene and butadiene275copolymerization of optLactide281125[CH_2SiMe_3] exchange reactions304126formation of monocations270polymerization of optLactide281123no further application270polymerization of ethylene305130insertion of carbodimines305131formation of		ethylene insertion	
110formation of monocations298111formation of mono- and dications299hydrosilylation of of offins253112no further application253113formation of monocations300insertion of CO300114ROP of rac-lactide278, 279, 286[CH_2SiMe_3] exchange reactionsalternating copolymerization of cyclohexene oxide and CO2115formation of monocations269polymerization of ethylene301116no further application301117ROP polymerization of L-lactide280118[CH_2SiMe_3] exchange reactions279ROP of rac-lactide280119hydride compounds284, 302, 303ROP of ε -caprolactone280121ROP polymerization of L-lactide280122no further application280123no further application280124formation of monocations272polymerization of isoprene and butadiene275copolymerization of isoprene (3,4)275polymerization of op.L-lactide281124no further application270125no further application270polymerization of ethylene305130insertion of ethylene305131formation of monocations276polymerization of butadiene276polymerization of butadiene276polymerization of butadiene276polymerization o		Z selective head to head allying	
110formation of mono- and dications298111formation of mono- and dications299hydrosilylation of olefins253113formation of monocations300insertion of CO78, 279, 286114ROP of rac-lactide278, 279, 286[CH-SiMe_3] exchange reactionsalternating copolymerization of cyclohexene oxide and CO2115formation of monocations269polymerization of ethylene301117ROP polymerization of t-lactide280118[CH_2SiMe_3] exchange reactions279ROP of rac-lactide284, 302, 303ROP of rac-lactide280119hydride compounds284, 302120ROP of e-caprolactone284, 302121ROP polymerization of L-lactide280122no further application280123no further application280124formation of monocations272polymerization of isoprene and butadiene275copolymerization of isoprene and butadiene275125[CH_2SiMe_3] exchange reactions304126formation of monocations270129formation of ethylene305130insertion of ethylene305131formation of monocations276polymerization of butadiene (trans-1,4)276polymerization of butadiene (trans-1,4)276		dimerization	
111formation of mono- and dications hydrosilylation of olefins299112no further application253113formation of monocations300insertion of CO300114ROP of rac-lactide278, 279, 286[CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions alternating copolymerization of cyclohexene oxide and CO2278, 279, 286115formation of monocations269polymerization of ethylene301116no further application301117ROP polymerization of L-lactide280118[CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions279ROP of rac-lactide284, 302, 303ROP of ε -caprolactone284, 302120ROP of ε -caprolactone280122no further application280123no further application280124formation of isoprene and butadiene copolymerization of isoprene and butadiene304125[CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions304126formation of sioprene (3,4)270polymerization of optlactide281281[CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions270polymerization of butplication270129formation of monocations270129polymerization of bioprene (3,4)127polymerization of bioprene (3,4)128no further application270129formation of monocations270129formation of monocations276polymerization of butplene305 </th <th>110</th> <th>formation of monocations</th> <th>298</th>	110	formation of monocations	298
hydrosilylation of olefins112no further application253113formation of monocations300insertion of CO300114ROP of rac-lacide278, 279, 286[CH_5SiMe_3] exchange reactionsalternating copolymerization of cyclohexene oxide and CO2269115formation of monocations269polymerization of ethylene301116no further application of 1-lactide280118[CH_2SiMe_3] exchange reactions279ROP of rac-lactide284, 302, 303ROP of ε -caprolactone284, 302120ROP of ε -caprolactone280121ROP polymerization of 1-lactide280123no further application280124formation of isoprene and butadiene272polymerization of isoprene and butadiene275polymerization of isoprene (3,4)270127polymerization of 1,-lactide281128no further application270129formation of monocations270129formation of nonocations270129polymerization of 2,-lactide281121rop of c-caprolactone270125[CH_2SiMe_3] exchange reactions304126formation of monocations270polymerization of bioprene (3,4)270129polymerization of 2,-lactide281129formation of monocations276130insertion of ethylene305131<	111	formation of mono- and dications	299
112no further application253113formation of monocations300114ROP of rac-lactide278, 279, 286[CH_2SiMe_3] exchange reactions alternating copolymerization of cyclohexene oxide and CO2269115formation of monocations269polymerization of ethylene301117ROP polymerization of L-lactide280118[CH_2SiMe_3] exchange reactions279ROP of rac-lactide280119hydride compounds284, 302, 303ROP of ϵ -caprolactone280120ROP of ϵ -caprolactone280121ROP polymerization of L-lactide280122no further application280123no further application280124formation of monocations272polymerization of isoprene and butadiene275polymerization of isoprene and butadiene304126formation of monocations275polymerization of bioprene (3,4)270127polymerization of bioprene (3,4)128no further application270130insertion of ethylene305131formation of monocations276polymerization of butadiene276polymerization of butadiene276polymerization of butadiene276polymerization of butadiene276polymerization of butadiene276polymerization of butadiene276polymerization of butadiene276po	112	hydrosilylation of olefins	252
113100 match of monocations300114ROP of rac -lactide278, 279, 286[CH_2SiMe_3] exchange reactionsalternating copolymerization of cyclohexene oxide and CO2269115formation of monocations269polymerization of ethylene301116no further application301117ROP polymerization of L-lactide280118[CH_2SiMe_3] exchange reactions279ROP of rac -lactide284, 302, 303119hydride compounds284, 302120ROP of ε -caprolactone280121ROP polymerization of L-lactide280122no further application280123no further application280124formation of monocations272polymerization of isoprene and butadiene275copolymerization of isoprene (3,4)275126formation of monocations275polymerization of ethylene305131formation of ethylene305131formation of monocations270polymerization of ethylene305131formation of monocations276polymerization of butadiene276polymerization of butadiene276polym	112	formation of monocations	253
114ROP of rac-lactide278, 279, 286114ROP of rac-lactide278, 279, 286 $[CH_2SiMe_3]$ exchange reactionsalternating copolymerization of cyclohexene oxide and CO2115formation of monocations269polymerization of ethylene301117ROP polymerization of L-lactide280118 $[CH_2SiMe_3]$ exchange reactions279ROP of rac-lactide79119hydride compounds284, 302, 303ROP of ϵ -caprolactone284, 302121ROP polymerization of L-lactide280122no further application280123no further application280124formation of monocations272polymerization of isoprene and butadiene275copolymerization of isoprene and butadiene304126formation of monocations275polymerization of isoprene (3,4)270polymerization of ethylene305130insertion of carbodimines305131formation of monocations276polymerization of butadiene(trans-1,4)276	115	insertion of CO	500
$\begin{bmatrix} [CH_2SiMe_3] \text{ exchange reactions} \\ alternating copolymerization of \\ cyclohexene oxide and CO_2 \\ \end{bmatrix}$ 115 formation of monocations 269 polymerization of ethylene 301 117 ROP polymerization of 1-lactide 280 118 [CH_2SiMe_3] exchange reactions 279 ROP of <i>rac</i> -lactide 279 ROP of <i>rac</i> -lactide 280 118 [CH_2SiMe_3] exchange reactions 279 ROP of <i>e</i> -caprolactone 284, 302 212 ROP polymerization of L-lactide 280 122 no further application 280 280 280 280 280 280 280 280 280 280	114	ROP of rac-lactide	278, 279, 286
alternating copolymerization of cyclohexene oxide and CO2115formation of monocations269 polymerization of ethylene116no further application301117ROP polymerization of L-lactide280118[CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions279 ROP of <i>rac</i> -lactide119hydride compounds284, 302, 303 ROP of ε -caprolactone120ROP of ε -caprolactone280121ROP polymerization of L-lactide280122no further application280123no further application280124formation of monocations272 polymerization of isoprene and butadiene copolymerization of isoprene and butadiene125[CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions304126formation of ponocations275 polymerization of polymerication128no further application270129formation of ponocations270129polymerization of bioprene (3,4)270129formation of monocations270130insertion of carbodiimines305131formation of monocations276 polymerization of butaliene (<i>trans</i> -1,4)132formation of butaliene (<i>trans</i> -1,4)276		[CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions	
115formation of monocations269116no further application301117ROP polymerization of L-lactide280118 $[CH_2SiMe_3]$ exchange reactions279ROP of rac-lactide284, 302, 303ROP of ε -caprolactone284, 302120ROP of ε -caprolactone280121ROP polymerization of L-lactide280123no further application280124formation of monocations272polymerization of isoprene and butadiene204125 $[CH_2SiMe_3]$ exchange reactions304126formation of isoprene (3,4)275polymerization of polymerization270128no further application270129formation of ethylene305130insertion of ethylene305131formation of monocations276polymerization of butadiene276polymerization of butadiene276polymerization of butadiene276polymerization of butadiene276130insertion of monocations276polymerization of butadiene276polymerization of butadi		alternating copolymerization of	
113Initiation inforceations209polymerization of ethylene301116no further application301117ROP polymerization of L-lactide280118[CH ₅ SiMe ₅] exchange reactions279ROP of rac-lactide80119hydride compounds284, 302, 303ROP of ε -caprolactone280120ROP of ε -caprolactone280121ROP polymerization of L-lactide280122no further application280123no further application280124formation of monocations272polymerization of isoprene and butadiene304126formation of monocations275polymerization of toprene (3,4)270126formation of monocations270polymerization of cL-lactide281[CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions305131formation of ethylene305131formation of butadiene (trans-1,4)276132formation of monocations276polymerization of butadiene (trans-1,4)276	115	cyclonexene oxide and CO_2	260
116no further application301117ROP polymerization of L-lactide280118 $[CH_2SiMe_3]$ exchange reactions279ROP of rac-lactide219119hydride compounds284, 302, 303ROP of ε -caprolactone280120ROP of ε -caprolactone280121ROP polymerization of L-lactide280122no further application280123no further application280124formation of monocations272polymerization of isoprene and butadiene275125[CH_5SiMe_3] exchange reactions304126formation of monocations275polymerization of isoprene (3,4)270127polymerization of ethylene128no further application270129formation of ethylene305130insertion of carbodilimines305131formation of monocations276polymerization of butadiene(<i>trans</i> -1,4)132formation of monocations276polymerization of butadiene(<i>trans</i> -1,4)	115	polymerization of ethylene	209
117ROP polymerization of L-lactide280118[CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions279ROP of rac-lactide284, 302, 303119hydride compounds284, 302120ROP of ε -caprolactone280121ROP polymerization of L-lactide280122no further application280123no further application280124formation of monocations272polymerization of isoprene and butadiene304125[CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions304126formation of monocations275polymerization of isoprene (3,4)270127polymerization of japprene (3,4)128no further application270129formation of monocations270129formation of ethylene130insertion of carbodimines305131formation of monocations276polymerization of butadiene276(trans-1,4)276	116	no further application	301
118 $[CH_2SiMe_3]$ exchange reactions279ROP of rac-lactideROP of rac-lactide284, 302, 303ROP of ε -caprolactone284, 302120ROP of ε -caprolactone284, 302121ROP polymerization of L-lactide280122no further application280123no further application280124formation of monocations272polymerization of isoprene and butadiene200125 $[CH_2SiMe_3]$ exchange reactions304126formation of monocations275polymerization of D,L-lactide281[CH_5iMe_3] exchange reactions270127polymerization of D,L-lactide281[CH_5iMe_3] exchange reactions270129formation of monocations270129formation of ethylene305130insertion of carbodiimines305131formation of monocations276polymerization of butadiene (trans-1,4)276	117	ROP polymerization of L-lactide	280
ROP of rac-lactide119hydride compounds284, 302, 303ROP of ε -caprolactone284, 302120ROP of ε -caprolactone284, 302121ROP polymerization of L-lactide280122no further application280123no further application280124formation of monocations272polymerization of isoprene and butadiene304125[CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions304126formation of ponocations275polymerization of isoprene (3,4)270127polymerization of pulcetaiton270128no further application270129formation of ethylene305130insertion of carbodiimines305131formation of butadiene (trans-1,4)276132formation of butadiene (trans-1,4)276	118	[CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions	279
119InjuriteControl for the composition of composition	110	ROP of <i>rac</i> -lactide	284 202 202
120ROP of ε -caprolactone284, 302121ROP polymerization of L-lactide280122no further application280123no further application280124formation of monocations272polymerization of isoprene and butadiene200126formation of monocations275polymerization of isoprene (3,4)275126formation of monocations270127polymerization of isoprene (3,4)126formation of monocations270127polymerization of ethylene270128no further application270129formation of ethylene305130insertion of carbodilimines305131formation of monocations276polymerization of butadiene(<i>trans</i> -1,4)132formation of butadiene (<i>trans</i> -1,4)	119	ROP of <i>e</i> -caprolactone	264, 302, 303
121 ROP polymerization of L-lactide 280 122 no further application 280 123 no further application 280 124 formation of monocations 272 polymerization of isoprene and butadiene 272 125 [CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions 304 126 formation of monocations 275 polymerization of isoprene (3,4) 281 [CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions 270 128 no further application 270 polymerization of ethylene 270 130 insertion of carbodimines 305 131 formation of monocations 276 polymerization of butadiene (<i>trans</i> -1,4) 276	120	ROP of ε -caprolactone	284, 302
122 no further application 280 123 no further application 280 124 formation of monocations 272 polymerization of isoprene and butadiene 272 copolymerization of isoprene and butadiene 304 125 [CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions 304 126 formation of monocations 275 polymerization of isoprene (3,4) 270 127 polymerization of D,L-lactide 281 [CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions 270 128 no further application 270 polymerization of monocations 270 129 formation of monocations 270 polymerization of ethylene 305 130 insertion of carbodiimines 305 131 formation of monocations 276 polymerization of butadiene (<i>trans-1,4</i>) 132 132 formation of butadiene (<i>trans-1,4</i>)	121	ROP polymerization of L-lactide	280
123 no further application 280 124 formation of monocations 272 polymerization of isoprene and butadiene 272 125 [CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions 304 126 formation of monocations 275 polymerization of isoprene (3,4) 271 127 polymerization of D,L-lactide 281 [CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions 270 127 polymerization of D,L-lactide 281 [CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions 270 128 no further application 270 polymerization of enductions 270 polymerization of ethylene 305 130 insertion of carbodiimines 305 131 formation of monocations 276 polymerization of butadiene (<i>trans</i> -1,4) 132 132 formation of monocations 276 polymerization of butadiene (<i>trans</i> -1,4) 132	122	no further application	280
124 formation of monocations 272 polymerization of isoprene and butadiene 272 125 [CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions 304 126 formation of monocations 275 polymerization of boldenee (3,4) 127 polymerization of polymerization 281 [CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions 270 polymerization of polymerization 270 128 no further application 270 polymerization of ethylene 305 130 insertion of carbodiimines 305 131 formation of monocations 276 polymerization of butadiene (<i>trans</i> -1,4) 132 132 formation of butadiene (<i>trans</i> -1,4)	123	no further application	280
125 [CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions 304 126 formation of monocations 275 polymerization of b_L-lactide 281 [CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions 270 128 no further application 270 129 formation of ethylene 305 130 insertion of carboditimines 305 131 formation of monocations 276 polymerization of butadiene (<i>trans</i> -1,4) 132 formation of monocations 276 polymerization of butadiene (<i>trans</i> -1,4)	124	normation of monocations	212
copolymerization of isoprene and butadiene 304 125 [CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions 304 126 formation of monocations 275 polymerization of isoprene (3,4) 271 127 polymerization of D ₁ -lactide 281 [CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions 270 128 no further application 270 polymerization of ethylene 305 130 insertion of carbodilimines 305 131 formation of monocations 276 polymerization of butadiene (trans-1,4) 276 132 formation of monocations 276 polymerization of butadiene (trans-1,4) 276		butadiene	
butadiene 304 125 [CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions 304 126 formation of monocations 275 polymerization of joppene (3,4) 277 127 polymerization of D _L -lactide 281 [CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions 270 129 formation of monocations 270 129 formation of thylene 305 130 insertion of ethylene 305 131 formation of monocations 276 polymerization of butadiene (trans-1,4) 132 formation of butadiene 276 polymerization of butadiene (trans-1,4)		copolymerization of isoprene and	
125 $[CH_2SiMe_3]$ exchange reactions304126formation of monocations275polymerization of isoprene (3,4)281127polymerization of D,L-lactide281128no further application270129formation of monocations270130insertion of ethylene305131formation of monocations276polymerization of butadiene (trans-1,4)276		butadiene	
120 rormation or monocations 2/5 polymerization of isoprene (3,4) 281 127 polymerization of b,L-lactide 281 [CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions 270 128 no further application 270 129 formation of monocations 270 130 insertion of ethylene 305 131 formation of monocations 276 polymerization of butadiene (<i>trans</i> -1,4) 132 formation of butadiene (<i>trans</i> -1,4)	125	[CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions	304
127 polymerization of DL-lactide 281 128 no further application 270 129 formation of monocations 270 130 insertion of ethylene 305 131 formation of butadiene 276 (<i>trans</i> -1,4) 132 formation of butadiene (<i>trans</i> -1,4) 276	120	nonmetrization of isoprepe (3.4)	213
128 no further application 270 129 formation of monocations 270 129 polymerization of ethylene 1 130 insertion of carbodiimines 305 131 formation of monocations 276 polymerization of butadiene (<i>trans</i> -1,4) 276	127	polymerization of D.L-lactide	281
128 no further application 270 129 formation of monocations 270 polymerization of ethylene 130 insertion of carbodimines 305 131 formation of monocations 276 polymerization of butadiene (trans-1,4) 276 132 formation of butadiene 276 polymerization of butadiene (trans-1,4) 276		[CH ₂ SiMe ₃] exchange reactions	
129 formation of monocations 270 polymerization of ethylene 70 130 insertion of carbodimines 305 131 formation of monocations 276 polymerization of butadiene (trans-1,4) 76 132 formation of monocations 276 polymerization of butadiene (trans-1,4) 76 (trans-1,4) 176 176	128	no further application	270
polymerization of ethylene 130 insertion of carbodiimines 305 131 formation of monocations 276 polymerization of butadiene (trans-1,4) 132 formation of monocations 276 polymerization of butadiene (trans-1,4)	129	formation of monocations	270
 130 Insection of carboninines 505 131 formation of monocations 276 (<i>trans</i>-1,4) 132 formation of butadiene (<i>trans</i>-1,4) 	130	polymerization of ethylene	305
132 formation of monocations 276 132 formation of monocations 276 polymerization of butadiene (trans-1,4)	130	formation of monocations	276
132 formation of monocations polymerization of butadiene (trans-1,4) 276	1.71	polymerization of butadiene	210
132 formation of monocations 276 polymerization of butadiene (<i>trans</i> -1,4)		(trans-1,4)	
polymerization of butadiene (<i>trans</i> -1,4)	132	formation of monocations	276
(<i>trans</i> -1,4)		polymerization of butadiene	
		(<i>trans</i> -1,4)	

of **175** with either 1 or 2 equiv of $[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]$, however, failed to produce an active catalyst for the polymerization of 1-hexene, 1-heptene, or 1-octene (see Table 9).

10. Bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl Complexes

The introduction of the [CH(SiMe₃)₂] ligand to group 3 metal chemistry by Barker and Lappert in 1974 marked the beginning of a new era of organolanthanide chemistry.⁷³ With Y[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃, the first neutral homoleptic solvent-free lanthanide alkyl species had been isolated and the synthesis protocol could successfully be extended to the whole series of rare-earth metals. Further, steric shielding and the stabilizing effect of the trimethylsilyl methyl goups contributed significantly to the development of low-valent organolanthanide chemistry.

10.1. Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of Ln(II)[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₂(solv)_x and {M(solv)_x}{Ln(II)[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃}

Because of their high reactivity and their potential as oneelectron reducing agents, complexes of the divalent ytterbium and samarium are valuable compounds not only in organic syntheses but also as polymerization catalysts. As shown by Lappert and co-workers, bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl ligands provide enough steric bulk to stabilize bis(alkyl) complexes of divalent ytterbium.³²⁴ Several synthesis approaches have been developed to produce neutral homoleptic complexes $Yb[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_2(solv)_x (M_{Yb})$ and ionic $Yb[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_3$ - $M(solv)_x$ (N_{Yb}) (Schemes 32 and 33). YbI_2 and $Yb(OAr'^{Bu,Me})_2(Et_2O)_2$ ($Ar'^{Bu,Me} = C_6H_2$ -4-Me-2,6-*t*Bu) proved to be convenient synthesis precursors for Yb[CH- $(SiMe_3)_2]_2(Et_2O)_2\ (M_{Yb-Et_2O})$ via salt-metathesis reaction with the respective sodium or potassium alkyls (Scheme 32, I and II). (The mixed ytterbium(II) mono(alkyl)-mono(aryloxide) Yb[CH(SiMe₃)₂](OAr^{tBu,Me})(thf)₃ (176) was obtained when $Yb(OAr'^{Bu,Me})_2(thf)_3$ was treated with 1 equiv of KCH-(SiMe₃)₂ in thf.)^{324,325} The bis(alkyl) products are stabilized by two molecules of weakly bound Et₂O donors. The reaction of (C₅Me₅)₂Yb(Et₂O) with 2 equiv of LiCH(SiMe₃)₂ in diethyl ether afforded a red oil, which upon dissolving in toluene and an excess of tmeda yielded the tmeda adduct Yb[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₂(tmeda) ($M_{Yb-tmeda}$) (Scheme 32, III).³²⁵

So far, the organometallic chemistry of low-valent lanthanides carrying the $[CH(SiMe_3)_2]$ ligand has been limited to the smallest ytterbium(II) metal center. Apparently, the ligand does not provide enough steric and electronic protection to satisfy the larger metal centers Eu(II) and Sm(II).

The neutral solvates have been characterized by means of ¹H, ¹³C, ²⁹Si{H}, and ¹⁷¹Yb{H} NMR spectroscopy, but final structural proof is frustrated by the unavailability of suitable single crystals. The lanthanide cation's desire for higher coordination numbers is impressively reflected by the reactions depicted in Scheme 33. The formation of ate complexes with lithium, sodium, and potassium cations has been reported irrespective of the stoichiometry applied. High yields and the formation of crystalline material substantiate higher stability of such ionic compounds Yb[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_3M(solv)_x (N_{Yb}) (M = Li, Na, K) compared to their neutral analogues.³²⁵

The solid-state structure of the potassium salt {Yb[CH- $(SiMe_3)_2$]_3K}_n revealed double chains of {Yb[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_3} anions linked by potassium cations along one axis (Figure





27).³²⁶ Each potassium has four additional close contacts to methyl carbon atoms.

Stabilization by metal—methyl interactions is also prominent in the solid-state structure of Yb[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃Li(thf)₄ (Figure 28).³²⁶ The solvent-separated ion pair consists of a {Li(thf)₄} cationic unit and a {Yb[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃} anion. A trigonal-pyramidal environment about the ytterbium atom is accomplished, and each of the [CH(SiMe₃)₂] groups shows one additional close Yb methyl contact (Figure 28). Other than the lithium and potassium containing ate complexes, the sodium compound was reported to be stable at -30 °C but slowly decomposed at ambient temperature.

The coordinating Et₂O molecules in neutral Yb[CH-(SiMe₃)₂]₂(Et₂O)₂ (\mathbf{M}_{Yb-Et_2O}) can easily be displaced by a chelating 1,2-bis(dimethylphosphino)ethane (dmpe), to yield the respective Yb[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₂(dmpe) ($\mathbf{M}_{Yb-dmpe}$).³²⁵ The observed reactivity is in good agreement with loosely bound diethyl ether donors. Exchange of one alkyl ligand in an alkane elimination reaction was found when reacting Yb[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₂(Et₂O)₂ with *N*,*N*-bis(trimethylsilyl)-1,4phenylenediamine (Scheme 34).³²⁵ In the presence of 2 equiv of Bu*t*CN, in situ prepared Yb[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₂(Et₂O)₂ can be converted into Yb(II) 1-azaallyl complexes (**178**), whereas the respective reaction with 4 equiv of PhCN yielded Yb(II) β -diketiminates (**179**) (Scheme 35).^{324,327,328}

10.2. Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of $Ln(III)[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_3$ and $Ln(III)[CH(SiMe_3)(SiMe_2OMe)]_3$

Cation size limitations have not been observed for the homoleptic bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl complexes of the trivalent rare-earth metals. Already the first publication on $Ln[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_3$ (**O**) described the synthesis of Sc[CH-(SiMe₃)₂]₃(thf)₂ as the respective compound of the smallest rare-earth metal.73 Salt metathesis reaction of LnCl₃ and the organolithium compound LiCH(SiMe₃)₂ in a mixture of thf and Et₂O further yielded the yttrium analogue as a thf solvate (Scheme 36, I).⁷³ Solvent-free $Y[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_3$ could be obtained from a toluene/diethylether mixture, which is, remarkably, the first successful synthesis of a neutral homoleptic solvent-free lanthanide alkyl compound (Scheme 36, II).⁷³ As ate complex formation under these reaction conditions is favored with increasing size of the metal cation, salt metathesis of Ln(OAr^{tBu})₃ and LiCH(SiMe₃)₂ became the predominant synthesis route (Scheme 36, III).⁷⁵ Insolubility of the byproduct Li(OAr'Bu) in hydrocarbon solvents allows for easy separation and additionally shifts the equilibrium to the product side. Applying this procedure, complexes Ln[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃ have been synthesized for Ln





= Y,³²⁹ La,⁷⁵ Ce,³³⁰ Pr,³³¹ Nd,³³¹ Sm,⁷⁵ Er,³³² and Lu³²⁹ covering the whole cation size range of the rare-earth metals.

The solid-state structures of Ln[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃ (Ln = Y,³³⁰ La,⁷⁵ Ce,³³⁰ and Sm⁷⁵) have been determined and revealed isomorphous structures with a pyramidal geometry about the metal center (Figure 29). The deviation from the anticipated planarity might be rationalized by steric reasons. By adopting a pyramidal structure, repulsion between the ligands is minimized and the ligand-metal attractions are maximized. Indeed, each metal center achieves coordination saturation by forming three additional close Ln-CH₃ contacts. On the basis of DFT calculations of La[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃, the most likely explanation for the observed short contacts are agostic (Si-C_{β})····Ln rather than (C_{γ}-H)···Ln interactions.^{333,334}

Because of dynamic exchange processes, the different methyl groups cannot be distinguished by solution NMR spectroscopy even at low temperature. The ¹³C CPMAS NMR spectrum of La[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃, however, showed two peaks for the interacting and noninteracting trimethylsilyl groups.³³⁵ Compounds Ln[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃ are soluble in hydrocarbon, aromatic, and ethereal solvents, but thermal instability has been reported. Accordingly, the thermal stability decreases with increasing size of the rare-earth metal center, and decomposition leads to formation of CH₂(SiMe₃)₂ and insoluble material, which has not been further characterized.

The methoxy analogues $Ln(III)[CH(SiMe_3)(SiMe_2OMe)]_3$ (**P**) were synthesized from anhydrous $LnCl_3$ (Ln = Y, Ce) and Li[CH(SiMe_3)(SiMe_2OMe)] (Scheme 37).³³⁰ Interestingly, no LiCl containing products were obtained from this reaction, which is attributed to the intramolecular interaction of the OMe group with the rare-earth metal center.

The solid-state structures of the yttrium and cerium compounds are isostructural (Figure 30).³³⁰ The rare-earth

Chart 19. $Ln(CH_2SiMe_3)_3(thf)_x$ Derivatives Containing Dianionic [ONNO]²⁻, [ONOO]²⁻, [ONOO]²⁻, [ONP]²⁻, [OSO]²⁻, and [OSSO]²⁻ Ligands



metal center is surrounded by the three chelating alkyl ligands adopting distorted trigonal-prismatic geometry. Solution NMR spectroscopic experiments revealed an equilibrium of two different isomers with *cis*- and *trans*-OMe groups.

As mentioned earlier, the usability of LiCH(SiMe₃)₂ as starting material for the synthesis of neutral Ln[CH-(SiMe₃)₂]₃ is limited. Particularly in the presence of polar donor solvents, ate complexes are the most favored reaction products. Such ionic compounds have been obtained throughout the entire rare-earth metal series (Scheme 38, I).^{336,337} The molecular structure of {La[CH-(SiMe₃)₂]₃(μ -Cl)}{Li(pmdeta)} shows a monomer where the La and the Li atoms are linked via a single, almost linear chloride bridge.³³⁶ The chloride anion resides in the vacant coordination site of La[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃ without significantly distorting the La[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃ skeleton. Alkali metal halide containing {Ln[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃(μ -X)}{K(Et₂O)} (X = Cl, Br) can further be synthesized by direct adduct formation of KX and Ln[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃ (Scheme 38, II and III).³³⁸ The coordinating ether can readily be removed by heating the solid compound under reduced pressure. With toluene, the solvent-free compound {Lu[CH-(SiMe₃)₂]₃(μ -Cl)}{K} formed a solvent adduct with two toluene molecules coordinated in an η^6 mode to the potassium cations.

The reaction of Ln[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃ with 1 equiv of LiMe in the presence of pmdeta yielded monomeric {Ln[CH-(SiMe₃)₂]₃(μ -Me)}{Li(pmdeta)} (**181**_{pmdeta}).³³⁹ Characterization of the samarium complex by X-ray diffraction showed a structure isomorphous to μ -chloro compound {La[CH-(SiMe₃)₂]₃(μ -Cl)}{Li(pmdeta)} with an almost linear but asymmetric Sm-CH₃-Li bridge (Figure 31).

 Table 8. Further Applications of Complexes Containing Dianionic N- and O-Based Ligands

compound	further application	ref
133	polymerization of methyl	307
	methacrylate	
134	no further application	313
135	no further application	313
136	no further application	306
137	polymerization of methyl	307
	methacrylate	
138	ROP of <i>rac</i> -lactide	277
139	enantioselective hydroamination/	109
	cyclization of terminal amino	
1.40	olefins (max. 83% ee at 25 °C)	201
140	ROP of <i>rac</i> -lactide	281
141	no further application	314
142	polymerization of methyl	306
142	methacrylate	206
145	no further application	300
144	(cocatalyst methylalumoyane	515
	(MAO))	
145	enantioselective hydroamination/	308
	cyclization of terminal amino	200
	olefins (max. 44% ee at 100 °C)	
146	no further application	267
147	no further application	296
148	no further application	316
149	no further application	316
150	no further application	316
151	no further application	301
152	polymerization of isoprene	271
153	ROP of rac-lactide	
	O ₂ activation	278, 317
	hydrolysis	
154	no further application	318
155	no further application	319
156	no further application	301, 320
157	ROP of <i>rac</i> -lactide	278
158	ROP of <i>rac</i> -lactide	309, 310, 321
159	ROP of <i>rac</i> -lactide	278
160	ROP of L-lactide	280
161	formation of hydride compounds	312
1.0	hydrosilylation	011 010
162	tormation of hydride compounds	311, 312
	donor-exchange reactions	
	[Un ₂ SIMe ₃]-exchange reactions	
162	nyurosiiyiation	210
103	iormation of nyariae compounds	312

Scheme 31. Synthesis of Ln(CH₂SiMe₂Ph)₃(thf)₂ (L_{thf})

			toluene -78→0 °C	
LICI3(IIII) _X	+	3 LICH23IIVIE2PI	- 3 LiCl	$Ln(CH_2SIMe_2Pn)_3(mr)_2$

10.3. Ln(III)[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃ as Synthesis Precursors

Homoleptic rare-earth metal alkyls $Ln[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_3$ (**O**) are valuable precursors allowing for protonolysis reactions with a variety of protic substrates under mild conditions. Their "alkyl-only" nature prevents salt coordination as well as the coordination of donor solvents. [CH(SiMe_3)_2]-exchange reactions are usually kinetically controlled and very sensitive to the reactivity and steric bulk of the reactants. Thus, alkane-elimination reactions are basically limited to the respective early and middle lanthanide tris(alkyls).

Aiming at the synthesis of a mono(cyclopentadienyl) bis(alkyl) complex, $(C_5Me_5)H$ has been reacted with Ln[CH-(SiMe_3)_2]_3 (Ln = La, Ce) to give mixtures of $(C_5Me_5)_2Ln[CH(SiMe_3)_2]$ (182), $(C_5Me_5)Ln[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_2$



Figure 26. Molecular structure of $Sc(CH_2SiMe_2Ph)_3(thf)_2$ (L_{Sc-thf}), adapted from ref 302.

(183), and Ln[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃ (**O**).³⁴⁰ The mixture of products was found to be the result of a competitive introduction of cyclopentadienyl ligands rather than a disproportionation of $(C_5Me_5)Ln[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_2$. Reaction of $(C_5Me_5)H$ with the sterically more congested Y[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_3 revealed a high kinetic barrier for the introduction of a cyclopentadienyl ligand and did not give the desired product. Compounds $(Cp^R)_2Ln[CH(SiMe_3)_2]$ have been successfully applied as catalysts for the hydroamination/cyclization and hydrophosphination/cyclization of a variety of substrates.^{341,342} The used sandwich complexes were, however, exclusively synthesized following a salt-metathesis approach.

Remarkably, homoleptic alkyls Ln[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃ of the late lanthanide metals ytterbium and lutetium reacted with (C₅Me₄H)Me₂Si(*t*BuNH) to form constrained geometry complexes **184** (Chart 21).³⁴³ Heating, however, is necessary to activate the tetramethylcyclopentadiene C–H group for alkane elimination. Complexes **184** are active catalysts for aminoalkene hydroamination/cyclization and hydrophosphination/cyclization.^{341,342,344,345} The attempted synthesis of a mono(alkyl) compound using a linked alkoxide–cyclopentadienyl ligand and in situ prepared Y(OAr^{*t*Bu})[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₂ only yielded the "alkyl-free" ate complex { $\eta^5: \eta^1-C_5H_4$ [CH₂-CO(3,5-C₆H₃(CF₃)₂)₂]₂YLi(thf)_n (**185**).³⁴⁶

Schaverien et al. first reported the applicability of Ln[CH- $(SiMe_3)_2]_3$ for the synthesis of noncyclopentadienyl complexes. The reaction of octaethylporphyrin (OEPH₂) and Ln[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_3 (Ln = Y, Lu) afforded purple, hexane-soluble [OEP]Ln[CH(SiMe_3)_2] (**186**) in good yields (Chart 22).³²⁹

Mild protonolysis reactions of La[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃ and chiral, chelating binaphthols and biphenols resulted in the smooth formation of mono(alkyl) binaphtholate lanthanum complex 187 and mono(alkyl) biphenolate lanthanum complexes 188 and 189, respectively (Chart 22).^{347,348} Whereas biphenolate 189 revealed a dimeric structure in the absence of donor solvents, the sterically quite undemanding ancillary ligand allows for coordination of up to three donor molecules in the presence of thf (188). Compounds 187-189 show good catalytic activity for the hydroamination/cyclization of aminoalkenes, but the practical use for asymmetric hydroamination is limited by the low enantiomeric excesses in the produced heterocycles. In contrast, the C_2 symmetric bis-(oxazolinato)lanthanide complex [(4S)-tBuBox]Lu[CH- $(SiMe_3)_2]_2$ (190), synthesized via alkane elimination from Lu[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃, displays an efficient enantioselective nonmetallocene hydroamination catalyst.³⁴⁹





Table 9. Further Applications of Complexes Derived from $Ln(CH_2SiMe_2Ph)_3(thf)_2$ (L_{thf})

compound	further application	ref
164-167	formation of hydride compounds investigations on the thermal decomposition	302, 303, 322, 323
	hydroamination of aminoalkynes and alkenes	
168	no further application	302
169	no further application	302
170	no further application	302
171-174	formation of monocations polymerization of ethylene	269
175	no further application	182, 256

Scheme 32. Synthesis of Yb[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₂(solv)_x (M_{Yb})



Contrary to the formation of Yb(II) 1-azaallyl and β -diketiminates when reacting divalent Yb[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₂-(Et₂O)₂ with nitriles NCPh and NC*t*Bu, respectively,³³⁰ only 1:2 (**191**) and 1:1 (**192**) adducts of the nitrile to the rareearth metal center have been observed starting from trivalent Ln[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃ (Scheme 39).³³⁰ Even when heated in toluene, no nitrile insertion into the Ln–C bond was evidenced, presumably due to effective steric shielding and the resulting high kinetic barrier for the insertion reaction. Acid—base reaction of Ln[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃ (Ln = La, Y) with

Scheme 33. Synthesis of Ionic Compounds Yb[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃M(solv)_x (N_{Yb})



perfluorobiphenols quantitatively produced compounds **194** (Scheme 39) capable of forming stable ion pairs with metallocene dimethyl complexes. Such ion pairs provided extremely active ethylene polymerization catalysts.³⁵⁰ Complete ligand exchange was further observed for the reaction of bis(trimethylsilyl)phosphane and Y[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃, yielding dimeric {Y[P(SiMe₃)₂]₃}₂ (**195**) and CH₂(SiMe₃)₂ (Scheme 39).³⁵¹

Marks et al. found that homoleptic Ln[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃ catalyzes the phosphinoalkyne cyclization with turnover



Figure 27. Solid-state structure of $\{Yb[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_3K\}_n$, adapted from ref 326.



Figure 28. Solid-state structure of the $\{Yb[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_3\}$ anion in $Yb[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_3Li(thf)_4$, adapted from ref 326.

Scheme 34. Reaction of Yb[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_2(Et_2O)_2 with C_6H_4(NH(SiMe_3))_2-1,4



Scheme 35. Reaction of $Yb[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_2(Et_2O)_2$ with ButCN and PhCN







frequencies comparable to the most active lanthanidocene catalysts. In an initiating step, complete Ln–[CH(SiMe₃)₂] protonolysis is observed according to ¹H NMR spectroscopic investigations.³⁵²



Figure 29. Solid-state structure of $Ln[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_3$ (O), adapted from refs 75 and 330.

Scheme 37. Synthesis of Ln(III)[CH(SiMe₃)(SiMe₂OMe)]₃ (P)

LnCl ₃ + 3 Li[CH(SiMe ₃)(SiMe ₂ OMe)]	Et₂O, -30 °C - 3 LiCl
	Ln[CH(SiMe ₃)(SiMe ₂ OMe)] ₃
	Ln = Y, Ce

The treatment of lanthanum tris(alkyl) $La[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_3$ with 2 equiv of the secondary phosphine HP(NMe₂CH₂-2-C₆H₄)[CH(SiMe_3)_2] revealed the product of a cyclometalation reaction (**196**) with the intramolecular elimination of CH₂(SiMe_3)₂ from transiently formed {[CH(SiMe_3)_2](C₆H₄-2-CH₂NMe₂)P₂La[CH(SiMe_3)_2] (Scheme 39).³⁵³



Figure 30. Solid-state structure of $Ce[CH(SiMe_3)(SiMe_2OMe)]_3$ (O_{Ce}), adapted from ref 330.

Scheme 38. Synthesis of Ate Complexes ${Ln[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_3(\mu-X)}{M(solv)_x}$ (180) and ${Ln[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_3(\mu-Me)}{M(solv)_x}$ (181)

Et ₂ O/thf/pmdeta 0 °C	{Ln[CH(SiMe ₃) ₂] ₃ (µ-Cl}
- 2 LiCl	{Li(solv) _x }
	Ln = Y, Yb, Er, Sm, La solv = Et_2O , thf, pmdeta
Et ₂ O, rt	$\begin{array}{l} \{ Ln[CH(SiMe_{3})_{2}]_{3}(\mu\text{-}Cl\} \\ \{ K(Et_{2}O) \} \end{array}$
Et₂O, rt	$\begin{array}{l} \{Lu[CH(SiMe_{3})_{2}]_{3}(\mu\text{-Br}\} \\ \{K(Et_{2}O)\} \end{array}$
)2 thf - 2 KCI	$\begin{array}{l} \{Lu[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_3(\mu\text{-}Cl\}\\ \{K(thf)\} \end{array}$
Et ₂ O/pmdeta, rt	{Ln[CH(SiMe ₃) ₂] ₃ (µ-Me)} {Li(pmdeta)}
	Et ₂ O(tht/pmdeta 0 °C - 2 LiCl Et ₂ O, rt Et ₂ O, rt)2 thf - 2 KCl Et ₂ O/pmdeta, rt



Figure 31. Solid-state structure of $\{Sm[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_3(\mu-Me)\}$ -{Li(pmdeta)} (**181**_{Sm-pmdeta}), adapted from ref 339.





11. Tris(trimethylsilyl)methyl Complexes

11.1. Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of Ln(II)[C(SiMe₃)₃]₂ and Ln(II)[C(SiMe₃)₂(SiMe₂R)]₂

Extending the series of trimethylsilyl-substituted methyl ligands to the highest possible substitution at the methyl carbon atom leads to extremely bulky $[C(SiMe_3)_3]$ ligands.⁷⁴ Because of their high steric demand, such ligands are well suited to stabilize lanthanide metal centers in the divalent oxidation state. While ate complex formation was indicative of insufficient steric protection for the divalent ytterbium complexes carrying $[CH(SiMe_3)_2]$ ligands, such salt formation is effectively suppressed in Eaborn complexes Ln-(II) $[C(SiMe_3)_3]_2$ (**Q**).

However, the first attempts to synthesize a homoleptic ytterbium alkyl complex applying the reaction conditions that yield Yb[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_2(Et_2O)_2 (\mathbf{M}_{Yb-Et_2O}) were not successful. Reaction of YbI₂ with 2 equiv of KC(SiMe_3)_3 in Et_2O rather gave orange-red crystals of the dimeric ether cleavage product {Yb[C(SiMe_3)_3](μ -OEt)(Et_2O)} (197) than the putative Yb[C(SiMe_3)_3]_2 (Scheme 40).^{324,325}

Further attempts to synthesize $Yb[C(SiMe_3)_3]_2$ were undertaken in the absence of ethereal solvents. A suspension

of YbI₂ in benzene reacted with a solution of KC(SiMe₃)₃ to yield the homoleptic, solvent-free ytterbium alkyl complex Yb[C(SiMe₃)₃]₂ (\mathbf{Q}_{Yb}) (Scheme 41, I).⁷⁴

The respective europium(II)³⁵⁴ and samarium(II)³⁵⁵ complexes were synthesized the same way, with yields decreasing with increasing size of the metal cation (Yb > Eu > Sm). The crystal structures of all three compounds have been determined and revealed solvent-free monomers (Figures 32 and 33).

The most interesting feature of the solid-state structures is the bent C–Ln–C angles (137°, Yb; 136°, Eu; 143°, Sm). Similar bending is also observed for the respective bis(cyclopentadienyls) Ln(C₅Me₅)₂.^{356–359} There have been intensive discussions about whether the bending is caused by electronic factors^{360,361} or by ligand interactions.³⁶² As the latter would be significantly reduced by lengthening of the metal–carbon bond, the similarity of the observed angles in the ytterbium and europium compounds suggests that the factor determining the C–Ln–C angles is electronic rather than steric. A contribution of the metal d-orbitals has been discussed.³⁵⁴

The mean metal—carbon distances in complexes Ln[C- $(SiMe_3)_3]_2$ (**Q**) are long compared to those observed in other "two-coordinate" divalent species,^{363,364} reducing the interactions between the SiMe₃ groups. The ytterbium compound shows two short Yb—methyl secondary interactions in the solid state, which contribute to a stabilization of the molecule (Figure 33).⁷⁴ Three similar interactions were observed for the larger europium metal center (Figure 32).³⁵⁴ Attempts to distinguish the methyl groups by low-temperature NMR spectroscopy were not successful. All protons appeared to be equivalent even at -95 °C.

In order to provide further stabilization of complexes $Ln[C(SiMe_3)_3]_2(\mathbf{Q})$, a series of ligand modifications has been carried out and the ligand contribution on the complex stability and reactivity has been studied.³⁵⁴ Reaction of YbI₂ with the modified potassium salts $KC(SiMe_3)_2(SiMe_2R)$ (R = $CH=CH_2$ and CH_2CH_2OEt) in benzene afforded $Yb[C(SiMe_3)_2(SiMe_2R)]_2$ (**R**) in good yields (Scheme 41, II). A methoxy derivative of ytterbium was obtained by heating { $Yb[C(SiMe_3)_2(SiMe_2OMe)]I(Et_2O)_2$ }₂ (Scheme 41, IV) under reduced pressure. More intuitive seems the synthesis of the samarium analogue starting from SmI₂(thf)₂ and the potassium salt KC(SiMe₃)₂(SiMe₂OMe) (Scheme 41, III).³⁶⁵ $Sm[C(SiMe_3)_2(SiMe_2OMe)]_2(thf)$ ($\mathbf{R}^{OMe}_{Sm-thf}$) could be obtained in high yield as green-black single crystals grown from cyclohexane (Figure 34). The five-coordinate samarium metal center is surrounded by the two chelating alkyl ligands and one thf molecule. Additional coordination of the OMe groups results in the formation of two four-membered chelate rings.

Because of the higher coordination numbers of the divalent metal center, the tendency to ether cleavage as found for $Yb[C(SiMe_3)_3]_2$ (Q_{Yb}) (Scheme 40) could be reduced dramatically. The additional interaction with the chelating ligand, even the weak vinyl-Yb interaction (for R = CH=CH₂), inhibits the coordination of Et₂O and consecutive ether cleavage reactions.

SmI₂ is a commonly used reagent in organic syntheses. Presumably, organosamarium intermediates play a key role in the SmI₂ mediated addition of alkylhalogenides to ketones (samarium Barbier reaction (SBR)). The reactivity of Sm[C(SiMe₃)₂(SiMe₂OMe)]₂(thf) ($\mathbf{R}^{OMe}_{Sm-thf}$) toward benzophenone has therefore been investigated but revealed the





Scheme 39. Derivatization of Ln[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃ (O)



Scheme 40. Synthesis of $\{Yb[C(SiMe_3)_3](\mu-OEt)(Et_2O)\}_2$ (197)

2 YbI₂ + 2 KC(SiMe₃)₃ $\xrightarrow{Et_2O, rt}$ {Yb[C(SiMe₃)₃](μ -OEt)(Et₂O)}₂ -(Me₃Si)₃CH - 2 KI

formation of a ketyl–radical anion complex $Sm[C(SiMe_3)_2-(SiMe_2OMe)]_2(OCPh_2)$ (198) rather than a Grignard-like addition product.³⁶⁵

A series of Grignard reagent analogues with the general formula {Yb[C(SiMe_3)_2(SiMe_2R)]I(Et_2O)_2}_2 (**199**_{Et_2O}) has been reported. The reaction of Yb[C(SiMe_3)_3]_2 with iodomethane led to the cleavage of one Yb–C bond and formation of {Yb[C(SiMe_3)_3]I(Et_2O)_2}_2 (Scheme 42, I).⁷⁴

The multiplicity of products/byproducts arising from this reaction, especially the formation of side-product HC- $(SiMe_3)_3$, suggests a radical reaction pathway (not shown in Scheme 42). The same compound was isolated from the

Scheme 41. Synthesis of $Ln(II)[C(SiMe_3)_3]_2$ (Q) and $Ln(II)[C(SiMe_3)_2(SiMe_2R)]_2$ (R)





Figure 32. Solid-state structure of $Eu[C(SiMe_3)_3]_2$ (Q_{Eu}), adapted from ref 354.



Figure 33. Solid-state structure of $Yb[C(SiMe_3)_3]_2$ (Q_{Yb}), adapted from ref 355.



Figure 34. Solid-state structure of $Sm[C(SiMe_3)_2(SiMe_2OMe)]_2$ -(thf) ($\mathbf{R}^{OMe}_{Sm-thf}$), adapted from ref 365.

Scheme 42. Synthesis of $\{Yb[C(SiMe_3)_2(SiMe_2R)]I(Et_2O)_2\}_2$ (199_{Et2O})



reaction between Yb[C(SiMe₃)₃]₂ and an excess of ICH₂CH₂I as well as the reaction with IC(SiMe₃)₃ in Et₂O, respectively (Scheme 42, II and III).⁷⁴ The use of alkyl iodides and Yb powder further allowed for the synthesis of derivatives



Figure 35. Solid-state structure of $\{Yb[C(SiMe_3)_3]I(Et_2O)\}_2$ (199^{Me}_{Yb-Et_2O}), adapted from ref 74.

{Yb[C(SiMe₃)₂(SiMe₂R)]I(Et₂O)₂}₂ (R = CH=CH₂, OMe) (Scheme 42, III).³⁵⁴ {Yb[C(SiMe₃)₂(SiMe₂Ph)]I(Et₂O)₂}₂ was obtained from a mixture of the respective iodoalkyl and the chloroalkyl (Scheme 42, IV).³⁵⁴ All "lanthanide Grignard" reagents (**199**_{Et₂O}) are stable in Et₂O solutions and can be stored as such for several weeks. The alkyl ytterbium iodides decompose when heated under reduced pressure to give Yb[C(SiMe₃)₂(SiMe₂R)]₂ and YbI₂ (see Scheme 42, IV). In nonpolar organic solvents, all Grignard-type ytterbium complexes exist in a typical Schlenk equilibrium.

The solid-state structures of several alkyl iodides have been determined, all substantiating dimeric structures (Figure 35).^{74,354} The molecules usually have a center of symmetry due to an almost square-planar Yb_2I_2 ring. Analogue structures are adopted by a number of Grignard reagents.

Besides their occurrence in organic synthesis (in situ formation), probably the most interesting application of complexes $Ln[C(SiMe_3)_3]_2$ is their ability to polymerize methylmethacrylate and acrylonitrile.^{355,366,367} Of several tested divalent ytterbium alkyl, amide, and alkynide complexes, Yb[C(SiMe_3)_3]_2 produced poly(MMA) with the highest isotacticity (97%) and excellent yield. The obtained polymer showed high molecular weights ($M_n = 51 \times 10^4$ g/mol) and very narrow molecular weight distributions ($M_w/M_n = 1.1$).³⁵⁵

11.2. Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of Related Ln(II) SilyImethyl Complexes

In 1999, a closely related bidentate ligand $[(Me_3Si)_2CSiMe_2-CH_2CH_2SiMe_2C(SiMe_3)_2]$ had been introduced to low-valent lanthanide organometallic chemistry.³⁶⁸ This ligand can be regarded as two trimethylsilyl groups ("trisyl") joined together like Siamese twins and thus is referred to as a "trisamyl" ligand. Treatment of YbI₂ with the potassium salt of the trisamyl ligand gave the solvent-free chelate complex Yb[(Me_3Si)_2CSiMe_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_2C(SiMe_3)_2] (**S**_{Yb}) (Figure 36). Because of high disorder, detailed structural data could not be obtained. The reaction of **S**_{Yb} with Et₂O was investigated and found to be slower than the one with Yb[C(SiMe_3)_3]_2.



Figure 36. Structure of $Yb[(Me_3Si)_2CSiMe_2CH_2SiMe_2C-(SiMe_3)_2]$ (S_{Yb}).

Scheme 43. Synthesis of Yb(II), Tm(III), and Nd(III) Complexes with [L¹] and [L²]



Scheme 44. Synthesis of Lu(CH₂SiMe₃)₂(CHPh₂)(thf)₂ (201) LuCl₃(thf)₃ + 2 LiCH₂SiMe₃ + KCHPh₂ $\xrightarrow{\text{thf/pentane/Et_2O} \\ -2 \text{ LiCl} \\ - \text{KCl}}$

Lu(CH₂SiMe₃)₂(CHPh₂)(thf)₂

Very recently, the ytterbium(II) complexes of the dicarbanionic sterically hindered, O-functionalized ligands [L¹] and [L²] (see Scheme 43) have been reported.³⁶⁹ YbI₂ and either of the dipotassium agents K₂[L¹] and K₂[L²] cleanly formed the corresponding bisalkylytterbium(II) compounds T_{Yb} and U_{Yb} .

Both compounds react instantaneously with Et_2O as known for $Yb[C(SiMe_3)_3]_2$ (Scheme 40) but can be isolated as thf adducts. Upon standing at ambient temperature for several days, T_{Yb} partly forms a paramagnetic Yb(III) species, as evidenced by NMR spectroscopic experiments.

In contrast to the ready isolation of ytterbium(II) compounds, reactions between LnI_2 (Ln = Tm, Nd) and $K_2[L^2]$ were accompanied by oxidation of the divalent metal center to form Tm(III) and Nd(III) complexes **200** (Scheme 43). The additional metal methoxy ligand coordinated to the metal in complex **200** is most likely derived from Si–O cleavage of a second dicarbanion ligand.³⁶⁹

12. Mixed Alkyl Complex Lu(CH₂SiMe₃)₂(CHPh₂)(thf)₂

Because of its steric demand and the flexible bonding modes, the [CHPh₂] anion appeared to be a promising



Figure 37. Solid-state structure of Lu(CH₂SiMe₃)₂(CHPh₂)(thf)₂ (**201**), adapted from ref 370.

candidate for the synthesis of stable lanthanide alkyl complexes. The anticipated formation of homoleptic complexes $Ln(CHPh_2)_3(solv)_x$, however, was not observed.³⁷⁰ Attempted synthesis by reaction of 2/3 equiv of KCHPh₂ with YbI₂(thf)₂, LuCl₃, YbCl₃, and YCl₃, respectively, only resulted in dark-colored oils. The heteroleptic lutetium alkyl complex Lu(CH₂SiMe₃)₂(CHPh₂)(thf)₂ (**201**) could be obtained according to the equation depicted in Scheme 44.³⁷⁰

The mixed alkyl compound shows a solid-state structure comparable to its homoleptic analogue $Lu(CH_2SiMe_3)_3(thf)_2$ (cf., Figure 21). The three alkyl ligands occupy the equatorial positions of a distorted trigonal bipyramid (Figure 37).

Because of the steric requirement of the benzylhydryl ligand, the lutetium methine carbon distance (Lu-C1, 2.45 Å) is considerably longer than the lutetium methylene carbon distances in the same molecule (Lu-C14, 2.35 Å; Lu-C18, 2.34 Å).

The alkyl compound is extremely air- and water-sensitive but is stable for some days if kept in evacuated sealed tubes. So far, literature provides no further information on the derivatization or reactivity of Lu(CH₂SiMe₃)₂(CHPh₂)(thf)₂.

13. Benzyl Complexes

13.1. Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of $Ln(III)(CH_2Ph^R)_3(donor)_x$

Because of the need for suitable homoleptic alkyl precursors especially of the larger rare-earth metals, benzyl ligands [CH₂Ph] experienced a revival. The first reports on homoleptic tris(benzyl) complexes of neodymium^{371,372} and lanthanum³⁷³ were published in the 1980s but were inconclusive as to the existence of these species. Both compounds were characterized as (thermally) labile and decomposition by an α -elimination pathway, producing alkylidene products [PhCH₂Nd=CHPh] and carbine-like species [Nd≡CPh], was proposed for the neodymium compound, whereas the formation of [PhCH₂La(H)OCH=CH₂(thf)₂] was suggested in the lanthanum case. Recently, the straightforward synthesis of neutral, salt-free lanthanum tris(benzyl) complexes $La(CH_2Ph)_3(thf)_3$ (V_{La-thf}) and $La(CH_2C_6H_4-4-Me)_3(thf)_3$ $(V^{\text{Me}}_{\text{ La-thf}})$ was described by the group of Hessen (Scheme 45).³⁷⁴

Reaction of LaBr₃(thf)₄ with 3 equiv of potassium benzyls KCH₂C₆H₄-4-R (R = H, Me) in thf afforded lanthanum tris(benzyls) V_{La-thf} and V^{Me}_{La-thf} as orange-yellow crystals (Scheme 45, I). Under the same reaction conditions,

Scheme 45. Synthesis of $Ln(CH_2Ph)_3(thf)_3 (V_{thf})$, $Ln(CH_2C_6H_4Me-4)_3(thf)_3 (V^{Me}_{La-thf})$, and $Sc(CH_2C_6H_3Me_{2}-3,5)_3(thf)_2 (V^{Me,Me}_{Sc-thf})$

E.	InBrathfu + 3 KCHaCaHaR-4	thf, 0 °C, 2h	n(CH_C_H_R_4)_(thf)_
ľ		- 3 KBr	Ln = La; R = H, Me Ln = Nd; R = H
H	YCl ₃ (thf) _{3.5} + 3 KCH ₂ Ph	thf, 0 °C, 2h - 3 KCl	Y(CH ₂ Ph) ₃ (thf) ₃
ш	YCl ₃ (thf) _{3.5} + 3 KCH ₂ Ph	thf, -50 °C 30 min - 3 KCI	Y(CH ₂ Ph) ₃ (thf) ₃
IV	LaBr ₃ (thf) ₄ + 3 KCH ₂ C ₆ H ₄ R-4	thf, -50→0 °C 2 h - 3 KBr	a(CH ₂ C ₆ H ₄ R-4) ₃ (thf) ₃
		the et	R = tBu
V	1 ScCl ₃ (thf) ₃ + 3 KCH ₂ Ph $\frac{2. \text{ sh}}{2}$	aken for 15 min - 3 KCl	Sc(CH ₂ Ph) ₃ (thf) ₃
VI	LuCl ₃ (thf) ₃ + 3 KCH ₂ Ph -	thf, -10 °C, 2h - 3 KCl	Lu(CH ₂ Ph) ₃ (thf) ₃
VI	LuCl ₃ + 3 KCH ₂ Ph thf,	- 3 KCI	Lu(CH ₂ Ph) ₃ (thf) ₃
VI	II ScCl ₃ (thf) ₃ + 3 KCH ₂ C ₆ H ₃ Me ₂	-3,5 -3,5 -3 KCl	+
		Sc(CH2	C ₆ H ₃ Me ₂ -3,5) ₃ (thf) ₂

NdBr₃(thf)_{3.5} or YCl₃(thf)_{3.5} and KCH₂Ph formed the respective neodymium and yttrium compounds (Scheme 45, I and II).^{375,376} Single crystals of the yttrium tris(benzyl) were obtained by performing the reaction at -50 °C (Scheme 45, III).³⁷⁷ Higher solubility of benzyl compounds in apolar solvents was anticipated by the introduction of tert-butyl substituents in the 4-position of a homoleptic lanthanum benzyl complex (Scheme 45, IV).³⁷⁷ Lately, the suitability of the benzyl ligand to stabilize homoleptic complexes of the smallest rare-earth metals scandium and lutetium has been demonstrated. ScCl₃(thf)₃ and LuCl₃(thf)₃ react with 3 equiv of the potassium benzyl at ambient temperature (Sc) or in a cooled thf solution (Lu) to yield the respective homoleptic rare-earth metal benzyl compounds Ln(CH₂Ph)₃(thf)₃ in good yield (Scheme 45, V and VI).³⁷⁸ Trituration of the scandium and lutetium tris(benzyl) complexes in toluene results in ready loss of one thf donor ligand, leading to pentacoordinate complexes Ln(CH₂Ph)₃(thf)₂. Aiming at the synthesis of Ln-benzyls with increased solubility in hydrocarbon solvents, ScCl₃(thf)₃ was reacted with KCH₂C₆H₃Me₂-3,5, which allowed for the isolation of the tris(dimethylbenzyl) compound $Sc(CH_2C_6H_3Me_2-3,5)_3(thf)_2$ (Scheme 45, VIII).³⁷⁹

Performing the salt-metathesis reaction between anhydrous LuCl₃ and KCH₂Ph at -196 °C followed by warming the reaction mixture to ambient temperature proved to be an alternative synthesis for neutral Lu(CH₂Ph)₃(thf)₃ (Scheme 45, VII).³⁷⁸ On the contrary, anhydrous ScCl₃ and 3 equiv of potassium benzyl yielded a two-dimensional coordination polymer of the composition [Sc(CH₂Ph)₅K₂(thf)₃]_n, in which the scandium atom is surrounded by five benzyl groups in a trigonal-bypyramidal fashion (Scheme 46).

The crystal structure of Ln(CH₂Ph)₃(thf)₃ has been determined for Sc, 378 Y, 377 Nd, 375 and La. 374 In the solid state,

Scheme 46. Synthesis of [Sc(CH₂Ph)₅K₂(thf)₃]_n

ScCl₃ + 3 KCH₂Ph
$$\xrightarrow{\text{thf}, -196 \, ^\circ \text{C} \rightarrow \text{tt}, \, 16h}_{-3 \, \text{KCl}}$$
 [Sc(CH₂Ph)₅K₂(thf)₃]_n

La(CH₂Ph)₃(thf)₃ and Nd(CH₂Ph)₃(thf)₃ revealed a *fac*octahedral coordination of the benzyl and thf ligands. Interaction of the *ipso*-carbon atoms of each benzyl moiety with the large rare-earth metal center results in an η^2 coordination, thus providing additional steric stabilization (Figure 38).^{374,375} The *p*-tolyl derivatives La(CH₂C₆H₄Me-4)₃(thf)₃³⁷⁴ and La(CH₂C₆H₄tBu-4)₃(thf)₃³⁷⁷ are essentially isostructural with **V**_{La-thf}.

The solid-state structure of Sc(CH₂Ph)₃(thf)₃ revealed also a distorted *fac*-octahedral coordination of the three benzyl groups and three thf molecules (Figure 39).³⁷⁸ In contrast to the lanthanum tris(benzyl) complex, an η^1 coordination of the benzyl groups is observed in Sc(CH₂Ph)₃(thf)₃³⁷⁸ and Y(CH₂Ph)₃(thf)₃,³⁷⁷ in agreement with the significantly smaller ionic radius of scandium.

La(CH₂Ph)₃(thf)₃ and La(CH₂C₆H₄-4-Me)₃(thf)₃ are poorly soluble in hydrocarbons but can be dissolved in thf. On the NMR time scale, all benzyl methylene protons are equivalent, suggesting an average C_{3v} symmetric solution structure.³⁷⁴ The tris(benzyl) complexes of the smaller rare-earth metals Sc and Lu are reported to be soluble in hydrocarbons, whereas ionic [Sc(CH₂Ph)₅K₂(thf)₃]_n needs to be dissolved in thf. ¹H NMR spectra of the latter recorded in thf- d_8 show only one singlet for the methylene protons in accordance with a monomeric structure.³⁷⁸

13.2. $Ln(CH_2Ph^R)_3(donor)_x$ as Synthesis Precursors

Mono(cationic) and di(cationic) lanthanum benzyl species could be obtained when reacting V_{La-thf} and V^{Me}_{La-thf} with 1 or 2 equiv of the Brønsted acid [PhNMe₂H][B(C₆F₅)₄]. To facilitate crystallization of the ionic lanthanum compounds, the corresponding tetraphenylborate salts were prepared using [PhNMe₂H][BPh₄]. Remarkably, both mono(cation) V^+_{La-thf} and di(cation) $V^{2+,Me}_{La-thf}$ could be crystallized and showed two tilted η^3 coordinated benzyl groups in the mono(cationic)



Figure 38. Solid-state structure of $La(CH_2Ph)_3(thf)_3$ (V_{La-thf}), adapted from ref 374.



Figure 39. Solid-state structure of $Sc(CH_2Ph)_3(thf)_3$ (V_{Sc-thf}), adapted from ref 378.

species $\mathbf{V}^+_{\text{La-thf}}$, whereas the remaining benzyl ligand in the di(cation) $\mathbf{V}^{2+,\text{Me}}_{\text{La-thf}}$ is essentially η^2 bonded (Chart 23). In both molecules, the environments of the lanthanum metal centers are saturated by thf molecules.

Reactions of the tris(benzyl) complex V^{Me}_{La-thf} with LiCH₂C₆H₄-4-Me in thf led to the formation of the ionic compound [La(CH₂C₆H₄-4-Me)₄][Li(thf)₄] (V^{-}_{La}). Crystal structure determination again revealed a stabilizing η^2 coordination of all four benzyl ligands in the anionic unit.

Application of lanthanum benzyls $V_{\text{La-thf}}$ and $V^{\text{Me}}_{\text{La-thf}}$ as alkyl precursors in a series of derivatization reactions demonstrates the versatility and synthetic value of these compounds (Chart 23).^{189,374,380} Acid—base reaction with the amidine ArN=CR'NHAr (Ar = C₆H₃-2,6-*i*Pr; R' = Ph, *t*Bu) in thf generated the mono(amidinate)—bis(benzyl) complexes [R'C(NAr)₂]La(CH₂C₆H₄-R)₂(thf)_n (**202** and **203**) and 1 equiv of toluene or *p*-xylene, respectively.^{189,374} In complexes **202**, one benzyl ligand is coordinated in an η^2 mode whereas the second benzyl ligand is significantly tilted, suggesting an η^3 like bonding. The more sterically hindered amidine with a *tert*-butyl substituent in the backbone allows only for two η^2 coordinated benzyl ligands in complex **203**. By reaction with [PhNMe₂H][BPh₄], compound **203** can be transferred into the respective mono(cationic) species.

Mixtures La(CH₂Ph)₃(thf)₃/[PhNMe₂H][B(C₆F₅)₄] and **202**/ [PhNMe₂H][B(C₆F₅)₄] in toluene show low activities in the polymerization of ethylene and provide catalysts for the intramolecular hydroamination/cyclization.³⁷⁴ In the presence of 1,4,7-trimethyl-1,4,7-triazacyclononane, La(CH₂Ph)₃(thf)₃ forms compound **204** with one η^2 bound [CH₂Ph] ligand. Reaction with a silylamino-substituted triazacyclononane led to the clean formation of monomeric, donor-solvent-free lanthanum dibenzyl complex **205**.³⁷⁶

Scandium tris(dimethylbenzyl) $Sc(CH_2C_6H_3Me_2-3,5)_3(thf)_2$ was successfully used as a synthesis precursor for the preparation of ferrocene diamide scandium complex **206**.³⁷⁹ Subsequent ligand-exchange reactions have been carried out, and the performance of **206** as a catalyst for the ROP of L-lactide has been investigated.

13.3. Synthesis, Structure, Properties, and Derivatization of Ln(II)[CH(SiMe₃)C₆H₄-*o*-NMe₂]₂(thf)₂

The research on benzyl complexes of the divalent lanthanide metals was greatly influenced by the chemistry of heavy alkaline-earth metals.³⁸¹ Striking similarities between the chemistries of Ca(II) and Yb(II) have been evidenced in solid-state structures and the chemical behavior.^{354,382–384} Considering these parallels, Harder introduced donor-functionalized benzyl ligands to the chemistry of divalent lanthanide metals, which were previously successfully applied for alkaline-earth metals.³⁸¹ Benzyl ligands, which kinetically and thermodynamically stabilize the large coordination spheres of divalent lanthanide metals but still provide enough nucleophilicity to initiate catalytic reactions, have been applied, in particular the [2-NMe₂- α -Me₃Sibenzyl] ligand.

Accordingly, complexes Ln(II)[CH(SiMe₃)C₆H₄-o-NMe₂]₂-(thf)₂ (**W**_{thf}) could be obtained by salt-metathesis reaction of the respective LnI₂ and 2 equiv of K[CH(SiMe₃)C₆H₄-o-NMe₂] in thf at ambient temperature (Scheme 47).^{377,381}

The solid-state structures of the Eu,³⁷⁷ Sm,³⁸¹ and Yb³⁸¹ benzyl complexes have been determined and revealed diastereomers with *R* and *S* configuration at the benzylic carbons (Figure 40). The (2-Me₂N- α -Me₃Si-benzyl) ligand coordinates to the lanthanide metal center in a bidentate fashion. The coordination sphere might be described as a distorted octahedron with the carbanions in *trans* position and the four neutral coordinating groups in the equatorial plane. The benzylic carbons show a hybridization between sp³ and sp². Eu[CH(SiMe₃)C₆H₄-*o*-NMe₂]₂(thf)₂ and Sm[CH(SiMe₃)C₆H₄-*o*-NMe₂]₂(thf)₂ revealed isomorphous structures, which, in contrast to the respective ytterbium structure, showed short contacts to the aryl rings.

In solution, the complexes $Ln(II)[CH(SiMe_3)C_6H_4-o-NMe_2]_2(thf)_2$ exist as a pair of diastereomers interconverting slowly. However, only one set of thf signals is observed, indicating fast exchange of the thf ligands.

Yb(II)[CH(SiMe₃)C₆H₄-*o*-NMe₂]₂(thf)₂ has been used as a starting material for the formation of heteroleptic Yb(II) complexes. Following the synthesis procedure, which had previously been applied for calcium,³⁸⁵ the ytterbium dibenzyl was reacted with 9-Me₃Si-fluorene to yield compound **207** (Chart 24), which has been applied as a catalyst for the polymerization of styrene.³⁸¹ Heteroleptic complexes can further be prepared by ligand exchange between two homoleptic compounds. The Schlenk-like equilibria present in such solutions are controlled by steric as well as electronic effects. When a reaction mixture of Yb[CH(SiMe₃)C₆H₄-*o*-NMe₂]₂-(thf)₂ and Yb(nacnac)₂ was heated at 50 °C, the heteroleptic species **208** formed first but upon standing yielded the C–H activation product **209** (Chart 24).³⁸¹

Homoleptic Yb(II)[CH(SiMe₃)C₆H₄-o-NMe₂]₂(thf)₂ and Sm(II)[CH(SiMe₃)C₆H₄-o-NMe₂]₂(thf)₂ initiate the polymerization of styrene.³⁸¹ For the ytterbium derivative, polystyrene of high syndiotacticity could be obtained, whereas a bimodal molecular weight distribution was found when the samarium-based catalyst was used. Oxidation of the Sm(II) metal center by styrene to a catalytically active Sm(III) species has been proposed.









13.4. Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of $Ln(III)(CH_2C_6H_4-o-NMe_2)_3$ and $Ln(III)(CH_2C_6H_4-o-SiMe_3)_3$

Donor-functionalized benzyl ligands [CH₂C₆H₄-*o*-NMe₂] have attracted attention very recently. The aminobenzyl ligand was especially developed to provide stability and steric shielding in complexes of the early transition metals. Because of its "built-in" chelating amino group, it was found suitable to stabilize homoleptic and heteroleptic titanium and chromium complexes.³⁸⁶

With the synthesis of the homoleptic solvent-free scandium compound $Sc(CH_2C_6H_4-o-NMe_2)_3$ (X_{Sc}), Manzer introduced these bidentate benzyl ligands to group 3 chemistry.^{386,387} Straightforward synthesis starting from anhydrous ScCl₃ and Li(CH₂C₆H₄-*o*-NMe₂) yielded the envisaged product as an extremely air-sensitive, pale-yellow, crystalline solid (Scheme 48, I). Further purification, however, was described as exceedingly difficult.

Almost 30 years later, Harder rediscovered the synthetic potential of $Ln(CH_2C_6H_4-o-NMe_2)_3$ (**X**).³⁸⁸ Applying slightly modified reaction conditions and using the potassium salt $K(CH_2C_6H_4-o-NMe_2)$ rather than the lithium analogue, he succeeded in preparing the yttrium and lanthanum derivatives $Y(CH_2C_6H_4-o-NMe_2)_3$ and $La(CH_2C_6H_4-o-NMe_2)_3$, respectively (Scheme 48, II). Recently, the synthesis protocol could be extended to the entire lanthanide series, producing the respective Nd, Sm, Ho, Dy, and Yb tris(aminobenzyl) complexes (Scheme 48, II–IV).³⁷⁷ Notably, in the case of



Figure 40. Solid-state structure of Yb[CH(SiMe₃)C₆H₄-o-NMe₂]₂-(thf)₂ (W_{Yb-thf}), adapted from ref 381.





samarium and holmium, anhydrous SmBr₃ and HoI₃ have been used as lanthanide precursors (Scheme 48, III and IV).

Almost parallel to Harder's work, a slightly modified synthesis procedure for the homoleptic aminobenzyl complexes $Ln(CH_2C_6H_4-o-NMe_2)_3$ has been published by the group of Hou.³⁸⁹ The Y, Sm, Gd, and Lu compounds were hereby accessed by reacting suspensions of the respective $LnCl_3$ in thf with solutions of $Li(CH_2C_6H_4-o-NMe_2)$ in thf at ambient temperature (Scheme 48, V). $LnBr_3(thf)_n$ has been used under similar reaction conditions to access the derivatives of the larger rare-earth metals lanthanum, praseodymium, and neodymium (Scheme 48, VI).

The solid-state structures of $Ln(CH_2C_6H_4-o-NMe_2)_3$ (Ln = Y, La, Nd, Sm, Dy, Ho, and Yb) have been investigated and revealed isomorphous structures showing a paddle-wheel geometry with prismatically coordinated metal centers (Figure 41).^{377,388} One of the benzyl ligands coordinates "upside down" and thus breaks up the 3-fold symmetry. The





Ln–C and Ln–N bond lengths correlate with the ionic radii of the Ln³⁺ cations. Remarkably, the La–C_{*ipso*} and La–C_{*ortho*} distances are shorter than expected, demonstrating a pronounced multihapto bonding with increasing metal size. The benzyl ligands show a hybridization of the CH₂ group between sp² and sp³. The parameters associated with delocalization of the negative charge from the benzylic carbon C_{α} into the ring do not vary significantly along the rareearth metal series. However, comparison of these values for La and Yb confirm a slight decrease in charge delocalization with decreasing cation size.³⁷⁷

Compounds Ln(CH₂C₆H₄-*o*-NMe₂)₃ are soluble in aromatic solvents and thf. Sc(CH₂C₆H₄-*o*-NMe₂)₃ reacts violently with chlorinated solvents.³⁸⁷ Noteworthy is the thermal stability of complexes **X**. Toluene solutions can be stored at ambient temperature for several months with only negligible decomposition of **X**. Even heated solutions show only minor decomposition of **X**.^{377,388}

Further, variation of the donor functionality at the benzyl ligands *ortho*-position and the effect on complex stabilization was investigated. An extended steric shielding of the rareearth metal's coordination sphere and additional stabilization by possible agostic Si-Me-Ln interactions was anticipated by the *o*-SiMe₃ substituents. The attempted preparation of a



Figure 41. Solid-state structure of $La(CH_2C_6H_4\text{-}o\text{-}NMe_2)_3$ (X_{La}), adapted from ref 388.

Scheme 49. Synthesis of Ionic Complex [La(CH₂C₆H₄-*o*-SiMe₃)₄][Li(thf)₄] (Y_{La})



neutral homoleptic lanthanum compound by reaction of LaCl₃ with K(CH₂C₆H₄-*o*-SiMe₃) in thf yielded the ionic complex [La(CH₂C₆H₄-*o*-SiMe₃)₄][Li(thf)₄] (\mathbf{Y}_{La}) due to lithium impurities in the starting material (Scheme 49).³⁸⁸

The solid-state structure of $[La(CH_2C_6H_4-o-SiMe_3)_4]$ [Li-(thf)₄] shows a pseudo S_4 -symmetric $[La(CH_2C_6H_4-o-SiMe_3)_4]$ anion with a distorted tetrahedral geometry about the lanthanum metal center (Figure 42). Two of the La–C



Figure 42. Solid-state structure of the $[La(CH_2C_6H_4-o-SiMe_3)_4]$ anion in Y_{La} , adapted from ref 388.

bonds are distinctively shorter than the other two, substantiating a tendency toward η^2 bonding.

13.5. Ln(CH₂C₆H₄-*o*-NMe₂)₃ as Synthesis Precursors

Homoleptic donor-free tris(aminobenzyl) complexes W were introduced as rare-earth metal alkyl precursors only very recently. The majority of reports on the derivatization of these rare-earth metal alkyls appeared in 2007-2008, but a multitude of applications is anticipated in the near future.

Despite the steric and electronic saturation of the rareearth metal center by the aminobenzyl ligands, compounds $Ln(CH_2C_6H_4$ -o-NMe₂)₃ (**W**) easily undergo acid—base reactions with a variety of protic proligands. The yttrium derivative has been shown to deprotonate fluorenes and alkylamines and has been used as a precursor in the synthesis of a constrained-geometry yttrium benzyl compound (**210**), which could easily be converted into the respective hydride species (Chart 25).³⁸⁸

A series of scandium mono(cyclopentadienyl) bis(aminobenzyl) complexes was reported to be the outcome of acid—base reactions between Sc(CH₂C₆H₄-o-NMe₂)₃ and the respective H(Cp^R) (Chart 25, **211**–**213**).³⁹⁰ In the presence of 1 equiv of [PhNMe₂H][B(C₆F₅)₄] or [Ph₃C][B(C₆F₅)₄], compounds **211**–**213** form mono(cationic) species, which appeared to be active catalysts for the polymerization of olefins (ethylene, 1-hexene, styrene, norbornene, dicyclopentadiene). Further, copolymerization of 1-hexene/norbornene and 1-hexene/dicyclopentadiene was achieved. Remarkable catalytic activity in the polymerization of styrene was found for mono(cations) in situ prepared from mono-(phospholyl) scandium complex **214** and [Ph₃C][B(C₆F₅)₄].³⁹¹

Chart 25. Complexes Derived from Protonolysis Reaction Employing Ln(CH₂C₆H₄-o-NMe₂)₃ (W)



Mono(pyrrolyl) bis(aminobenzyl) complexes of Sc, Y, and La can be accessed by acid-base reactions of the homoleptic rare-earth metal alkyls and the pyrrole derivative (Chart 25, 215 and 216).³⁹² The coordination of the newly introduced ancillary ligand is governed by the substituents at the pyrrolyl. Scandium complex 216 is a rare example of a rareearth metal complex with an η^1 -bonded pyrrolyl ligand. The respective mono(cations) are formed upon treatment of 215/ **216** with $[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ and have been applied as catalysts for the production of syndiotactic styrene. Benzamidinate ligands have successfully been used to synthesize bis(alkyl) complexes of the entire rare-earth metal series. Using $Y(CH_2C_6H_4-o-NMe_2)_3$ as alkyl precursor, yttrium complex 218 could be obtained.³⁹³ Further cationization with $[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ produced an active isoprene polymerization catalyst. Constrained-geometry complexes 217 could be accessed via the tris(aminobenzyl) precursors for the entire size range of rare-earth metals.³⁸⁹ Compounds 217 serve as catalysts for the catalytic addition of phosphine P-H bonds to carbodiimides and have further been applied in the crosscoupling of terminal alkynes with isocyanides.^{243,244}

14. Phenyl Complexes

14.1. Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of $Ln(II)(Ph^R)_2(solv)_x$

Contrasting the predominant use of metathesis reactions for the synthesis of organorare-earth metal complexes, redox transmetalation/ligand-exchange reactions display a competitive route particularly for the preparation of low-valent lanthanide organometallics involving σ -bonded ligands. Major contributions to the early developments were made by Deacon and coworkers, introducing transmetalation reactions of organomercurials as a route to organolanthanides.^{394,395}

Already 30 years ago, the redox transmetalation reaction of elemental ytterbium and europium with the appropriate diorganomercurial in a donor solvent, thf, at ambient temperature were reported to yield perfluoroaryllanthanide(II) compounds $Ln(C_6F_5)_2(thf)_{4/5}$ ($\mathbb{Z}^{C_6F_5}_{thf}$) (Scheme 50, I).^{395–397} Although the reactivity of these compounds was studied in depth, structural data were not available until very recently. $Ln(C_6F_5)_2(thf)_x$ are thermally unstable, predominantly decomposing by fluoride abstraction within hours or days at ambient temperature. Attempts to synthesize the respective perfluorated Sm(II) diaryls yielded complex product mixtures caused by thermal decomposition of initially formed Sm(C_6F_5)₂.³⁹⁵

The reaction of $Hg(p-HC_6F_4)_2$ with ytterbium at ambient temperature showed features similar to the reaction with samarium, but cooling to 0 °C allowed for the isolation of $Yb(p-HC_6F_4)_2(thf)_4$ ($\mathbb{Z}^{C_6F_4}_{thf}$) (Scheme 50, II).^{395,397} Satisfactory analytical characterization was, however, hampered by the low thermal stability of the compound.

Scheme SV. Svinnesis of Lin(11)(1 if 12)(111), (Lift	Scheme 50.	Synthesis	of Ln(II	[)(Ph^R)₂(thf) ,	(Zthf)
--	------------	-----------	----------	---	--------





Figure 43. Solid-state structure of $Yb(C_6F_5)_2(thf)_4$ ($\mathbb{Z}^{C_6F_5}_{Yb-thf}$), adapted from ref 401.

Scheme 51. Synthesis of Ln(II)(C₆H₃Ph₂-2,6)₂(thf)_x (Z^{terph}_{thf})



Reactions between rare-earth elements and HgPh₂ are harder to induce than those of their fluorinated analogues and usually require activation of the metal (Hg or CH_2I_2)^{398,399} and heating.⁴⁰⁰ The simplest ytterbium(II) diaryl YbPh₂ is accessible by transmetalation of HgPh₂ and activated Yb metal in thf, but it is noteworthy that a well-defined YbPh₂ complex has not yet been isolated.³⁹⁹

Previously, the composition of the perfluoroaryl lanthanide-(II) compounds $Ln(C_6F_5)_2(thf)_{4/5}$ had been proven by IR, UV/ vis, ¹⁷¹Yb NMR, and ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy accompanied by metal titration and acidolysis.^{395,397,401} Single crystals of $Eu(C_6F_5)_2(thf)_5$ ($\mathbb{Z}^{C_6F_5}_{Eu-thf}$) have been grown from thf solutions by addition of light petroleum at -20 °C. In the solid state, the europium environment approximates a pentagonal bipyramid with axial C_6F_5 groups and five coordinating thf molecules in the equatorial positions.⁴⁰² The C(ipso)-Eu-C(ipso) angle is near 180°, and competing *o*-F/ *o*-F and/or *o*-F/thf repulsions cause rotation of the C_6F_5 groups (angle between C_6 ring planes = 65.7°).

The attempted crystallization of putative "YbPh(C₆F₅)" by cooling a concentrated thf/hexanes solution to -20 °C revealed the occurrence of a ligand-redistribution process (Scheme 50, III), yielding crystalline Yb(C₆F₅)₂(thf)₄ ($\mathbb{Z}^{C_6F_5}$ _{Yb-thf}).⁴⁰¹ As predicted earlier, the six-coordinate yt-terbium(II) is surrounded by two *trans*-C₆F₅ ligands with four equatorial thf ligands completing an octahedral coordination geometry (Figure 43). Contrary to the europium compound, the two C₆F₅ groups in Yb(C₆F₅)₂(thf)₄ are coplanar.

In 2000, Heckmann and Niemeyer introduced the sterically demanding *m*-terphenyl ligands (C₆H₃Ph₂-2,6) to low-valent rare-earth metals. σ -Bonded Ln(II) aryls were prepared by a direct synthesis route from organyl iodides and the ytterbium or europium metal, respectively (Scheme 51).^{178,403} Using ¹⁷¹Yb as a probe, a Schlenk-like equilibrium between

Yb(C₆H₃Ph₂-2,6)I(thf)₃, Yb(C₆H₃Ph₂-2,6)₂(thf)₂, and YbI₂-(thf)₄ in thf solution has been detected (Scheme 51). Depending on the nature of the lanthanide metal center, the aryl substituent, and the solvent used, either the diaryl compound or the mixed aryl/iodide species may be obtained from solution. Thus, recrystallization of Ln(C₆H₃Ph₂-2,6)I(thf)₃ from aromatic solvents shifts the equilibrium shown in Scheme 51 toward homoleptic Ln(C₆H₃Ph₂-2,6)₂(thf)₂(**Z**^{terph}_{thf}). Further, using europium affords the europium(II) diaryl and EuI₂(thf)₅ as the main products.

The molecular structures of $Ln(C_6H_3Ph_2-2,6)_2(thf)_2$ (\mathbb{Z}^{terph}_{thf}) reveal monomeric units wherein two aryl ligands and two thf molecules form a strongly distorted tetrahedral environment of the lanthanide metal center (Figure 44).^{178,403} High steric crowding and a high ionic character of the metal—carbon bonds were held responsible for the considerable displacement of the respective lanthanide atoms from the aromatic planes. In addition, a favorable arrangement allowing for secondary η^1 - π -arene interactions to one o'phenyl carbon atom of the terphenyl ligand is provided.

In 2004, Deacon and Forsyth published the synthesis of cations with the lanthanide metal in the divalent oxidation state and a residual Ln–C σ bond. Redox transmetalation/ligand-exchange reaction of ytterbium or europium metal, HgPh(C₆F₅), and [NMe₃H][BPh₄] yielded the tetraphenylborate salts [Ln(C₆F₅)(thf)_x][BPh₄] (\mathbf{Z}^{+/C_6F_5} thf) in good yields (Scheme 52, I).⁴⁰⁴ Presumably, these reactions proceed by protonolysis of the more basic Ln–Ph site of intermediately formed LnPh(C₆F₅) by [NMe₃H][BPh₄]. The [Yb(C₆F₅)(thf)_x]⁺ fragment is also found in [Yb(C₆F₅)-(thf)₅][Yb(C₆F₅)₂{N(SiMe₃)₂}] (**219**), a mixed-valent species fortuitously isolated in low yield from Yb, HgPh(C₆F₅), and HN(SiMe₃)₂ mixtures in thf (Scheme 52, II).

The organolanthanide(II) cations exhibit greater thermal stability than their neutral diorganolanthanide(II) counterparts and appear to be stable for several weeks at ambient temperature. Decomposition of solid $[Yb(C_6F_5)(thf)_5][BPh_4]$ upon heating was observed only above 130 °C, with evolution of thf. Heating a solution of the ionic ytterbium species in thf at 60 °C for 24 h gave a semicrystalline white solid, which was shown to be $[Yb(thf)_6][BPh_4]_2$. Even though



Figure 44. Solid-state structure of $Yb(C_6H_3Ph_2-2,6)_2(thf)_2$ (Z^{terph}_{thf}), adapted from ref 178.

Scheme 52. Synthesis of Cationic $[Ln(II)(C_6F_5)(thf)_x][anion]$ $(Z^{+/C_6F_5}_{hf} and 219)$



the decomposition pathways are not entirely elucidated, the thermal reactions of $[Ln(C_6F_5)(thf)_x][anion]$ appear to be substantially different to those of other $Ln-C_6F_5$ species.

The solvated cations $[Ln(C_6F_5)(thf)_x]^+$ display lanthanide(II) coordination geometries similar to the corresponding neutral $[Ln(C_6F_5)_2(thf)_x]$ derivatives but with significantly shorter metal—carbon bonds (Figure 45). In accordance with the larger ionic radius of Eu(II) than Yb(II), $[Eu(C_6F_5)(thf)_6]^+$ is solvated by six thf molecules, resulting in a distorted pentagonal-bipyramidal geometry upon the europium metal center, whereas only five donor thf molecules provide an octahedral arrangement at the smaller ytterbium metal center.

14.2. $Ln(II)(Ph^{R})_{2}(solv)_{x}$ as Synthesis Precursors

The high reactivity of the Ln–C bond in complexes $Ln(Ph^R)_2(thf)_x (\mathbf{Z}_{thf})$ has been used for the preparation of rareearth metal cyclopentadienyl, aryloxide, and organoamide complexes through protonolysis reaction with the respective proligands. Because of the thermal instability of $Ln(Ph^R)_2(thf)_x$, ligand exchange can best be realized by reacting the in situ formed $Ln-Ph^R$ species immediately with the protic substrate to avoid the problematic isolation of $Ln(Ph^R)_2(thf)_x$.^{395,397} The choice of $Hg(Ph^R)_2$ employed in such reactions is hereby subject to pK_a considerations. Substrates with high pK_a values (e.g., $HN(SiMe_3)_2 =$



Figure 45. Solid-state structure of the cationic fragment $[Yb(C_6F_5)(thf)_5]^+$ in $[Yb(C_6F_5)(thf)_5][Yb(C_6F_5)_2\{N(SiMe_3)_2\}_2]$ (**219**), adapted from ref 404.

Scheme 53. Cyclopentadienyl Complexes Derived from $Ln(Ph^R)_2(Z)$

$Ln(C_6F_5)_2(thf)_{4/5} + 2 (Cp^R)H \longrightarrow Ln(Cp^R)_2(thf)_x + 2 C_6F_5H$
Ln = Yb; Cp ^R = C ₉ H ₇ ; x = 2
$Ln = Eu; Cp^R = C_5H_5; x = 0.5, 1$
$Ln = Eu; Cp^{-1} = C_9H_7; x = 1$
II Ln + Hg(C ₆ F ₅) ₂ + 2 (Cp ^R)H $\xrightarrow{\text{tnr}}$ - Hg Ln(Cp ^R) ₂ + 2 C ₆ F ₅ H
III Yb + Hg(C ₆ F ₅) ₂ + 2 (C ₅ H ₅)H $\frac{\text{thf/dme}}{-\text{Hg}}$ Yb(C ₅ H ₅) ₂ (dme) + 2 C ₆ F ₅ H
V Yb(C ₆ F ₅) ₂ (thf) ₄ + 2 (C ₅ H ₄ PPh ₂)H $\frac{\text{thf/Et}_2O}{\bullet}$
$YD(C_5H_4PPh_2)_2(III) + 2C_6F_5H$
$\sqrt{Yb + Hg(C_6F_5)_2 + 2 (C_5H_4PPh_2)H} \xrightarrow{thf/Et_2O}$
$Yb(C_5H_4PPh_2)_2(thf) + 2C_6F_5H$
$\sqrt{1}$ Vb + HoPbc + 2 (C Mo) H = thf = Vb (C Mo) (4bb + 2 C H
-Hg PD(C5Me5)2+2 (C5Me5)1 -Hg

~25.8)⁴⁰⁵ require the use of HgPh₂ rather than Hg(C₆F₅)₂ because the p*K*_a of C₆H₆ (~40) is considerably higher than that of C₆F₅H (~26).⁹⁰ In addition, HgPh₂ shows a weaker oxidizing ability than Hg(C₆F₅)₂, an important criterion for transmetalation—ligand-exchange reactions of rare-earth metals with accessible divalent and trivalent oxidation states.⁴⁰⁶ The in situ preparation of Ln(Ph^R)₂(thf)_x followed by ligand exchange further allowed for the synthesis of a few organosamarium(II) compounds, even though the respective Sm(Ph^R)₂ cannot be isolated.^{406,407}

Initial studies addressed the reactivity of preformed Ln(C₆F₅)₂(thf)_{4/5} toward cyclopentadienes, indene, and diphenylphosphinocyclopentadiene.408,409 Accordingly, solutions of the perfluoroaryllanthanide(II) compounds in thf/ Et₂O reacted with the respective proligands $(Cp^{R})H$ to form complexes $Ln(Cp^R)_2(thf)_x$ (Scheme 53, I and IV). Performing the aforementioned ligand-exchange reactions with in situ prepared Ln(C₆F₅)₂(thf)_{4/5} yielded the desired cyclopentadienvl complexes usually in higher yields (Scheme 53, II, III, and V).^{19,408,409} Replacing thf as a solvent by pyridine in the mixtures of Eu(C₆F₅)₂/Hg(C₆F₅)₂ and CpH resulted in oxidation of the europium metal to yield EuCp₃(pyr).⁴¹⁰ In accordance with the above mentioned pK_a criterion, HgPh₂ had to be used for the synthesis of $Yb(C_5Me_5)_2(thf)_2$ (Scheme 53, VI). The reaction of $Yb(C_6F_5)_2(thf)_4$ with C_5H_5Me intermediately formed the envisaged product, but attempts to isolate the compound gave an explosive solid, presumably due to contamination with a fluorocarbon-ytterbium impurity.408

Yb(C₅H₄PPh₂)₂(thf) was subjected to donor-exchange reactions (thf → tmen) and was further used to prepare ytterbium-transition metal heterobimetallic compounds Yb(C₅H₄PPh₂)₂(thf)_xMo(CO)₄, Yb(C₅H₄PPh₂)₂(thf)_xNi(CO)₂, and Yb(C₅H₄PPh₂)₂(thf)_xPtMe₂.⁴⁰⁹

With the objective to obtain low-coordinate lanthanide(II) complexes, the redox transmetalation/ligand-exchange procedure was further applied to phenols. Reacting preformed $Ln(C_6F_5)_2(thf)_{4/5}$ ($\mathbb{Z}^{C_6F_5}_{thf}$) as well as the in situ formed species with bulky phenols HOAr^R yielded complexes $Ln(OAr^R)_2$ -(thf)₃ (**220–224**) in good yields (Chart 26).^{411–414} Complex **223**_{Sm} was formed in very low yield along with the major product Sm(OAr^{OMe})₃(thf) with samarium in the trivalent

Chart 26. Aryloxide Complexes Derived from Ln(Ph^R)₂ (Z)



oxidation state (Chart 26).⁴¹⁴ The lower oxidizing ability of HgPh₂ compared with Hg(C₆F₅)₂ is demonstrated when using HOC₆Me₂-3,5-Ph₂-2,6 as a protic substrate. Whereas the mixture of Yb, Hg(C₆F₅)₂, and the phenol resulted in the formation of the trivalent ytterbium complex, the use of HgPh₂ produced complex **224** with ytterbium in the divalent oxidation state (Chart 26).⁴¹⁵

Reaction of bis(pentafluorophenyl)lanthanides or the in situ prepared complexes, respectively, with the heterocyclic amines carbazole, 2-phenylindole, or 2,3,4,5-tetraphenylpyrrole in thf gave access to homoleptic organoamidolanthanide(II) complexes $Ln(NR_2)_2(thf)_x$ (Chart 27, **225–227**).^{406,407,411,416} Remarkably, divalent Sm species **226**_{Sm} and **227**_{Sm} are formed even when applying Hg(C₆F₅)₂ in the transmetalation reaction. The use of HgPh₂ was essential for the preparation of complexes $Ln[N(SiMe_3)(C_6H_3iPr_2-2,6)]_2(thf)_2$ (**228**) and $Ln[N(SiMe_3)_2]_2$ -(thf)₂ (**229**) because of the relatively high pK_a of the respective silylamines.^{405,417} Moreover, the preparation of triazenide complexes (**231**) from the lanthanide metal, Hg(C₆F₅)₂, and a sterically crowded triazene⁴¹⁸ and the formation of an aminopyridinato ytterbium(II) complex (**230**) (prepared with HgPh₂) have been reported.⁴¹⁹

Pyrazolate complexes represent a special class of organoamide complexes. Redox transmetalation of the lanthanide metal with HgPh₂ followed by ligand exchange with pyrazole gave the respective organoamide compounds with ytterbium and europium in the divalent oxidation state (Chart 27, **232–233**).^{420–422} The use of Hg(C₆F₅)₂, however, exclusively yielded trivalent pyrazolate complexes.

In reactions with transition metal complexes, triphenyltin chloride, and mercuric chloride, bis(polyfluorophenyl)ytterbium compounds show reactivities comparable to those of the corresponding Grignard and organolithium reagents.⁴²³ With aldehydes and ketones, the diorganoytterbium compounds are predominantly carbanion transfer reagents and can act as reducing reagents.⁴²⁴ Further, the insertion of CO₂ into the Ln–Ph^R bond has been reported.⁴²³ Ligand exchange of Yb(C₆F₅)₂ ($\mathbb{Z}^{C_6F_5}$ _{Yb}) with phenylacetylene is a synthesis route to bis(phenylethynyl)ytterbium(II) (see section 15.1).³⁹⁴ Further, YbPh₂(thf)₂ (\mathbb{Z}^{Ph}_{Yb-thf}) has been applied as a catalyst for the polymerization of styrene but only showed very low catalytic activity.⁴²⁵





14.3. Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of $Ln(III)(Ph^R)_3(solv)_x$ and $[Li(solv)_x][Ln(III)(Ph^R)_4]$

The history of σ -bonded organometallic complexes of the rare-earth metals is strongly related to phenyl complexes. In 1968, Hart and Saran reported what appeared to be the first purely σ -bonded organorare-earth metal derivative by treating anhydrous scandium chloride with phenyllithium as shown in Scheme 54, I.⁶⁶ While YPh₃ (**AA**_Y) could be prepared analogously, the larger rare-earth metals lanthanum

Scheme 54.	Synthesis	of	Ln(III)(Ph	R) ₃ (thf) _x	(AA	and AA _{thf}))
------------	-----------	----	------------	--	-----	-------------------------	---

I.	LnCl ₃	+	3 LiPh	thf/Et₂O 0→20 °C, 6-18 h - 3 LiCl	[LnPh ₃] _n Ln = Sc, Y
II S	cCl ₃ (thf)	3 +	3 LiPh	thf/Et ₂ O 0 °C, 30 min - 3 LiCl	ScPh ₃ (thf) ₂
101	LnCl ₃	+	3 Li(Ph ^R)	1. thf, 40 °C, 16 h 2. thf/Et ₂ O <u>0 °C, 2.5 h</u> - 3 LiCl	$\begin{array}{l} Ln(Ph^R)_3(thf)_2\\ Ln=Sc, Lu\\ Ph^R=C_6H_5\\ Ph^R=C_6H_4-p-Me\\ Ph^R=C_6H_4-p-He\\ Ph^R=C_6H_4-p-Et \end{array}$
IV	LnCl ₃	+ 1	-2 equiv Li(F	Ph ^R) <u>thf</u> , rt, 1-30 h - LiCl	$Ln(Ph^R)_3$ Ln = La, Sm, Yb Ph ^R = C ₆ H ₃ (O/Pr) ₂ -2,6
V	SmCl ₃	+	2 Li(Ph ^R)	thf/tmeda, rt, 5 h - LiCl P	Ln(Ph ^R) ₃ ^{h^R = C₆H₃(OC₆H₁₁)₂-2,6}
VI	2 Ln	+	3 HgPh ₂	thf, Lnl _x (thf) _y -5 °C/20 °C, 5-7 d - 3 Hg	2 LnPh ₃ (thf) ₃ Ln = Ho, Er, Tm, Yb
VII	Ln	+	BiPh ₃ -	thf, Lnl _x (thf) _y -5 °C, 200 h -3 weeks - Bi	- LnPh ₃ (thf) ₃ Ln = Er, Yb

Scheme 55.	Formation	of Ate	Complexes
[Li(thf) _r][Lr	n(III)(Ph ^R) ₄]	(AB)	-

ey)	InCla		4 LiPh	thf/Et₂O 0 °C→20 °C, 24 h	l il nPh.
Enoi3	+ + Li ii		- 3 LiCl	Ln = La, Pr	
0	LnCl ₃	+	4 LiPh ^R	thf, - 78 °C	[Li(thf) ₄][LnPh ^R ₄] Ph ^R = C ₆ H ₃ Me ₂ -2,6 Ln = Yb, Lu
Ш	SmCl ₃	+ 3	3-4 equiv LiPh	Rthf, rt, 10 h → x LiCl	LiSmPh ^R ₄ Ph ^R = C ₆ H ₃ (OiPr) ₂ -2,6

and praseodymium yielded anionic lithium tetraphenyllanthanate LiLaPh₄ (AB_{La}) and lithium tetraphenylpraseodymiate LiPrPh₄ (AB_{Pr}), respectively (Scheme 55, I).⁶⁸ The neutral phenyl complexes were obtained as powdery solids consisting of polymeric $[LnPh_3]_n$ (AA), which were characterized by IR spectroscopy, elemental analyses, Michler's ketone test, and the reaction with HgCl₂. Structural proof was provided 30 years later, when ScCl₃(thf)₃ and 3 equiv of phenyllithium were reacted in thf/Et2O solution to afford mononuclear $ScPh_3(thf)_2$ (AA_{Sc-thf}) as crystalline material (Scheme 54, II).⁴²⁶ Salt metathesis of rare-earth metal(III) chlorides and aryllithium reagents in thf/Et₂O further proved to be a viable route to $Ln(Ph^R)_3(thf)_x$ with differently substituted aryl ligands. Recently, a series of neutral scandium and lutetium tri(aryl) complexes with methyl and ethyl substituents in the para position have been isolated following this approach (Scheme 54, III).⁴²⁷ The outcome of the reaction between LnCl₃ and Li[C₆H₃(O*i*Pr)₂-2,6] or Li[C₆H₃(OC₆H₁₁)₂-2,6] is determined by the amount of lithium reagent employed.428 Neutral complexes of the type $Ln[C_6H_3(OiPr)_2-2,6]_3$ and $Ln[C_6H_3(OC_6H_{11})_2-2,6]_3$ require a substoichiometric use of the aryllithium reagent (1-2 equiv) (Scheme 54, IV and V), whereas anionic complex $LiSm[C_6H_3(OiPr)_2-2,6]_4$ was obtained when 3–4 equiv of the lithium organyl were applied (Scheme 55, III).

Redox transmetalation as an alternative synthesis approach toward phenyl complexes of the trivalent rare-earth metals was introduced by Bochkarev et al. in the mid-1990s.⁴²⁹ In the presence of catalytical amounts of rare-earth metal halides, metallic lanthanides react with HgPh₂ or BiPh₃ to form LnPh₂(thf)₂ (Ln = Eu, Yb) (see section 14.5) and Ph₃Ln(thf)₃ (Ln = Ho, Er, Tm, Yb), respectively (Scheme 54, VI and VII).^{429,430}

The synthesis of neutral triphenyl rare-earth metal complexes is so far limited to the small- to middle-sized rareearth metals. As mentioned above, the formation of anionic compounds LiLnPh^R₄ (**AB**) is a commonly observed phenomenon, particularly in the presence of a large rare-earth metal center or an excess of the lithium organyl.^{68,428} Remarkably, [Li(thf)₄][Lu(C₆H₃Me₂-2,6)₄], formed in the reaction of anhydrous lutetium chloride and 2,6-dimethylphenyl lithium in thf, was the first X-ray structurally authenticated example of a complex containing a lanthanide-carbon σ -bond (Scheme 55, II).⁶⁷

Polymeric compounds $[LnPh_3]_n$ (**AA**) are insoluble in benzene and Et₂O but slightly soluble in tetrahydrofuran. They are reported to be thermally robust (decomposition above 140 °C in vacuo) and indefinitely stable at ambient temperature.^{66,68} Solutions of ScPh₃(thf)₂ (**AA**_{Sc-thf}) are, however, thermally unstable and decompose under formation of C₆H₆ and a dark-brown precipitate.⁴³¹ Crystalline material can be stored at -35 °C for months without decomposition. LnPh₃(thf)₃ of the larger metal centers erbium and thulium are described as thermally stable compounds even at elevated temperatures.⁴²⁹ The thf adducts are insoluble in hexane, are poorly soluble in aromatic solvents, but can be dissolved in thf.

Because of inefficient steric shielding of the rare-earth metal center by the phenyl ligands, mononuclear complexes $LnPh_3(thf)_x$ coordinate stabilizing thf molecules. The number of additional thf molecules increases with increasing size of the rare-earth metal cation. The representative of the smallest rare-earth metal $ScPh_3(thf)_2$ (AA_{Sc-thf}) features a fivecoordinate metal center with the three [Ph] ligands occupying the equatorial positions and the thf oxygen atoms occupying the axial positions of a trigonal bipyramid (Figure 46).⁴³¹ In spite of the close contact of the ortho-H atoms, the phenyl groups are only slightly twisted with respect to the ScC₃ plane $(0, 8, 18^{\circ})$. A sufficient explanation for this sterically disfavored arrangement of the phenyl rings could not be provided-some degree of anomeric hyperconjugation between the *ortho*-H atom at C2 and the phenyl ring (C13–C18) has been discussed, as well as the impact of the coordinated thf on the unique coplanar arrangement of the phenyl rings. X-ray structure analyses of ErPh₃(thf)₃, TmPh₃(thf)₃,⁴²⁹ and YbPh₃(thf)₃⁴³⁰ revealed a distorted *fac*-octahedral coordination of the phenyl ligands and the three donor thf molecules (Figure 47). The angles O-Ln-O (78°-81°) are considerably smaller than angles C-Ln-C (99°-104°).

[(Dimethylamino)methyl]phenyl ligands [o-C₆H₄CH₂N-Me₂] were developed in parallel to the donor-substituted benzyl ligands described in section 13.4.³⁸⁷ The first [(dimethylamino)methyl]phenyl lanthanide complex was reported in 1978.³⁸⁷ Scandium compound Sc(o-C₆H₄CH₂NMe₂)₃ (**AC**_{Sc}) was prepared from ScCl₃ and Li(o-C₆H₄CH₂NMe₂) in refluxing thf and could be obtained as a



Figure 46. Solid-state structure of $ScPh_3(thf)_2$ (AA_{Sc-thf}), adapted from ref 431.



Figure 47. Solid-state structure of $ErPh_3(thf)_3$ (AA_{Er-thf}), adapted from ref 429.

white, insoluble compound that decomposes violently in dichloromethane and methanol.

In 1984, Wayda et al. extended this synthesis protocol to the lanthanide metals lutetium, ytterbium, and erbium (Scheme 56).⁴³² Good isolable yields, purity, and easy characterization by standard analytical and spectroscopic techniques were reported. Crystal structure determination of the respective Lu(o-C₆H₄CH₂NMe₂)₃ finally proved the proposed structure and composition of compounds **AC** (Figure 48). Attempts to further extend the series to the early and middle lanthanide metals were not successful. Reaction of LnCl₃ (Ln = Pr, Nd, Sm, Tb) with the lithium salt Li(o-C₆H₄CH₂NMe₃) only produced uncharacterizable mixtures of products.⁴³³

Phenyl complexes $Ln(o-C_6H_4CH_2NMe_2)_3$ (AC) are extremely air- and moisture-sensitive and marginally soluble





in alkane solvents. They are, however, soluble in aromatic and ethereal solvents. In the solid state, the three bidentate phenyl ligands of $Lu(o-C_6H_4CH_2NMe_2)_3$ (AC_{Lu}) surround the lutetium metal center in a pedal-wheel manner (Figure 48).⁴³² Interestingly, the Lu–N distances fall into a two-short–onelong pattern, the origin of which was discussed to be of steric nature or due to packing effects.

Attempted hydrogenolysis of Lu(o-C₆H₄CH₂NMe₂)₃ with molecular hydrogen and reaction with simple olefins did not reveal the envisaged products. With terminal alkynes, Lu(o-C₆H₄CH₂NMe₂)₃ formed the metalation product, however (see section 15.2).⁴³³

14.4. Ln(III)(Ph^R)₃(solv)_x as Synthesis Precursors

Neutral tris(aryl) complexes $Ln(Ph^R)_3(thf)_2$ (**AA**) (Ln = Sc, Lu) react with 1 and 2 equiv of weak Brønsted acids such as [NEt₃H][BPh₄] and [PhNMe₂H][BPh₄] via arene elimination to form monocationic bis(aryl) complexes [Ln(Ph^R)₂(thf)₄]-[BPh₄] (**AA**⁺_{thf}) (Scheme 57, I) and dicationic mono-(aryl) complexes [Ln(Ph^R)(thf)₅][BPh₄]₂ (**AA**²⁺_{thf}) (Scheme 57, II and III).⁴²⁷ While Lu(Ph^R)₃(thf)₂ and 2 equiv of ammonium salt [NEt₃H][BPh₄] yielded the respective mono-(aryl) dications, the same reactions carried out with the analogous scandium compounds gave only mixtures of the monocationic species and unreacted [NEt₃H][BPh₄]. The use of the more acidic anilinium salt [PhNMe₂H][BPh₄], however, allowed for the isolation of scandium dications [Sc(Ph^R)(thf)₅][BPh₄]₂.

All mono- and dications are obtained as solvent (thf)separated ion pairs, which show poor solubility in hydrocarbons and aromatic solvents. The monocationic species $[Ln(Ph^R)_2(thf)_4][BPh_4]$ (AA^+_{thf}) can be dissolved in tetrahydrofuran, but NMR spectra of the thf insoluble dications were recorded in pyridine- d_5 .

The solid-state structure of ion pair $[ScPh_2(thf)_4][BPh_4]$ (**AA**⁺_{Sc-thf}) revealed a distorted octahedral coordination



Figure 48. Solid-state structure of $Lu(o-C_6H_4CH_2NMe_2)_3$ (**A**C_{Lu}), adapted from ref 432.

Scheme 57. Synthesis of Monocationic and Dicationic Complexes $[Ln(Ph^R)_2(thf)_4][BPh_4] (AA^+_{thf})$ and $[Ln(Ph^R)(thf)_5][BPh_4]_2 (AA^2_{thf})$

Ln(Ph ^R) ₃ (thf) ₂ + [NEt ₃ H][BPh ₄]	thf, 0 °C, 30 min - HPh ^R - NEt ₃	
II Lu(Ph ^R) ₃ (thf) ₂ + 2 [NEt ₃ H][BPh ₄]	thf, -78 °C, 16 h - 2 HPh ^R - 2 NEt ₃	$[Lu(Ph^{R})(thf)_{5}][BPh_{4}]_{2}$ $Ph^{R} = C_{6}H_{5}$ $Ph^{R} = C_{6}H_{4}P^{-M_{6}}$ $Ph^{R} = C_{6}H_{4}P^{-E_{1}}$
III Sc(Ph ^R) ₃ (thf) ₂ + 2 [PhNMe ₂ H] [BPh ₄]	thf, -78 °C, 16 h - 2 HPh ^R - 2 NEt ₃	$[Sc(Ph^{R})(thf)_{5}][BPh_{4}]_{2}$ $Ph^{R} = C_{6}H_{5}$ $Ph^{R} = C_{6}H_{5}-Me$ $Ph^{R} = C_{6}H_{4}-P.Et$

geometry around the scandium metal center, with the phenyl groups displaying a *cis*-arrangement (Figure 49). Interestingly, the Sc–C(aryl) distances (2.259(2) and 2.298(2) Å) are slightly longer than in the neutral triphenyl complex ScPh₃(thf)₂ (2.240(3), 2.245(4), and 2.266(4) Å).⁴³¹ An increase of the coordination number from five to six upon cationization and/or some Sc–C(aryl) bond shortening in the ground state of the neutral triphenyl complex were discussed to explain this counterintuitive finding.⁴²⁷ The Sc–O separations *trans* to the phenyl groups are significantly longer than those in *cis* positions.

Replacing one phenyl group in $[LnPh_2(thf)_4][BPh_4]$ by thf led to the of ion triple $[LuPh(thf)_5][BPh_4]_2$ (AA^{2+}_{Lu-thf}), showing a similar octahedral arrangement of the ligands in the solid state (Figure 50).⁴²⁷ The remaining phenyl group caps a slightly distorted octahedron. Because of the increased positive charge in the dication, the Lu–C(aryl) distance of 2.303(7) Å and the Lu–O distances are shorter than those reported for other lutetium aryl complexes. As for the monocationic scandium species, a significant *trans* influence of the aryl ligand is observed.

The activation of pyridine by dicationic aryl complexes was studied by NMR experiments. In contrast to the C–H bond activation observed for related methyl dications, evidence for a competition between C–H bond activation and insertion of pyridine into the aryl–Ln bond was found.⁴²⁷



Figure 49. Solid-state structure of the cationic moiety of $[ScPh_2(thf)_4][BPh_4]$ (AA^+_{Sc-thf}), adapted from ref 427.



Figure 50. Solid-state structure of the cationic moiety of $[LuPh(thf)_5][BPh_4]_2$ (AA^{2+}_{Lu-thf}), adapted from ref 427.



Chart 28. Complexes Derived from ScPh₃(thf)₂ (AA_{Sc-thf})

There are some reports of employing ScPh₃(thf)₂ (AA_{Sc-thf}) as a rare-earth metal precursor in arene-elimination reactions with protic proligands. Chart 28 shows the outcome of the acid—base reaction between ScPh₃(thf)₂ and a pyridine-functionalized diamine proligand (Chart 28, **234**)³¹⁶ or a functionalized triazacyclononane (Chart 28, **235**), respectively.²⁵¹ In the presence of the scandium triphenyl compound, a neutral borabenzene-base adduct C₅H₅B•PMe₃ was converted into the formally monoanionic boratabenzene ligand to form the piano stool-shaped complex **236** or the respective bis(boratabenzene) scandium compound **237**.⁴³¹

Homoleptic rare-earth metal phenyl complexes LnPh₃(thf)₂ (Ln = Y, Nd, Sm), Ln[C₆H₃(O*i*Pr)₂-2,6]₃ (Ln = Sm, Yb), and LiSm[C₆H₃(O*i*Pr₂)₂-2,6]₄ initiate the polymerization of ε -caprolactone.^{428,434} The latter two complexes have also been tested as initiators for the polymerization of alkyl isocyanates and methyl methacrylate but showed only low activity.⁴²⁸

In the following sections, redox-transmetalation/ligandexchange reactions as depicted in Scheme 58 will be discussed. The trivalent perfluorinated phenyl complexes Scheme 58. General Redox-Transmetalation/Ligand Exchange Reaction Yielding Trivalent Rare-Earth Metal Derivatives

$$2 \text{ Ln} + 3 \text{ Hg}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_2 + 6 \text{ HL} \xrightarrow[-3]{\text{th/dme}}{-3 \text{ Hg}} 2 \text{ Ln}(\text{L})_3(\text{thf/dme})_x$$

Chart 29. Cyclopentadienyl Complexes Synthesized by Redox-Transmetalation/Ligand-Exchange Reactions



Ln(C_6F_5)₃ (**AA**^{C_6F_5}) are thermally very unstable and have not yet been isolated. Reaction mixtures that might generate such species undergo rapid decomposition into LnF₃ and complex organic products.³⁹⁵ Nevertheless, the synthetic value of in situ prepared "Ln(C_6F_5)₃" has been the subject of detailed investigations mainly carried out by Deacon et al. and will therefore be presented here. For closer insight into mechanistic proposals, the original publications might be consulted.¹⁹

The preparation of tris(Cp) complexes of the rare-earth metals by reaction of europium or ytterbium, Hg(C₆F₅)₂, and CpH was first accomplished when pyridine was used instead of thf as solvent. While divalent products were isolated from ethereal solvents, the analogous reaction in pyridine yielded the trivalent pyridine solvates LnCp₃(py) (Ln = Eu, Yb) (Chart 29, **238**).⁴¹⁰ In a more general application, the synthesis of LnCp₃(thf) for a series of lanthanide metals (Ln = Ce, Nd, Sm, Gd, Dy, Er, Tm, Lu) has been reported using thf as a solvent (Chart 29, **239**).¹⁹ The utility of this synthesis approach, however, seems to be limited to unsubstituted cyclopentadienes. Reactions with C₅H₅Me or indene were only successful when Sm was used as a metal center, but compounds **240** and **241** were produced in low yield.⁴⁰⁸

Redox-transmetalation followed by ligand exchange with 2,6-diphenylphenol exclusively yields phenolate complexes with trivalent rare-earth metals, even for the lanthanides with accessible divalent oxidation states. Depending on the workup and the crystallization procedures, homoleptic tris(phenolate) complexes Ln(OC₆H₃Ph₂-2,6)₃(thf)_x with varying amounts of coordinating donor molecules have been isolated (Chart 30, **242**).^{416,435,436} The corresponding divalent ytterbium phenolate Yb(OC₆H₃Ph₂-2,6)₂(thf)₃ could only be obtained by reduction of the Yb(III) species with ytterbium powder and mercury metal.⁴³⁷ Further substitution in the 3- and 5-position of the phenolate ligand produced sterically hindered complexes **243** with low metal coordination numbers.⁴¹⁵ While the reaction of Yb, Hg(C₆F₅)₂, and HOC₆H₂iPr₂-





2,6-OMe-4 gave a divalent aryloxide species (see section 14.2), the same reaction with samarium generated the divalent species in very low yield with the major product $Sm[O(C_6H_2tBu_2-2,6-OMe-4)]_3$ (thf) (244) in the Ln(III) oxidation state.⁴¹⁴

Redox-transmetalation/ligand-exchange reactions have been extensively explored for the preparation of rare-earth metal pyrazolate complexes. Depending on the substitution pattern of the pyrazolato ligand in the 3- and 5-positions (e.g., H, Me, tBu, Ph) and the size of the rare-earth metal cation, polymeric compounds (Nd, R = H),⁴³⁸ complexes with both $\mu - \eta^1 - \eta^1$ bridging and η^2 -coordinated pyrazolato ligands (Nd, R = Me),⁴³⁸ or exclusively η^2 -coordinated pyrazolato ligands have been reported (Chart 31, 245–249).^{439–442} When the synthesis was carried out in dme or dme/OPPh₃, the corresponding donor adducts (248 and 249) were obtained.440,443 It is noteworthy that utilization of HgPh₂ instead of Hg(C_6F_5)₂ in the transmetalation reaction followed by protonolysis with H(pz^R) produced the respective divalent pyrazolate compounds, in accordance with the lower oxidizing ability of HgPh₂ (see section 14.1).

The attempted synthesis of ytterbium(II) complexes carrying the bidentate N,O-ligands [N(SiMe₃)C₆H₄-2-OMe] and [N(SiMe₃)C₆H₄-2-OPh] from Yb and Hg(C₆F₅)₂ in the presence of the respective amines rather yielded the products of an O–C(Ar) bond activation.⁴⁴⁴ The resulting trivalent ytterbium metal center is stabilized by the respective N,O-and [OR] ligands (Chart 31, **250** and **251**).

Following the synthesis strategy illustrated in Scheme 58, a series of rare-earth metal(III) formamidinates has been prepared (Chart 31, **252** and **253**).^{445,446} Depending on the steric bulk of the formamidinato ligand, varying coordination numbers of the rare-earth metal center were observed. The use of a sterically demanding ligand bearing isopropyl groups in the 2- and 6- position of the aryl substituents induced the activation of a C–F bond, yielding a terminal Ln–F functionality (Chart 31, **252**).⁴⁴⁵ Tris(formamidinato)lanthanum(III) complexes **253**_{La} can be used as catalysts for the Tishchenko reaction.⁴⁴⁷

Targeting an arene-elimination reaction of $Y(o-C_6H_4CH_2N-Me_2)_3$ with $H(C_5Me_5)$, the group of Teuben successfully synthesized a mono(cyclopentadienyl)—bis(phenyl) yttrium complex (**254**) (Chart 32) and investigated thermal decomposition pathways of such compounds.^{340,448}

Besides the formation of cyclopentadienyl complexes, compounds **AC** were found to be suitable precursors for the synthesis of rare-earth metal complexes with multidentate binaphtholato (**255**) and amino–amido (**256**) ligands.^{449–451} Compounds **255** and **256** (Chart 32) have successfully been applied as catalysts in the (asymmetric) intramolecular hydroamination/cyclization of aminoalkenes, with the former producing the highest enantiomeric excess so far. With the intention to synthesize a heteroleptic mono(phosphor–ylide), $Y(o-C_6H_4CH_2NMe_2)_3$ was reacted with Ph₃P=CH-(o-CH₃OC₆H₄), but compound **257** was rather obtained as the product of exhaustive protonolysis of the phosphoranylidene ligand (Chart 32).⁴⁵²

14.5. Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of Mixed-Valent $Ph_2Yb(III)(thf)(\mu-Ph)_3Yb(II)(thf)_3$

As mentioned in section 14.1, reactions between rare-earth metals and HgPh₂ are harder to induce than those with $Hg(C_6F_5)_2$. Slow interaction was observed with amalgated metal,398 and violent reaction was reported upon metal activation with CH₂I₂.³⁹⁹ Naphthaleneytterbium Yb(C₁₀H₈)-(thf)₂⁴⁵³ can also be viewed as activated Yb metal, and the reactivity of such toward HgPh₂ was investigated by Bochkarev et al. Indeed, the reaction of diphenylmercury with the naphthalene species in thf is completed within 2 h at ambient temperature and yields mixed-valent Ph2Yb(III)(thf)(u- $Ph_{3}Yb(II)(thf)_{3}$ (**AD**_{Yb-thf}) as the main product (Scheme 59, I).454 Recrystallization from thf/Et₂O mixtures gave red crystals of $\mathbf{AD}_{Yb-thf}.$ The mixed-valent complex could further be obtained by the use of BiPh₃ as oxidizing reagent (Scheme 59, II). The reaction, however, gets considerably slowed down and produces the ytterbium complex with lower yield.

Compound Ph₂Yb(III)(thf)(μ -Ph)₃Yb(II)(thf)₃ has been characterized by IR spectroscopy, elemental analysis, X-ray crystallography, and the chemical reactivity toward various substrates (H₂O, HCl, Br₂, MeI, CO₂/H⁺). The oxidation state of the two ytterbium metal centers was confirmed by measuring the μ_{eff} value.

The solid-state structure of the mixed-valent complex revealed a bimetallic structure with three bridging phenyl groups (Figure 51). The ytterbium(III) metal center additionally coordinates two terminal phenyl groups and one thf molecule, while additional stabilization of the ytterbium(II) metal center is achieved by coordination of three donor(thf) molecules. The geometry about both ytterbium metal centers can best be described as distorted octahedral.



Chart 31. Pyrazolate and Amide Complexes Synthesized by Redox-Transmetalation/Ligand-Exchange Reactions

15. Alkynide Complexes

15.1. Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of $Ln(II)(C \equiv CR)_2$ and $H[Ln(II)(C \equiv CR)_3]$

Even though the first examples of divalent lanthanide alkynides were reported more than 30 years ago, the chemistry of these σ -bonded hydrocarbyls developed sluggishly. This can partly be assigned to the advanced syntheses, the high reactivity of the divalent metal centers ("hot oxidation state"), and the challenging characterization.

Until today, a large variety of synthesis procedures has been developed, giving access to alkynide complexes of Yb(II), Eu(II), and Sm(II) (**AE**) (Scheme 60). Major contributions to the early developments were made by Deacon and co-workers, introducing transmetalation reactions of organomercurials as a route to organolanthanides.^{394,395} Bis(phenylethynide) ytterbium and europium have been prepared by such transmetalations and were isolated solventfree (Ln = Yb) or as $Eu(C \equiv CPh)_2(thf)_{0.25}$ (AE^{Ph}_{Eu-thf}) (Scheme 60, I). Following the same protocol, the respective Ln($C \equiv CtBu)_2$ (AE^{tBu}) compounds were accessible, even though bis(3,3-dimethylbut-1-ynide) mercury is less reactive than bis(phenylethynide) mercury.⁴⁵⁵ Attempts to obtain the divalent samarium compounds and tris(alkynide)lanthanides by transmetalation failed.⁴⁵⁵

Bis(phenylethynide) ytterbium could further be prepared by ligand exchange of $Yb(C_6F_5)_2$ with phenylacetylene (Scheme 60, II).³⁹⁴ Although reaction of $Eu(C_6F_5)_2$ and $Ln(C \equiv CtBu)_2$ with phenylacetylene was indicated by IR and the hydrolysis behavior, no defined products could be isolated from such mixtures.

A very early report describes the reaction of metallic europium and ytterbium in liquid ammonia with propyne. Complex Eu($C \equiv CCH_3$)₂ (AE^{Me}_{Eu}) could be isolated from a blue solution (Scheme 60, III), while in the ytterbium case,

Chart 32. Complexes Obtained from Ln(*o*-C₆H₄CH₂NMe₂)₃ (AC) by Arene-Elimination Reactions



Scheme 59. Synthesis of Mixed-Valent Ph₂Yb(III)(thf)(µ-Ph)₃Yb(II)(thf)₃ (AD_{Yb-thf})

I 4 Yb(C ₁₀ H ₈)(thf) ₂ + 5 HgPh ₂	thf, rt, 2 h - 5 Hg - 4 C ₁₀ H ₈	2 Ph ₂ Yb(thf)(µ-Ph) ₃ Yb(thf) ₃
II 6 Yb(C ₁₀ H ₈)(thf) ₂ + 5 BiPh ₃	thf, rt - 5 Bi - 6 C ₁₀ H ₈	3 Ph ₂ Yb(thf)(µ-Ph) ₃ Yb(thf) ₃

a mixture of the desired product $Yb(C \equiv CCH_3)_2$ and $Yb-(NH_2)_2$ was obtained.⁴⁵⁶

In an effort to investigate the extent of low-valent lanthanide chemistry, Evans et al. applied the metal vaporization technique to examine zero-valent lanthanide metal reactivity.⁴⁵⁷ Co-condensation of ytterbium metal vapor with 1-hexyne at -196 °C generated a black matrix of which several very similar products could be extracted with thf (Scheme 60, IV). IR spectroscopy indicated the formation of terminal hexynide ligands, and reactivity studies indicated the presence of hexynide and hydride ligands. Isopiestic molecular weight studies revealed the existence of highly associated complexes. The oligomerization presumably occurs via alkynide bridges as depicted in Figure 52a. Analogous reactions with the larger metal centers europium and samarium provided trivalent lanthanide species (vide infra).

Salt metathesis of divalent lanthanide iodides and phenylethynide sodium as applied by Bochkarev yielded the



Figure 51. Schematic drawing of $Ph_2Yb(III)(thf)(\mu-Ph)_3Yb(II)(thf)_3$ (**AD**_{Yb-thf}) as found in the solid-state structure.

Scheme 60. Synthesis of Ln(II) Alkynides (AE and AF)

Ç.	Ln + Hg(C=CR) ₂ $\xrightarrow{\text{thf}}_{-\text{Hg}}$ Ln(C=CR) ₂ (thf) _x
	Ln = Yb (x = 0), Eu (x = 0.25) R = Ph, <i>t</i> Bu
U	$Yb(C_6F_5)_2 + 2 HC = CPh \xrightarrow{thf, t} Yb(C = CPh)_2$
ш	Eu + 2 HC \equiv CCH ₃ $\xrightarrow{\text{NH}_3(I), -78 ^\circ\text{C}}$ Eu(C \equiv CCH ₃) ₂
IV	Yb (vapor) + 2 HC \equiv CC ₄ H ₉ $\xrightarrow{-196 \circ C}$ [HYb ₂ (C \equiv CC ₄ H ₉) ₃]
v	$Lnl_2 + 2 Na(C \equiv CPh) \xrightarrow{\text{thf}} Ln(C \equiv CPh)_2(thf)_x$

solvated Ln(C=CPh)₂(thf)_x compounds (AE^{Ph}_{thf}) (Ln = Yb, Sm) (Scheme 60, V). This is the only report of a samarium bis(alkynide) compound.⁴⁵⁸

The alkynide complexes of the low-valent lanthanides are indefinitely stable in inert atmosphere but extremely sensitive to oxygen and moisture.³⁹⁴ Ln($C \equiv CR$)₂ (**AE**) are insoluble in nonpolar solvents but can be dissolved in thf. A trimeric-tetrameric structure was found in boiling thf, indicative of an associated solid-state structure.⁴⁵⁵ A polynuclear structure is further confirmed by significantly low $\nu(C \equiv C)$ frequencies, which can be assigned to bridging alkynide groups (Figure 52b). So far, all attempts to obtain structural information by crystallography have been frustrated by the inability to grow suitable crystals.

Alkynide ligands can be exchanged according to an acid-base reaction. For example, the $[C \equiv CtBu]$ ligand in Yb(C $\equiv CtBu$)₂ (**AE**^{*t*Bu}_{Yb}) reacts with 2 equiv of the stronger Brønsted acid HC \equiv CPh to give Yb(C \equiv CPh)₂ (**AE**^{Ph}_{Yb}).⁴⁵⁵ Considering the relatively high acidity of terminal alkynes, ligand-exchange reactions are of minor synthetic value, however.

Interesting reactivity was recently reported by Deacon and co-workers when reacting sterically very demanding H-



Figure 52. Proposed oligomeric structure of (a) $[HYb_2(C \equiv CR)_3]_n$ (**AF**_{Yb}) and (b) $[Ln(C \equiv CR)_2]_n$ (**AE**).

Scheme 61. Reaction of $Yb(C{\equiv}CPh)_2~(AE^{Ph}{}_{Yb})$ with $H(C_5Ph_5)$



 (C_5Ph_5) with a thf solution of $Yb(C \equiv CPh)_2$ (or in situ generated $Yb(C \equiv CPh)_2$) (Scheme 61).⁴⁵⁹

The resulting mono(cyclopentadienyl) complex [Yb- $(C_5Ph_5)(\mu$ -C=CPh)(thf)]₂ (**258**) revealed a dimeric structure with bridging [C=CPh] ligands. Slow addition of diglyme to **258** yielded solvent-separated ion pair [Yb(μ -C=CPh)(diglyme)(thf)₂]₂[C₅Ph₅]•(thf)₄ (**259**). The [C₅Ph₅] anions are not bound to the metal but reside in the crystal lattice. A similar structural motif was also observed in [Eu(μ -C=CPh)(diglyme)₂]₂[P₂C₃tBu₃]₂•C₆D₆•(diglyme)_{0.5} (**260**). Transmetalation/ligand-exchange reaction of Yb, Hg-(C=CPh)₂, and 2,6-diphenylphenol yielded the respective tri(phenolate) ytterbium(III) complex Yb(OC₆H₃Ph₂-2,6)₃, presumably involving the initial formation of the di-(alkynide) Yb(II) compound followed by ligand exchange and oxidation.⁴³⁵

Alkynides $Ln(C \equiv CR)_2$ (**AE**) act as effective carbanion sources in reactions with aldehydes and ketones and can further act as reducing agents.^{424,460} Commonly generated in situ, such compounds are valuable reagents in organic synthesis. Most widely used are organosamariums for carbon–carbon bond formations (samarium Barbier reaction, samarium Grignard reaction), due to their advantage of rapid, mild, and chemoselective reduction of organohalides.^{461–463} Both inter- and intramolecular versions of these reactions using primary and secondary alkyl halides are well established.

A speciality with so far no further synthetic value is the formation of cuprate complexes of europium and ytterbium (**261**) (Scheme 62).⁴⁶⁴ Redox-transmetalation reaction of the lanthanide metals with organocopper compound CuC=CPh yielded the lanthanide cuprate complexes {[(C=CPh)₃Cu]-[Eu(py)(thf)₂]}₂ (**261**_{Eu-thf}) and {[(C=CPh)₃Cu][Yb(thf)₂]}₂ (**261**_{Yb-thf}). The outcome of the reactions is essentially dependent on the solvents used and the reaction conditions. Whereas reactions performed in pyridine/thf mixtures readily gave complexes **261**, the reactions in thf needed the presence of catalytic amounts of YbI₂(thf)₄. The solid-state structure of cuprates **261** revealed two Eu(py)(thf)₂ units and two

Scheme 62. Synthesis of Eu and Yb Cuprate Complexes (261)



Yb(thf)₄ units, respectively, that are bonded by two bridging $Cu(C \equiv CPh)_3$ fragments.⁴⁶⁴

15.2. Synthesis, Structure, and Properties of $Ln(III)(C \equiv CR)_3$ and $[cation][Ln(III)(C \equiv CR)_4]$

Compared to their divalent analogues, trivalent homoleptic alkynides have been even less studied. The number of reports on compounds $Ln(C \equiv CR)_3$ (AG) is basically limited to the description of synthesis approaches. Since transmetalation reactions did not afford alkynides of the trivalent rare-earth metals,⁴⁵⁵ amine elimination, alkane elimination, and salt metathesis display potential synthesis procedures.

Synthesis according to the commonly used "silylamide route" was performed for a wide size range of rare-earth metal cations and gave compounds $Ln(C \equiv CPh)_3$ (AG^{Ph}) in high yields (Scheme 63, I).^{465,466} When investigating the general reactivity of $Ln-C \sigma$ -bonds, the reactivity of ate complexes [Li(thf)_x][LntBu₄] (G) toward substrates containing acidic hydrogens was tested. Complete ligand exchange was observed with terminal alkynes HC = CPh and HC = CtBu yielding alkynide ate complexes LiLn(C = CR)₄ (AH) (Scheme 63, II).^{167,168}

Reactivity studies further revealed that treatment of Lu(o-C₆H₄CH₂NMe₂)₃ (**AC**) with HC=CtBu gave solvent-free Lu(C=CtBu)₃ (**AG**^{rBu}_{Lu}) and *N*,*N*-dimethylbenzylamine (Scheme 63, IV).⁴³³

Starting from ScCl₃ and lithium alkynide, a representative of the smallest rare-earth metal scandium Sc(C=CPh)₃ (**AG**^{Ph}_{Sc}) could be obtained (Scheme 63, V).⁶⁸ Surprisingly, solutions of LnI₃ in thf react with Na(C=CPh) under elimination of NaI to give the solvated lanthanide alkynides Ln(C=CPh)₃(thf)_x (**AG**^{Ph}_{thf}) (Scheme 63, III).⁴⁶⁷ Even though the donor solvent was present in all other reported synthesis routes, only solvent-free products have been reported.

Scheme 63. Synthesis of Ln(III) Alkynides (AG and AH)





Figure 53. Proposed structure of $Er[C \equiv CC_6H_3(C \equiv CPh)_2-3,5]_3$ (**AG**^{dend}_{Er}).



Figure 54. Structure of $\{Na(thf)_3\}\{Sm[N(SiMe_3)_2]_3(C\equiv CPh)\}$ (262_{Sm}).

In contrast to the reactivity observed for ytterbium (section 15.1, Ln(II) alkynides), co-condensation of samarium metal with 1-hexyne at -196 °C produced an orange-black matrix from which a trivalent alkynide hydride of the possible composition [HSm(C=CC₄H₉)₂]_{*n*} (HAH^{C₄H₉_{Sm}) could be extracted (Scheme 63, VI).⁴⁵⁷ Like for the divalent ytterbium compound, a highly associated solid-state structure is anticipated (Figure 52a). Application of alkynide hydride HAH^{C₄H₉_{Sm} in the catalytic hydrogenation of 3-hexyne revealed formation of 3-hexene with low rates.}}

An exceptional organoerbium complex ligated by dendritic acetylide ligands has been published by Bochkarev et al. (Figure 53). Reacting $Er[N(SiMe_3)_2]_3$ with 3 equiv of dendron $H[C \equiv CC_6H_3(C \equiv CPh)_2-3,5]$ in toluene gave $Er[C \equiv CC_6H_3-(C \equiv CPh)_2-3,5]_3$ in good yield (AG^{dend}_{Er}).⁴⁶⁸

The second-generation organoerbium dendrimer $Er\{C \equiv CC_6H_3[C \equiv C(C_6H_3(C \equiv CPh)_2-3,5)]_2-3,5\}_3$ could be prepared in a similar manner.⁴⁶⁸ Both erbium dendrimers are soluble in thf, dme, and toluene but dissolve only poorly in hexane. They decompose above 330 °C without melting. The composition of the organoerbium compounds was determined by IR spectroscopy, their magnetic moments, and hydrolysis.

Reacting the tris(amides) $Ln[N(SiMe_3)_2]_3$ of cerium, samarium, and europium with phenylacetylene in the presence of 1 equiv of NaN(SiMe_3)_2 produced ion pairs {Na(thf)_3}{Ln-[N(SiMe_3)_2]_3(C=CPh)} (262) (Ln = Ce, Sm, Eu).⁴⁶⁹ The solvated sodium ion binds side-on to the acetylide ligand of the heteroleptic tris(amide)-mono(alkynide) complex (Figure 54). The cation interaction bends the Sm-C=C angle in 262_{Sm} to a value of only 151.4°.

Heteroleptic bis(aryloxide)-mono(acetylide) complexes were further reported by Deacon et al.⁴¹⁴ Because of the extreme steric bulk provided by $HOAr'^{Bu,OMe}$ ($Ar'^{Bu,OMe}$ =



Figure 55. Solid-state structure of $Er(OAr^{tBu,OMe})_2(C \equiv CPh)(thf)_2$ (**263**_{Er-thf}), adapted from ref 414.

C₆H₂*t*Bu₂-2,6-OMe-4), the transmetalation reaction of Hg(C≡CPh)₂ with the smaller rare-earth elements Y, Er, and Lu in the presence of the aryl alcohol cleanly produced Ln(OAr^{*t*Bu,OMe})₂(C≡CPh)(thf)₂ (**263**_{thf}) (Figure 55). Isolation of these mixed-ligand complexes was attributed to steric inhibition of the cleavage of the final Ln(C≡CPh) group. Under the same reaction conditions, Yb with Hg(C≡CPh)₂ and HOAr^{*t*Bu,OMe} gave divalent Yb(OAr^{*t*Bu,OMe})₂(thf)₃ (**264**), which could be oxidized by additional Hg(C≡CPh)₂ to complete the series by Yb(OAr^{*t*Bu,OMe})₂(C≡CPh) (**263**_{Yb}). The observed reactivity is remarkable because the homoleptic alkynides of the trivalent rare-earth metals were not accessible by transmetalation reaction (vide supra).⁴⁵⁵

16. Conclusions and Perspectives

Polymerization catalysis has crucially advanced research in the field of rare-earth metal hydrocarbyl chemistry. In particular, the quest for suitable catalyst precursors pushed the development of new reaction protocols, accomplishing the synthesis and isolation of truly homoleptic rare-earth metal alkyl complexes. The hitherto only donor(solvent)- and ate-free Ln(III) representatives Ln[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₃ and [Ln- $(CH_3)_3]_n$ are accessible from tailor-made aryl(alk)oxide and amide precursors, seemingly achieving their thermal stability through kinetic constraints. Together with donor-stabilized neosilyl and benzyl derivatives, mainly $Ln(CH_2SiMe_3)_3(thf)_x$ and $Ln(CH_2Ph)_3(thf)_{\nu}$, as well as heterobimetallic $Ln(MMe_4)_3$ (M = Al, Ga)-so-called methyls in disguise or cryptomethyls "LnMe₃(MMe₃)₃"—such σ -bonded alkyl complexes emerged as versatile and powerful precursors for the synthesis of molecularly well-defined precatalysts. The traditional and still prevailing notion of the general low stability of such compounds is clearly thwarted by the thermal stability of homoleptic tetramethylaluminates $Ln(AlMe_4)_x$ (x = 2, 3). Complexes $Ln(AlMe_4)_3$ of the smaller-sized redox-stable Ln centers can even be sublimed (<100 °C/10⁻³ Torr), hence belonging to the most volatile rare-earth metal compounds. This thermal stability is reminiscent of that of the homoleptic alkaline-earth metal tetraalkylaluminate complexes Mg(AlMe₄)₂ and Ca(AlEt₄)₂.⁴⁷⁰ The synergetic relationship between rare-earth metal centers and group 13 metals not only provides deeper insight into Ziegler-Natta-type catalysts but might be elaborated in organorare-earth synthesis. Further expansion of the chemistry of $Ln(MR_4)_2$ and $Ln(MR_4)_3$ (M = Al, Ga) might provide entry into unprecedented hydrocarbyl chemistry like the synthesis of novel donor(solvent)-free derivatives Ln-CH₃

and Ln–CH₂CH₃. Such complexes are potential candidates for alkane (methane) activation and can assist in elucidating and assessing fundamental organometallic reaction pathways such as β -hydrogen elimination and associated secondary (agostic) interactions. Methyl degradation to formerly elusive Ln³⁺–CH₂²⁻ (methylidene), Ln³⁺–CH³⁻ (methine), and Ln³⁺–C⁴⁻ (carbide) species already has been demonstrated to preferentially occur via organoaluminum-assisted proton abstraction, being controllable in the presence of sterically demanding ancillary ligands. More detailed investigations into such long-time neglected degradation processes of hydrocarbyl ligands will certainly enhance our knowledge of rare-earth metal complexes containing Ln–C σ -bonds, thus markedly contributing to the progress of this exciting area of organometallic chemistry.

17. Acknowledgments

We thank the Norwegian Research Council and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for generous support of our research. R.A. thanks his former students Andreas Fischbach, Frank Estler, Rannveig Litlabø, Hanne-Marthe Sommerfeld, Christian Meermann, and in particular Melanie Zimmermann and H. Martin Dietrich for their original contributions to this field and their shared enthusiasm for rare-earth metal alkyls.

18. References

- (1) Cotton, S. A. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1997, 160, 93.
- (2) Cotton, F. A. Chem. Rev. 1955, 55, 551.
- (3) Davidson, P. J.; Lappert, M. F.; Pearce, R. Acc. Chem. Res. 1974, 7, 209.
- (4) Davidson, P. J.; Lappert, M. F.; Pearce, R. Chem. Rev. 1976, 76, 219.
- (5) Tsutsui, M.; Ely, N.; Dubois, R. Acc. Chem. Res. 1976, 9, 217.
- (6) Lappert, M. F. Pure Appl. Chem. 1978, 50, 703.
- (7) Schumann, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1984, 23, 474.
- (8) Schumann, H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 281, 95.
- (9) Bochkarev, M. N.; Kalinina, G. S.; Bochkarev, L. N. Russ. Chem. Rev. 1985, 54, 802.
- (10) Evans, W. J. Polyhedron 1987, 6, 803.
- (11) Koschmieder, S. U.; Wilkinson, G. Polyhedron 1991, 10, 135.
- (12) Steinborn, D. Angew. Chem. 1992, 104, 392.
- (13) Schaverien, C. J. In *Adv. Organomet. Chem.*; Academic Press: New York, 1994; Vol. 36.
- (14) Edelmann, F. T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1995, 34, 2466.
- (15) Edelmann, F. T. In *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II*; Abel, E. W., Stone, F. G. A., Wilkinson, G., Lappert, M. F., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, New York, Tokyo, 1995; Vol. 4, p 11.
- (16) Eaborn, C.; Izod, K.; Smith, J. D. J. Organomet. Chem. 1995, 500, 89
- (17) Zakharov, L. N.; Struchkov, Y. T. J. Organomet. Chem. 1997, 536– 537, 65.
- (18) Edelmann, F. T.; Freckmann, D. M. M.; Schumann, H. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 1851.
- (19) Deacon, G. B.; Forsyth, C. M.; Nickel, S. J. Organomet. Chem. 2002, 647, 50.
- (20) Piers, W. E.; Emslie, D. J. H. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2002, 233-234, 131.
- (21) Arndt, S.; Okuda, J. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 1953.
- (22) Hou, Z.; Wakatsuki, Y. In *Science of Synthesis*; Imamoto, T., Noyori, R., Eds.; Thieme: Stuttgart, Germany, 2002; Vol. 2, p 849.
- (23) Hou, Z. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2003, 76, 2253.
- (24) Mountford, P.; Ward, B. D. *Chem. Commun.* 2003, 1797.
 (25) Zeimentz, P. M.; Arndt, S.; Elvidge, B. R.; Okuda, J. *Chem. Rev.* 2006, 106 (2004)
- **2006**, *106*, 2404. (26) Karsch, H. H.; Appelt, A.; Müller, G. Angew. Chem. **1986**, *98*, 832.
- (27) Karsch, H. H.; Ferazin, G.; Steigelmann, O.; Kooijman, H.; Hiller, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 1739.
- (28) Karsch, H. H.; Ferazin, G.; Kooijman, H.; Steigelmann, O.; Schier, A.; Bissinger, P.; Hiller, W. J. Organomet. Chem. **1994**, 482, 151.
- (29) Hao, S.; Song, J.-I.; Aghabozorg, H.; Gambarotta, S. Chem. Commun. 1994, 157.
- (30) Roitershtein, D. M.; Ziller, J. W.; Evans, W. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 11342.

- (31) Kretschmer, W.; Thiele, K. H. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1995, 621, 1304.
- (32) Mashima, K.; Sugiyama, H.; Nakamura, A. Chem. Commun. 1994, 1581.
- (33) Taube, R.; Windisch, H.; Görlitz, F. H.; Schumann, H. J. Organomet. *Chem.* **1993**, *445*, 85.
- (34) Taube, R.; Windisch, H.; Maiwald, S.; Hemling, H.; Schumann, H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1996, 513, 49.
- (35) Taube, R.; Maiwald, S.; Sieler, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 621, 327.
- (36) Kuehl, C. J.; Simpson, C. K.; John, K. D.; Sattelberger, A. P.; Carlson, C. N.; Hanusa, T. P. J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 683, 149.
- (37) Woodman, T. J.; Schormann, M.; Hughes, D. L.; Bochmann, M. Organometallics 2003, 22, 3028.
- (38) Woodman, T. J.; Schormann, M.; Bochmann, M. Organometallics 2003, 22, 2938.
- (39) Woodman, T. J.; Schormann, M.; Hughes, D. L.; Bochmann, M. Organometallics 2004, 23, 2972.
- (40) Rabe, G. W.; Strissel, C. S.; Liable-Sands, L. M.; Concolino, T. E.; Rheingold, A. L. *Inorg. Chem.* **1999**, *38*, 3446.
- (41) Rabe, G. W.; Zhang-Presse, M.; Riederer, F. A.; Golen, J. A.; Incarvito, C. D.; Rheingold, A. L. *Inorg. Chem.* **2003**, *42*, 7587.
- (42) Hegedus, L., Lipshutz, B., Nozaki, H., Reetz, M., Rittmeyer, P., Smith, K., Totter, F., Yamamoto, H., Schlosser, M., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons Ltd.: Organometallics in Synthesis. A Manual; Schlosser, M. Editor, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, U.K., 1994.
- (43) Wilkinson, G. Science 1974, 185, 109.
- (44) Frankland, P. F. J. Chem. Soc. 1848-1849, 2, 263.
- (45) Pope, W. J.; Peachy, S. J. Proc. Chem. Soc. 1907, 23, 86.
- (46) Pope, W. J.; Peachy, S. J. J. Chem. Soc. 1909, 371.
- (47) Rundle, R. E.; Sturdivant, J. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1947, 69, 1561.
- (48) Coates, G. E.; Wade, K. In Organometallic Compounds, 3rd ed.; Coates, G. E., Green, M. L. H., Wade, K., Eds.; Methuen: London, 1967; Vol. 1.
- (49) Jaffe, H. H.; Doak, G. O. J. Chem. Phys. 1953, 21, 627.
- (50) Green, M. L. H. In Organometallic Compounds, 3rd ed.; Coates, G. E., Green, M. L. H., Wade, K., Eds.; Methuen: London, 1968; Vol. 2.
- (51) Wilkinson, G. Chimia 1973, 27, 165.
- (52) Clauss, K.; Beermann, C. Angew. Chem. 1959, 71, 627.
- (53) Berthold, H. J.; Groh, G. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1963, 319, 230.
- (54) Berthold, H. J.; Groh, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1966, 5, 516.
- (55) Collier, M. R.; Lappert, M. F.; Truelock, M. M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1970, 25, C36.
- (56) Collier, M. R.; Lappert, M. F.; Pearce, R. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1973, 445.
- (57) Yagupsky, G.; Shortland, A.; Wilkinson, G. Chem. Commun. 1970, 1369.
- (58) Mowat, W.; Shortland, A.; Yagupsky, G.; Hill, N. J.; Yagupsky, M.; Wilkinson, G. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1972, 533.
- (59) Muthmann, W.; Kraft, K. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1903, 325, 261.
- (60) Grosse, A. v. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1926, 152, 133.
- (61) Evans, W. J. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 3435.
- (62) Rice, F. O.; Rice, K. K. *The Aliphatic Free Radicals*; The John Hopkins Press: Baltimore, MD, 1935.
- (63) Plets, V. M. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 1938, 20, 27.
- (64) Gilman, H.; Jones, R. G. J. Org. Chem. 1945, 10, 505.
- (65) Wilkinson, G.; Birmingham, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1954, 76, 6210.
- (66) Hart, F. A.; Saran, M. S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1968, 1614.
- (67) Cotton, S. A.; Welch, A. J.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Hart, F. A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1972, 1225.
- (68) Hart, F. A.; Massey, A. G.; Saran, M. S. J. Organomet. Chem. 1970, 21, 147.
- (69) Müller, J. Dr. Thesis, Technische Universität Berlin, Germany, 1978.
- (70) Schumann, H.; Müller, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1978, 17, 276.
- (71) Dietrich, H. M.; Raudaschl-Sieber, G.; Anwander, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 5303.
- (72) Lappert, M. F.; Pearce, R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1973, 126.
- (73) Barker, G. K.; Lappert, M. F. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 76, C45.
- (74) Eaborn, C.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Izod, K.; Smith, J. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 12071.
- (75) Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F.; Smith, R. G.; Bartlett, R. A.; Power, P. P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1988**, 1007.
- (76) Thompson, L. C. In *Handbook on the physics and chemistry of the rare earths*; Gschneidner, K. A., Jr., Eyring, L., Eds.; North-Holland Publishing Company: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1979.
- (77) Freeman, A. J.; Watson, R. E. Phys. Rev. 1962, 127, 2058.
- (78) Seth, M.; Dolg, M.; Fulde, P.; Schwerdtfeger, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 6597.
- (79) Gibson, J. K. J. Phys. Chem. A 2003, 107, 7891.
- (80) Cornehl, H. H.; Heinemann, C.; Schröder, D.; Schwarz, H. Organometallics 1995, 14, 992.

Ln Complexes Containing Ln-C σ -Bonds

- (81) Schinzel, S.; Bindl, M.; Visseaux, M.; Chermette, H. J. Phys. Chem. A 2006, 110, 11324.
- (82) Eaborn, C.; Eidenschink, R.; Jackson, P. M.; Walton, D. R. M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1975, 101, C40.
- (83) Schleyer, P. v. R.; Clark, T.; Kos, A. J.; Spitznagel, G. W.; Rohde, C.; Arad, D.; Houk, K. N.; Rondan, N. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 6467.
- (84) Brinkman, E. A.; Berger, S.; Brauman, J. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 8304.
- (85) Römer, B.; Gatev, G. G.; Zhong, M.; Brauman, J. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 2919.
- (86) Fu, Y.; Liu, L.; Li, R. Q.; Liu, R.; Guo, Q. X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 814.
- (87) Nolan, S. P.; Stern, D.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 7844.
- (88) Bordwell, F. G. Acc. Chem. Res. 1988, 21, 456.
- (89) Bordwell, F. G. http://www.chem.wisc.edu/areas/reich/pkatable/ index.htm.
- (90) Streitwieser, A.; Scannon, P. J.; Niemeyer, H. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 7936.
- (91) Wetzel, D. M.; Brauman, J. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 8333.
- (92) Ziegler, T.; Folga, E.; Berces, A. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 636.
- (93) Watson, P. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 6491.
- (94) Dietrich, H. M.; Grove, H.; Törnroos, K. W.; Anwander, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 1458.
- (95) Seppelt, K. Acc. Chem. Res. 2003, 36, 147.
- (96) Schumann, H.; Lauke, H.; Hahn, E.; Pickardt, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1984, 263, 29.
- (97) Schumann, H.; Müller, J.; Bruncks, N.; Lauke, H.; Pickardt, J. Organometallics 1984, 3, 69.
- Schumann, H.; Pickardt, J.; Bruncks, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. (98)1981, 20, 120.
- (99) Arndt, S.; Beckerle, K.; Zeimentz, P. M.; Spaniol, T. P.; Okuda, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 7473.
- (100) Kramer, M. U.; Robert, D.; Arndt, S.; Zeimentz, P. M.; Spaniol, T. P.; Yahia, A.; Maron, L.; Eisenstein, O.; Okuda, J. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 9265.
- (101) Jacob, K.; Thiele, K. H. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1986, 543, 192
- (102) Gerber, L. C. H.; Le Roux, E.; Törnroos, K. W.; Anwander, R. Chem.-Eur. J. 2008, 14, 9555.
- (103) Dietrich, H. M.; Meermann, C.; Törnroos, K. W.; Anwander, R. Organometallics 2006, 25, 4316.
- (104) Hajela, S.; Schaefer, W. P.; Bercaw, J. E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1997, 532, 45.
- (105) Arndt, S.; Spaniol, T. P.; Okuda, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 5075.
- (106) Arndt, S.; Beckerle, K.; Zeimentz, P. M.; Spaniol, T. P.; Okuda, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 7473.
- (107) Evans, W. J.; Johnston, M. A.; Greci, M. A.; Gummersheimer, T. S.; Ziller, J. W. Polyhedron 2003, 22, 119.
- (108) Arndt, S.; Elvidge, B. R.; Zeimentz, P. M.; Spaniol, T. P.; Okuda, J. Organometallics 2006, 25, 793.
- (109) Aillaud, I.; Lyubov, D.; Collin, J.; Guillot, R. g.; Hannedouche, J. r. m.; Schulz, E.; Trifonov, A. Organometallics 2008, 27, 5929.
- (110) Boncella, J. M.; Andersen, R. A. Organometallics 1985, 4, 205.
- (111) Klimpel, M. G.; Anwander, R.; Tafipolsky, M.; Scherer, W. Organometallics 2001, 20, 3983.
- (112) Schrems, M. G.; Dietrich, H. M.; Tornroos, K. W.; Anwander, R. Chem. Commun. 2005, 5922.
- (113) Sommerfeldt, H.-M.; Meermann, C.; Schrems, M. G.; Törnroos, K. W.; Frøystein, N. A.; Miller, R. J.; Scheidt, E.-W.; Scherer, W.; Anwander, R. Dalton Trans. 2008, 1899.
- (114) Fischbach, A.; Herdtweck, E.; Anwander, R.; Eickerling, G.; Scherer, W. Organometallics 2003, 22, 499.
- (115) Holton, J.; Lappert, M. F.; Ballard, D. G. H.; Pearce, R.; Atwood, J. L.; Hunter, W. E. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1979, 54.
- (116) Pauling, L. The Nature of the Chemical Bond, 3rd ed.; Cornell University Press: Ithaca, NY, 1960.
- (117) Allred, A. L. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1961, 17, 215.
- (118) Nakamura, H.; Nakayama, Y.; Yasuda, H.; Maruo, T.; Kanehisa, N.; Kai, Y. Organometallics 2000, 19, 5392.
- (119) Sommerfeldt, H.-M.; Meermann, C.; Törnroos, K. W.; Anwander, R. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 4696.
- (120) Fischbach, A. Dr. Thesis, Technische Universität München, Germany, 2003.
- (121) Evans, W. J.; Anwander, R.; Doedens, R. J.; Ziller, J. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1994, 33, 1641.
- (122) Evans, W. J.; Anwander, R.; Ziller, J. W. Organometallics 1995, 14, 1107
- (123) Evans, W. J.; Anwander, R.; Ziller, J. W.; Khan, S. I. Inorg. Chem. 1995, 34, 5927.

- (124) Klimpel, M. G.; Eppinger, J.; Sirsch, P.; Scherer, W.; Anwander, R. Organometallics 2002, 21, 4021.
- (125)Anwander, R.; Klimpel, M. G.; Dietrich, H. M.; Shorokhov, D. J.; Scherer, W. Chem. Commun. 2003, 1008.
- (126) Zimmermann, M.; Estler, F.; Herdtweck, E.; Törnroos, K. W.; Anwander, R. Organometallics 2007, 26, 6029.
- (127) Xiang, L.; Wang, Q.; Song, H.; Zi, G. Organometallics 2007, 26, 5323
- (128) Fischbach, A.; Klimpel, M. G.; Widenmeyer, M.; Herdtweck, E.; Scherer, W.; Anwander, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 2234.
 (129) Zimmermann, M.; Frøystein, N. Å.; Fischbach, A.; Sirsch, P.;
- Dietrich, H. M.; Törnroos, K. W.; Herdtweck, E.; Anwander, R. Chem.-Eur. J. 2007, 13, 8784.
- (130) Anwander, R.; Runte, O.; Eppinger, J.; Gerstberger, G.; Herdtweck, E.; Spiegler, M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1998, 847.
- (131) Fischbach, A.; Eickerling, G.; Scherer, W.; Herdtweck, E.; Anwander, R. Z. Naturforsch. B: Chem. Sci. 2004, 59, 1353.
- (132) Fischbach, A.; Perdih, F.; Sirsch, P.; Scherer, W.; Anwander, R. Organometallics 2002, 21, 4569.
- (133) Fischbach, A.; Perdih, F.; Herdtweck, E.; Anwander, R. Organometallics 2006, 25, 1626.
- (134) Fischbach, A.; Meermann, C.; Eickerling, G.; Scherer, W.; Anwander, R. Macromolecules 2006, 39, 6811.
- (135) Nagl, I.; Widenmeyer, M.; Herdtweck, E.; Raudaschl-Sieber, G.; Anwander, R. Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 2001, 44, 311.
- (136) Byers, J. J.; Pennington, W. T.; Robinson, G. H.; Hrncir, D. C. Polyhedron 1990, 9, 2205.
- (137) Hess, H.; Hinderer, A.; Steinhauser, S. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1970, 377.1.
- (138) McLaughlin, G. M.; Smith, J. D.; Sim, G. A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1972, 2197.
- (139) Klimpel, M. G. Dr. Thesis, Technische Universität München, Germany, 2001.
- (140) Zimmermann, M.; Törnroos, K. W.; Anwander, R. Organometallics 2006. 25. 3593.
- Holton, J.; Lappert, M. F.; Scollary, G. R.; Ballard, D. G. H.; Pearce, (141)R.; Atwood, J. L.; Hunter, W. E. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1976, 425.
- (142) Busch, M. A.; Harlow, R.; Watson, P. L. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1987, 140.15
- (143) Evans, W. J.; Kozimor, S. A.; Brady, J. C.; Davis, B. L.; Nyce, G. W.; Seibel, C. A.; Ziller, J. W.; Doedens, R. J. Organometallics 2005, 24, 2269.
- (144) Dietrich, H. M.; Zapilko, C.; Herdtweck, E.; Anwander, R. Organometallics 2005, 24, 5767.
- (145) Zimmermann, M.; Törnroos, K. W.; Anwander, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 775.
- (146) Zimmermann, M.; Törnroos, K. W.; Sitzmann, H.; Anwander, R. Chem.-Eur. J. 2008, 14, 7266.
- (147) Le Roux, E.; Nief, F.; Jaroschik, F.; Törnroos, K. W.; Anwander, R. Dalton Trans. 2007, 4866.
- (148) Robert, D.; Spaniol, T. P.; Okuda, J. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 2801.
- (149) Dietrich, H. M.; Schuster, O.; Törnroos, K. W.; Anwander, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 4858.
- (150) Zimmermann, M.; Törnroos, K. W.; Anwander, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 3126.
- (151) Zimmermann, M.; Takats, J.; Kiel, G.; Tornroos, K. W.; Anwander, R. Chem. Commun. 2008, 612.
- (152) Litlabø, R.; Zimmermann, M.; Saliu, K.; Takats, J.; Törnroos, K. W.; Anwander, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 9560.
- (153) Meermann, C.; Törnroos, K. W.; Nerdal, W.; Anwander, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 6508.
- (154) Bähr, G.; Müller, G. E. Chem. Ber. 1955, 88, 1765.
- (155)Schumann, H.; Hartmann, U.; Wassermann, W. Polyhedron 1990, 9, 353.
- (156) Dümichen, U.; Thiele, K.-H.; Gelbrich, T.; Sieler, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1995, 495, 71
- (157) Thiele, K. H.; Herbrich, T. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1995, 621, 2025.
- (158) Hofstee, H. K.; Boersma, J.; van der Meulen, J. D.; van der Kerk, G. J. M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 153, 245.
- (159) Langguth, E.; Van Thu, N.; Shakoor, A.; Jacob, K.; Thiele, K. H. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1983, 505, 127.
- (160) Langguth, E.; Thiele, K. H. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1985, 530, 69. (161) Shakoor, A.; Jacob, K.; Thiele, K. H. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1985,
- 521, 57 (162) Herbrich, T.; Thiele, K. H.; Thewalt, U. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1996,
- 622, 1609. (163) Wayda, A. L.; Evans, W. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 7119.
- (164) Noh, W.; Girolami, G. S. Polyhedron 2007, 26, 3865
- (165)
- Schumann, H.; Genthe, W.; Hahn, E.; Pickardt, J.; Schwarz, H.; Eckart, K. J. Organomet. Chem. 1986, 306, 215
- (166) Qian, C.; Ye, C.; Li, Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 1986, 302, 171.

- (167) Evans, W. J.; Drummond, D. K.; Hanusa, T. P.; Olofson, J. M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1989, 376, 311.
- (168) Evans, W. J.; Wayda, A. L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 202, C6.
- (169) Niemeyer, M. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2000, 626, 1027.
- (170) Sadow, A. D.; Tilley, T. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 7971.
- (171) Evans, W. J.; Champagne, T. M.; Ziller, J. W.; Kaltsoyannis, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 16178.
- (172) Collier, M. R.; Kingston, B. M.; Lappert, M. F.; Truelock, M. M. Brit. Patent 36021, 1969.
- (173) Schumann, H.; Freckmann, D. M. M.; Dechert, S. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2002, 628, 2422.
- (174) Atwood, J. L.; Hunter, W. E.; Rogers, R. D.; Holton, J.; McMeeking, J.; Pearce, R.; Lappert, M. F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1978, 140.
- (175) Schumann, H.; Müller, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 146, C5.
- (176) Hultzsch, K. C.; Voth, P.; Beckerle, K.; Spaniol, T. P.; Okuda, J. Organometallics 2000, 19, 228.
 (177) Organization of the second state of the second sta
- (177) Arndt, S.; Voth, P.; Spaniol, T. P.; Okuda, J. Organometallics 2000, 19, 4690.
- (178) Niemeyer, M. Acta Crystallogr. 2001, E57, m578.
- (179) Estler, F. Dr. Thesis, Technische Universität München, Germany, 2002.
- (180) Evans, W. J.; Brady, J. C.; Ziller, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 7711.
- (181) Schumann, H.; Müller, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 169, C1.
- (182) Lukesova, L.; Ward, B. D.; Bellemin-Laponnaz, S.; Wadepohl, H.; Gade, L. H. Dalton Trans. 2007, 920.
- (183) Rufanov, K. A.; Freckmann, D. M. M.; Kroth, H.-J.; Schutte, S.; Schumann, H. Z. Naturforsch. B: Chem. Sci. 2005, 60, 533.
- (184) Schumann, H.; Freckmann, D. M. M.; Schutte, S.; Dechert, S.; Hummert, M. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2007, 633, 888.
- (185) Vollershtein, E. L.; Yakovlev, V. A.; Tinyakova, E. I.; Dolgoplosk, B. A. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 1980, 250, 365.
- (186) Bambirra, S.; Bouwkamp, M. W.; Meetsma, A.; Hessen, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 9182.
- (187) Bambirra, S.; vanLeusen, D.; Tazelaar, C. G. J.; Meetsma, A.; Hessen, B. Organometallics 2007, 26, 1014.
- (188) Bambirra, S.; Meetsma, A.; Hessen, B.; Bruins, A. P. Organometallics 2006, 25, 3486.
- (189) Bambirra, S.; Perazzolo, F.; Boot, S. J.; Sciarone, T. J. J.; Meetsma, A.; Hessen, B. Organometallics 2008, 27, 704.
- (190) Wang, B.; Wang, D.; Cui, D.; Gao, W.; Tang, T.; Chen, X.; Jing, X. Organometallics 2007, 26, 3167.
- (191) Clark, D. L.; Gordon, J. C.; Huffman, J. C.; Watkin, J. G.; Zwick, B. D. Organometallics **1994**, *13*, 4266.
- (192) Evans, W. J.; Shreeve, J. L.; Broomhalldillard, R. N. R.; Ziller, J. W. J. Organomet. Chem. 1995, 501, 7.
- (193) Arndt, S.; Spaniol, T. P.; Okuda, J. Chem. Commun. 2002, 896.
- (194) Arndt, S.; Zeimentz, P. M.; Spaniol, T. P.; Okuda, J.; Honda, M.; Tatsumi, K. Dalton Trans. 2003, 3622.
- (195) Elvidge, B. R.; Arndt, S.; Zeimentz, P. M.; Spaniol, T. P.; Okuda, J. *Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, *44*, 6777.
- (196) Arndt, S.; Okuda, J. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2005, 347, 339.
- (197) Kramer, M. U.; Robert, D.; Nakajima, Y.; Englert, U.; Okuda, J. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 665.
- (198) Nakajima, Y.; Okuda, J. Organometallics 2007, 26, 1270.
- (199) Fang, X.; Deng, Y.; Xie, Q.; Moingeon, F. Organometallics 2008, 27, 2892.
- (200) Sulfab, Y.; Al-Shatti, N. J. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1984, 87, L23.
- (201) Margerum, D. W. Pure Appl. Chem. 1983, 55, 23.
- (202) Diddario, L. L.; Robinson, W. R.; Margerum, D. W. Inorg. Chem. 1983, 22, 1021.
- (203) Butovskii, M. V.; Tok, O. L.; Wagner, F. R.; Kempe, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6469.
- (204) Hultzsch, K. C.; Spaniol, T. P.; Okuda, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 227.
- (205) Cameron, T. M.; Gordon, J. C.; Scott, B. L. Organometallics 2004, 23, 2995.
- (206) Li, X.; Baldamus, J.; Hou, Z. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 962.
- (207) Cameron, T. M.; Gordon, J. C.; Scott, B. L.; Tumas, W. Chem. Commun. 2004, 1398.
- (208) Jantunen, K. C.; Scott, B. L.; Gordon, J. C.; Kiplinger, J. L. Organometallics 2007, 26, 2777.
- (209) Shima, T.; Hou, Z. Chem. Lett. 2008, 37, 298.
- (210) Tardif, O.; Nishiura, M.; Hou, Z. Organometallics 2003, 22, 1171.
- (211) Luo, Y.; Baldamus, J.; Hou, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 13910.
- (212) Hultzsch, K. C.; Voth, P.; Spaniol, T. P.; Okuda, J. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2003, 629, 1272.
- (213) Cui, D.; Nishiura, M.; Hou, Z. Macromolecules 2005, 38, 4089.
- (214) Li, X.; Hou, Z. Macromolecules 2005, 38, 6767.
- (215) Hou, Z.; Luo, Y.; Li, X. J. Organomet. Chem. 2006, 691, 3114.
- (216) Hitzbleck, J.; Beckerle, K.; Okuda, J.; Halbach, T.; Mülhaupt, R. Macromol. Symp. 2006, 236, 23.

- (217) Tritto, I.; Boggioni, L.; Ravasio, A.; Zampa, C.; Hitzbleck, J.; Okuda, J.; Bredeau, S.; Dubois, P. *Macromol. Symp.* **2007**, *260*, 114.
- (218) Ravasio, A.; Zampa, C.; Boggioni, L.; Tritto, I.; Hitzbleck, J.; Okuda, J. *Macromolecules* **2008**, *41*, 9565.
- (219) Cui, D.; Nishiura, M.; Tardif, O.; Hou, Z. Organometallics 2008, 27, 2428.
- (220) Zhang, H.; Luo, Y.; Hou, Z. Macromolecules 2008, 41, 1064.
- (221) Miao, W.; Li, S.; Zhang, H.; Cui, D.; Wang, Y.; Huang, B. J. Organomet. Chem. 2007, 692, 4828.
- (222) Zhang, L.; Luo, Y.; Hou, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 14562.
- (223) Arndt, S.; Spaniol, T. P.; Okuda, J. Organometallics 2003, 22, 775.
- (224) Hitzbleck, J.; Okuda, J. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2006, 632, 1947.
- (225) Hitzbleck, J.; Okuda, J. Organometallics 2007, 26, 3227.
- (226) Tardif, O.; Nishiura, M.; Hou, Z. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 10525.
- (227) Sun, J.; Berg, D. J.; Twamley, B. Organometallics 2008, 27, 683.
- (228) Wang, B.; Cui, D.; Lv, K. Macromolecules 2008, 41, 1983.
- (229) Downing, S. P.; Guadano, S. C.; Pugh, D.; Danopoulos, A. A.; Bellabarba, R. M.; Hanton, M.; Smith, D.; Tooze, R. P. Organometallics 2007, 26, 3762.
- (230) Canich, J. A. M.; Schaffer, T. D.; Christopher, J. N.; Squire, K. R. (Exxon) World Pat. WO0018808, 2000; Vol. 1.
- (231) Rufanov, K. A.; Petrov, A. R.; Kotov, V. V.; Laquai, F.; Sundermeyer, J. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 3805.
- (232) Panda, T. K.; Hrib, C.; Jones, P. G.; Jenter, J.; Roesky, P. W.; Tamm, M. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 4270.
- (233) Otero, A.; Fernandez-Baeza, J.; Antinolo, A.; Lara-Sanchez, A.; Martinez-Caballero, E.; Tejeda, J.; Sanchez-Barba, L. F.; Alonso-Moreno, C.; Lopez-Solera, I. *Organometallics* **2008**, *27*, 976.
- (234) Otero, A.; Fernandez-Baeza, J.; Lara-Sanchez, A. n.; Antinolo, A.; Tejeda, J.; Martinez-Caballero, E.; Marquez-Segovia, I.; Lopez-Solera, I.; Sanchez-Barba, L. F.; Alonso-Moreno, C. *Inorg. Chem.* 2008, 47, 4996.
- (235) Shapiro, P. J.; Bunel, E. E.; Schaefer, W. P.; Bercaw, J. E. Organometallics 1990, 9, 867.
- (236) Voth, P.; Spaniol, T. P.; Okuda, J. Organometallics 2003, 22, 3921.
- (237) Robert, D.; Trifonov, A. A.; Voth, P.; Okuda, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 2006, 691, 4393.
- (238) Trifonov, A. A.; Spaniol, T. P.; Okuda, J. Organometallics 2001, 20, 4869.
- (239) Nishiura, M.; Hou, Z.; Wakatsuki, Y.; Yamaki, T.; Miyamoto, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 1184.
- (240) Zhang, W. X.; Nishiura, M.; Hou, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 16788.
- (241) Zhang, W. X.; Nishiura, M.; Hou, Z. Chem.-Eur. J. 2007, 13, 4037.
- (242) Zhang, W.-X.; Nishiura, M.; Hou, Z. Synlett 2006, 2006, 1213.
- (243) Zhang, W.-X.; Nishiura, M.; Hou, Z. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 9700.
- (244) Zhang, W.-X.; Hou, Z. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 1720.
- (245) Arndt, S.; Spaniol, T. P.; Okuda, J. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2001**, 2001, 73.
- (246) Arndt, S.; Trifonov, A.; Spaniol, T. P.; Okuda, J.; Kitamura, M.; Takahashi, T. J. Organomet. Chem. 2002, 647, 158.
- (247) Mu, Y.; Piers, W. E.; MacQuarrie, D. C.; Zaworotko, M. J.; Young, V. G. Organometallics **1996**, *15*, 2720.
- (248) Spaniol, T. P.; Okuda, J.; Kitamura, M.; Takahashi, T. J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 684, 194.
- (249) Kirillov, E.; Toupet, L.; Lehmann, C. W.; Razavi, A.; Carpentier, J. F. Organometallics 2003, 22, 4467.
- (250) Lawrence, S. C.; Ward, B. D.; Dubberley, S. R.; Kozak, C. M.; Mountford, P. *Chem. Commun.* **2003**, 2880.
- (251) Tredget, C. S.; Lawrence, S. C.; Ward, B. D.; Howe, R. G.; Cowley, A. R.; Mountford, P. *Organometallics* **2005**, *24*, 3136.
- (252) Tredget, C. S.; Bonnet, F.; Cowley, A. R.; Mountford, P. Chem. Commun. 2005, 3301.
- (253) Ge, S.; Bambirra, S.; Meetsma, A.; Hessen, B. Chem. Commun. 2006, 3320.
- (254) Ward, B. D.; Bellemin-Laponnaz, S.; Gade, L. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 1668.
- (255) Tredget, C. S.; Clot, E.; Mountford, P. Organometallics 2008, 27, 3458.
- (256) Lukesova, L.; Ward, B. D.; Bellemin-Laponnaz, S.; Wadepohl, H.; Gade, L. H. Organometallics 2007, 26, 4652.
- (257) Bambirra, S.; Meetsma, A.; Hessen, B. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E: Struct. Rep. Online 2006, 62, m314.
- (258) Ge, S.; Meetsma, A.; Hessen, B. Organometallics 2008, 27, 5339.
- (259) Zeimentz, P. M.; Spaniol, T. P.; Okuda, J. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2006, 359, 4769.
- (260) Masuda, J. D.; Jantunen, K. C.; Scott, B. L.; Kiplinger, J. L. Organometallics 2008, 27, 1299.
- (261) Bambirra, S.; Leusen, D. v.; Meetsma, A.; Hessen, B.; Teuben, J. H. *Chem. Commun.* 2003, 522.
- (262) Bambirra, S.; Otten, E.; van Leusen, D.; Meetsma, A.; Hessen, B. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2006, 632, 1950.

Ln Complexes Containing Ln-C σ -Bonds

- (263) Kretschmer, W. P.; Meetsma, A.; Hessen, B.; Schmalz, T.; Qayyum, S.; Kempe, R. *Chem.-Eur. J.* 2006, *12*, 8969.
- (264) Cameron, T. M.; Gordon, J. C.; Michalczyk, R.; Scott, B. L. Chem. Commun. 2003, 2282.
- (265) Zimmermann, M.; Törnroos, K. W.; Waymouth, R. M.; Anwander, R. Organometallics 2008, 27, 4310.
- (266) Bambirra, S.; Leusen, D. v.; Meetsma, A.; Hessen, B.; Teuben, J. H. *Chem. Commun.* 2001, 637.
- (267) Bambirra, S.; Boot, S. J.; vanLeusen, D.; Meetsma, A.; Hessen, B. Organometallics 2004, 23, 1891.
- (268) Ge, S.; Meetsma, A.; Hessen, B. *Organometallics* **2007**, *26*, 5278. (269) Marinescu, S. C.; Agapie, T.; Day, M. W.; Bercaw, J. E. *Organo*-
- *metallics* **2007**, *26*, 1178. (270) Li, S.; Miao, W.; Tang, T.; Cui, D.; Chen, X.; Jing, X. J. Organomet.
- *Chem.* **2007**, *692*, 4943. (271) Yang, Y.; Liu, B.; Lv, K.; Gao, W.; Cui, D.; Chen, X.; Jing, X.
- Organometallics 2007, 26, 4575.
- (272) Zhang, L.; Suzuki, T.; Luo, Y.; Nishiura, M.; Hou, Z. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 1909.
- (273) Luo, Y.; Nishiura, M.; Hou, Z. J. Organomet. Chem. 2007, 692, 536.
- (274) Yang, Y.; Wang, Q.; Cui, D. J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 2008, 46, 5251.
- (275) Li, S.; Miao, W.; Tang, T.; Dong, W.; Zhang, X.; Cui, D. Organometallics 2008, 27, 718.
- (276) Wang, D.; Li, S.; Liu, X.; Gao, W.; Cui, D. Organometallics 2008, 27, 6531.
- (277) Yang, Y.; Li, S.; Cui, D.; Chen, X.; Jing, X. Organometallics 2007, 26, 671.
- (278) Liu, X.; Shang, X.; Tang, T.; Hu, N.; Pei, F.; Cui, D.; Chen, X.; Jing, X. Organometallics 2007, 26, 2747.
- (279) Shang, X.; Liu, X.; Cui, D. J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 2007, 45, 5662.
- (280) Miao, W.; Li, S.; Cui, D.; Huang, B. J. Organomet. Chem. 2007, 692, 3823.
- (281) Liu, B.; Cui, D.; Ma, J.; Chen, X.; Jing, X. Chem.-Eur. J. 2007, 13, 834.
- (282) Xu, X.; Xu, X.; Chen, Y.; Sun, J. Organometallics 2008, 27, 758.
- (283) Gao, W.; Cui, D.; Liu, X.; Zhang, Y.; Mu, Y. Organometallics **2008**, 27, 5889.
- (284) Lara-Sanchez, A.; Rodriguez, A.; Hughes, D. L.; Schormann, M.; Bochmann, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 2002, 663, 63.
- (285) Evans, W. J.; Brady, J. C.; Ziller, J. W. Inorg. Chem. **2002**, *41*, 3340. (286) Zhang, Z.; Cui, D.; Liu, X. J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.
- **2008**, *46*, 6810. (287) Bambirra, S.; Tsurugi, H.; Leusen, D. v.; Hessen, B. *Dalton Trans.*
- **2006**, 1157.
- (288) Ge, S.; Meetsma, A.; Hessen, B. Organometallics 2008, 27, 3131.
- (289) Lyubov, D. M.; Döring, C.; Fukin, G. K.; Cherkasov, A. V.; Shavyrin, A. S.; Kempe, R.; Trifonov, A. A. Organometallics 2008, 27, 2905.
- (290) Blackwell, J.; Lehr, C.; Sun, Y. M.; Piers, W. E.; PearceBatchilder, S. D.; Zaworotko, M. J.; Young, V. G. *Can. J. Chem.* **1997**, *75*, 702.
- (291) Cheng, J.; Saliu, K.; Kiel, G. Y.; Ferguson, M. J.; McDonald, R.; Takats, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4910.
- (292) Rabe, G. W.; Rheingold, A. L. Cambridge Structural Database 2007, CCDC REFCODE: VIFREI.
- (293) Jantunen, K. C.; Scott, B. L.; Hay, P. J.; Gordon, J. C.; Kiplinger, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 6322.
- (294) Masuda, J. D.; Jantunen, K. C.; Scott, B. L.; Kiplinger, J. L. Organometallics 2008, 27, 803.
- (295) Ohashi, M.; Konkol, M.; Del Rosal, I.; Poteau, R.; Maron, L.; Okuda, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 6920.
- (296) Tazelaar, C. G. J.; Bambirra, S.; vanLeusen, D.; Meetsma, A.; Hessen, B.; Teuben, J. H. Organometallics 2004, 23, 936.
- (297) Ge, S.; Norambuena, V. F. Q.; Hessen, B. Organometallics 2007, 26, 6508.
- (298) Howe, R. G.; Tredget, C. S.; Lawrence, S. C.; Subongkoj, S.; Cowley, A. R.; Mountford, P. Chem. Commun. 2006, 223.
- (299) Elvidge, B. R.; Arndt, S.; Spaniol, T. P.; Okuda, J. Dalton Trans. 2006, 890.
- (300) Lee, L.; Berg, D. J.; Einstein, F. W.; Batchelor, R. J. Organometallics 1997, 16, 1819.
- (301) Skinner, M. E. G.; Tyrrell, B. R.; Ward, B. D.; Mountford, P. J. Organomet. Chem. 2002, 647, 145.
- (302) Emslie, D. J. H.; Piers, W. E.; Parvez, M.; McDonald, R. Organometallics 2002, 21, 4226.
- (303) Emslie, D. J. H.; Piers, W. E.; Parvez, M. Dalton Trans. 2003, 2615.
- (304) Masuda, J. D.; Jantunen, K. C.; Ozerov, O. V.; Noonan, K. J. T.; Gates, D. P.; Scott, B. L.; Kiplinger, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 2408.
- (305) Liu, B.; Yang, Y.; Cui, D.; Tang, T.; Chen, X.; Jing, X. Dalton Trans. 2007, 4252.

- (306) Estler, F.; Eickerling, G.; Herdtweck, E.; Anwander, R. Organometallics 2003, 22, 1212.
- (307) Eppinger, J.; Nikolaides, K. R.; Zhang-Presse, M.; Riederer, F. A.; Rabe, G. W.; Rheingold, A. L. Organometallics 2008, 27, 736.
- (308) Meyer, N.; Zulys, A.; Roesky, P. W. Organometallics 2006, 25, 4179.
- (309) Cai, C.-X.; Toupet, L.; Lehmann, C. W.; Carpentier, J.-F. J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 683, 131.
- (310) Amgoune, A.; Thomas, C. M.; Roisnel, T.; Carpentier, J.-F. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2006**, *12*, 169.
- (311) Konkol, M.; Spaniol, T. P.; Kondracka, M.; Okuda, J. Dalton Trans. 2007, 4095.
- (312) Konkol, M.; Kondracka, M.; Voth, P.; Spaniol, T. P.; Okuda, J. Organometallics 2008, 27, 3774.
- (313) Wang, D.; Cui, D.; Miao, W.; Li, S.; Huang, B. *Dalton Trans.* 2007, 4576.
- (314) Liddle, S. T.; McMaster, J.; Green, J. C.; Arnold, P. L. Chem. Commun. 2008, 1747.
- (315) Sugiyama, H.; Gambarotta, S.; Yap, G. P. A.; Wilson, D. R.; Thiele, S. K. H. Organometallics 2004, 23, 5054.
- (316) Ward, B. D.; Dubberley, S. R.; Maisse-Francois, A.; Gade, L. H.; Mountford, P. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2002, 4649.
- (317) Liu, X.; Cui, D. Dalton Trans. 2008, 3747.
- (318) Lavanant, L.; Chou, T. Y.; Chi, Y.; Lehmann, C. W.; Toupet, L.; Carpentier, J. F. Organometallics 2004, 23, 5450.
- (319) Lee, L.; Berg, D. J.; Bushnell, G. W. Organometallics **1995**, *14*, 8.
- (320) Boyd, C. L.; Toupance, T.; Tyrrell, B. R.; Ward, B. D.; Wilson, C. R.; Cowley, A. R.; Mountford, P. *Organometallics* **2005**, *24*, 309.
- (321) Cai, C.-X.; Amgoune, A.; Lehmann, C. W.; Carpentier, J.-F. Chem. Commun. 2004, 330.
- (322) Emslie, D. J. H.; Piers, W. E.; MacDonald, R. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2002, 293.
- (323) Lauterwasser, F.; Hayes, P. G.; Brase, S.; Piers, W. E.; Schafer, L. L. Organometallics 2004, 23, 2234.
- (324) Hitchcock, P. B.; Holmes, S. A.; Lappert, M. F.; Tian, S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 2691.
- (325) Van den Hende, J. R.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Holmes, S. A.; Lappert, M. F.; Tian, S. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1995, 3933.
- (326) Hitchcock, P. B.; Khvostov, A. V.; Lappert, M. F. J. Organomet. Chem. 2002, 663, 263.
- (327) Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F.; Tian, S. J. Organomet. Chem. 1997, 549, 1.
- (328) Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F.; Tian, S. Dalton Trans. 1997, 1945.
- (329) Schaverien, C. J.; Orpen, A. G. Inorg. Chem. 1991, 30, 4968.
- (330) Avent, A. G.; Caro, C. F.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F.; Li, Z.; Wei, X.-H. Dalton Trans. 2004, 1567.
- (331) Guttenberger, C.; Amberger, H. D. J. Organomet. Chem. **1997**, 545– 546, 601.
- (332) Reddmann, H.; Guttenberger, C.; Amberger, H.-D. J. Organomet. Chem. 2000, 602, 65.
- (333) Perrin, L.; Maron, L.; Eisenstein, O.; Lappert, M. F. New J. Chem. 2003, 27, 121.
- (334) Clark, D. L.; Gordon, J. C.; Hay, P. J.; Martin, R. L.; Poli, R. Organometallics 2002, 21, 5000.
- (335) Schaverien, C. J.; Nesbitt, G. J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1992, 157.
- (336) Atwood, J. L.; Lappert, M. F.; Smith, R. G.; Zhang, H. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1988, 1308.
- (337) Westerhausen, M.; Hartmann, M.; Pfitzner, A.; Schwarz, W. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1995, 621, 837.
- (338) Schaverien, C. J.; Van Mechelen, J. B. Organometallics **1991**, *10*, 1704.
- (339) Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F.; Smith, R. G. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 369.
- (340) Booij, M.; Kiers, N. H.; Heeres, H. J.; Teuben, J. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1989, 364, 79.
- (341) Müller, T. E.; Hultzsch, K. C.; Yus, M.; Foubelo, F.; Tada, M. Chem. *Rev.* 2008, 108, 3795.
- (342) Douglass, M. R.; Stern, C. L.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 10221.
- (343) Tian, S.; Arredondo, V. M.; Stern, C. L.; Marks, T. J. Organometallics 1999, 18, 2568.
- (344) Ryu, J.-S.; Marks, T. J.; McDonald, F. E. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3091.
- (345) Ryu, J.-S.; Marks, T. J.; McDonald, F. E. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 1038.
- (346) Gendron, R. A. L.; Berg, D. J.; Shao, P.; Barclay, T. Organometallics 2001, 20, 4279.
- (347) Schaverien, C. J.; Meijboom, N.; Orpen, A. G. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1992, 124.
- (348) Gribkov, D. V.; Hampel, F.; Hultzsch, K. C. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 4091.
- (349) Hong, S.; Tian, S.; Metz, M. V.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 14768.

- (350) Metz, M. V.; Sun, Y.; Stern, C. L.; Marks, T. J. Organometallics 2002, 21, 3691.
- (351) Westerhausen, M.; Hartmann, M.; Schwarz, W. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1998, 269, 91.
- (352) Kawaoka, A. M.; Douglass, M. R.; Marks, T. J. Organometallics 2003, 22, 4630.
- (353) Izod, K.; Liddle, S. T.; McFarlane, W.; Clegg, W. Organometallics 2004, 23, 2734.
- (354) Eaborn, C.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Izod, K.; Lu, Z. R.; Smith, J. D. Organometallics 1996, 15, 4783.
- (355) Qi, G.; Nitto, Y.; Saiki, A.; Tomohiro, T.; Nakayama, Y.; Yasuda, H. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 10409.
- (356) Schaverien, C. J. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1994, 36, 283.
- (357) Andersen, R. A.; Boncella, J. M.; Burns, C. J.; Blom, R.; Haaland, A.; Volden, H. V. J. Organomet. Chem. 1986, 312, C49.
- (358) Andersen, R. A.; Blom, R.; Boncella, J. M.; Burns, C. J.; Volden, H. V. Acta Chem. Scand. 1987, A41, 24.
- (359) Evans, W. J.; Hughes, L. A.; Hanusa, T. P. Organometallics 1986, 5, 1285.
- (360) DeKock, R. L.; Peterson, M. A.; Timmer, L. K.; Baerends, E. J.; Vernooijs, P. Polyhedron 1990, 9, 1919.
- (361) Kaupp, M.; Schleyer, P. v. R.; Dolg, M.; Stoll, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 8202.
- (362) Hollis, T. K.; Burdett, J. K.; Bosnich, B. Organometallics 1993, 12, 3385
- (363) Al-Juaid, S. S.; Eaborn, C.; Hitchcock, P. B.; McGeary, C. A.; Smith, J. D. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 273.
- (364) Al-Juaid, S. S.; Eaborn, C.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Izod, K.; Mallien, M.; Smith, J. D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1994, 1994, 1268
- (365) Clegg, W.; Eaborn, C.; Izod, K.; O'Shaughnessy, P.; Smith, J. D. Angew. Chem. 1997, 109, 2925.
- (366) Yasuda, H. J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 2001, 39, 1955.
- (367) Yasuda, H. J. Organomet. Chem. 2002, 647, 128.
- (368) Eaborn, C.; Hill, M. S.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Smith, J. D.; Zhang, S.; Ganicz, T. Organometallics 1999, 18, 2342.
- (369) Bowman, L. J.; Izod, K.; Clegg, W.; Harrington, R. W. Organometallics 2007, 26, 2646.
- (370) Schumann, H.; Freckmann, D. M. M.; Dechert, S. Organometallics 2006, 25, 2696.
- Chigir, N. N.; Guzman, I. S.; Sharaev, O. K.; Tinyakova, E. I.; Dolgoplosk, B. A. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 1982, 263, 375.
- (372) Guzman, I. S.; Chigir, N. N.; Sharaev, O. K.; Bondarenko, G. N.; Tinyakova, E. I.; Dolgoplosk, B. A. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 1979, 249, 860.
- (373) Thiele, K. H.; Unverhau, K.; Geitner, M.; Jacob, K. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1987, 548, 175.
- (374) Bambirra, S.; Meetsma, A.; Hessen, B. Organometallics 2006, 25, 3454
- (375) Döring, C.; Kempe, R. Z. Kristallogr. NCS 2008, 223, 397.
- (376) Ge, S.; Meetsma, A.; Hessen, B. Organometallics 2009, 28, 719.
- (377) Harder, S.; Ruspic, C.; Bhriain, N. N.; Berkermann, F.; Schürmann, M. Z. Naturforsch. B: Chem. Sci. 2008, 63b, 267.
- (378) Meyer, N.; Roesky, P. W.; Bambirra, S.; Meetsma, A.; Hessen, B.; Saliu, K.; Takats, J. Organometallics 2008, 27, 1501.
- (379) Carver, C. T.; Monreal, M. J.; Diaconescu, P. L. Organometallics 2008, 27, 363.
- (380) Bambirra, S.; Meetsma, A.; Hessen, B. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E: Struct. Rep. Online 2007, 63, m2891.
- (381) Harder, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 2714.
- (382) Izod, K.; Clegg, W.; Liddle, S. T. Organometallics 2000, 19, 3640. (383) Fedushkin, I. L.; Petrovskaya, T. V.; Bochkarev, M. N.; Dechert,
- S.; Schumann, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 2474. (384) Weber, F.; Schultz, M.; Sofield, C. D.; Andersen, R. A. Organome-
- tallics 2002, 21, 3139.
- (385) Harder, S.; Feil, F.; Knoll, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 4261.
- (386) Manzer, L. E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 135, C6.
- (387) Manzer, L. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 8068. (388) Harder, S. Organometallics 2005, 24, 373.
- (389) Zhang, W.-X.; Nishiura, M.; Mashiko, T.; Hou, Z. Chem.-Eur. J. 2008, 14, 2167.
- (390) Li, X.; Nishiura, M.; Mori, K.; Mashiko, T.; Hou, Z. Chem. Commun. 2007, 4137.
- (391) Jaroschik, F.; Shima, T.; Li, X.; Mori, K.; Ricard, L.; Le Goff, X.-F.; Nief, F.; Hou, Z. Organometallics 2007, 26, 5654.
- (392) Nishiura, M.; Mashiko, T.; Hou, Z. Chem. Commun. 2008, 2019.
- (393) Zhang, L.; Nishiura, M.; Yuki, M.; Luo, Y.; Hou, Z. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 2642.
- (394) Deacon, G. B.; Koplick, A. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 146, C43.
- (395) Deacon, G. B.; Koplick, A. J.; Raverty, W. D.; Vince, D. G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 182, 121.
- (396) Deacon, G. B.; Vince, D. G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1976, 112, C1.
- (397) Deacon, G. B.; Raverty, W. D.; Vince, D. G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 135, 103.

(398) Suleimanov, G. Z.; Khandozhko, R. N.; Mekhdiev, R. Y.; Petrovsky,

Zimmermann and Anwander

- P. V.; Agdamsky, T. A.; Kolobova, N. E.; Beletskaya, I. P. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 1985, 284, 1376.
- (399) Starostina, T. A.; Shifrina, R. R.; Rybakova, L. F.; Petrov, E. S. J. Gen. Chem. USSR 1987, 57, 2148.
- (400) Organoderivatives of the Rare Earth Elements; Bochkarev, M. N., Zakharov, L. N., Kalinina, G. S., Eds.; Kluwer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1995.
- (401) Deacon, G. B.; Forsyth, C. M. Organometallics 2003, 22, 1349.
- (402) Forsyth, C. M.; Deacon, G. B. Organometallics 2000, 19, 1205.
- (403) Heckmann, G.; Niemeyer, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 4227.
- (404) Deacon, G. B.; Forsyth, C. M. Chem.-Eur. J. 2004, 10, 1798.
- (405) Fraser, R. R.; Mansour, T. S.; Savard, S. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 3232
- (406) Deacon, G. B.; Forsyth, C. M. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1988, 154, 121.
- (407) Deacon, G. B.; Forsyth, C. M.; Gatehouse, B. M.; White, A. H. Aust. J. Chem. 1990, 43, 795
- (408) Deacon, G. B.; Newnham, R. H. Aust. J. Chem. 1985, 38, 1757.
- (409) Deacon, G. B.; Dietrich, A.; Forsyth, C. M.; Schumann, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1989, 28, 1370.
- (410) Deacon, G. B.; Forsyth, C. M.; Newnham, R. H.; Tuong, T. D. Aust. J. Chem. 1987, 40, 895
- (411) Deacon, G. B.; Forsyth, C. M.; Newham, R. H. Polyhedron 1987, 6, 1143.
- (412) Deacon, G. B.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Holmes, S. A.; Lappert, M. F.; MacKinnon, P.; Newnham, R. H. J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun. 1989, 935
- (413) Deacon, G. B.; Feng, T.; MacKinnon, P.; Newnham, R. H.; Nickel, S.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H. Aust. J. Chem. 1993, 46, 387.
- (414) Deacon, G. B.; Fallon, G. D.; Forsyth, C. M.; Harris, S. C.; Junk, P. C.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H. Dalton Trans. 2006, 802.
- (415) Deacon, G. B.; Fanwick, P. E.; Gitlits, A.; Rothwell, I. P.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 1505.
- (416) Deacon, G. B.; Feng, T.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H. Aust. J. Chem. 1995, 48, 741.
- (417) Deacon, G. B.; Fallon, G. D.; Forsyth, C. M.; Schumann, H.; Weimann, R. Chem. Ber. 1997, 130, 409.
- (418) Hauber, S.-O.; Niemeyer, M. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 44, 8644.
- Qayyum, S.; Haberland, K.; Forsyth, C. M.; Junk, P. C.; Deacon, (419)G. B.; Kempe, R. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 557.
- (420) Deacon, G. B.; Delbridge, E. E.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 2251.
- (421) Deacon, G. B.; Delbridge, E. E.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 1999, 751.
- (422) Deacon, G. B.; Delbridge, E. E.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 543.
- (423) Deacon, G. B.; MacKinnon, P. I.; Tuong, T. D. Aust. J. Chem. 1983, 36, 43.
- (424) Deacon, G. B.; Tuong, T. D. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 205, C4.
- (425) Kirillov, E. N.; Fedorova, E. A.; Trifonov, A. A.; Bochkarev, M. N. Appl. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 15, 151.
- (426) Putzer, M. A.; Rogers, J. S.; Bazan, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 8112.
- (427) Zeimentz, P. M.; Okuda, J. Organometallics 2007, 26, 6388
- (428) Ihara, E.; Adachi, Y.; Yasuda, H.; Hashimoto, H.; Kanehisa, N.; Kai, Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 1998, 569, 147.
- (429) Bochkarev, L. N.; Stepantseva, T. A.; Zakharov, L. N.; Fukin, G. K.; Yanovsky, A. I.; Struchkov, Y. T. Organometallics 1995, 14, 2127.
- (430) Bochkarev, L. N.; Zheleznova, T. A.; Safronova, A. V.; Drozdov, M. S.; Zhil'tsov, S. F.; Zakharov, L. N.; Fukin, G. K.; Khorshev, S. Y. Russ. Chem. Bull. 1998, 47, 165.
- (431) Putzer, M. A.; Bartholomew, G. P. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1999, 625, 1777
- (432) Wayda, A. L.; Atwood, J. L.; Hunter, W. E. Organometallics 1984, 3. 939.
- (433) Wayda, A. L.; Rogers, R. D. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1440.
- (434) Deng, X.; Yuan, M.; Xiong, C.; Li, X. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 1999, 73, 1401.
- (435) Deacon, G. B.; Nickel, S.; MacKinnon, P.; Tiekink, E. R. T. Aust. J. Chem. 1990, 43, 1245.
- (436) Deacon, G. B.; Gatehouse, B. M.; Shen, Q.; Ward, G. N.; Thiekink, E. R. T. Polyhedron 1993, 12, 1289.
- (437) Deacon, G. B.; Feng, T.; Junk, P. C.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H. Chem. Ber. 1997, 130, 851.
- (438) Deacon, G. B.; Gatehouse, B. M.; Nickel, S.; Platts, S. N. Aust. J. Chem. 1991, 44, 613.
- (439) Cosgriff, J. E.; Deacon, G. B.; Gatehouse, B. M.; Hemling, H.; Schumann, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 874.
- (440) Cosgriff, J. E.; Deacon, G. B.; Gatehouse, B. M. Aust. J. Chem. 1993, 46, 1881.
- (441)Cosgriff, J. E.; Deacon, G. B.; Gatehouse, B. M.; Hemling, H.; Schumann, H. Aust. J. Chem. 1994, 47, 1223.

- (442) Cosgriff, J. E.; Deacon, G. B.; Gatehouse, B. M.; Lee, P. R.; Schumann, H. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. **1996**, 622, 1399.
- (443) Cosgriff, J. E.; Deacon, G. B.; Fallon, G. D.; Gatehouse, B. M.; Schumann, H.; Weimann, R. Chem. Ber. 1996, 129, 953.
- (444) Deacon, G. B.; Forsyth, C. M.; Scott, N. M. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2000, 2501.
- (445) Cole, M. L.; Deacon, G. B.; Junk, P. C.; Konstas, K. Chem. Commun. 2005, 1581.
- (446) Cole, M. L.; Deacon, G. B.; Forsyth, C. M.; Junk, P. C.; Konstas, K.; Wang, J. Chem.-Eur. J. 2007, 13, 8092.
- (447) Zuyls, A.; Roesky, P. W.; Deacon, G. B.; Konstas, K.; Junk, P. C. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2008**, 693.
- (448) Booij, M.; Klers, N. H.; Meetsma, A.; Teuben, J. H. Organometallics 1989, 8, 2454.
- (449) Hultzsch, K. C.; Hampel, F.; Wagner, T. Organometallics 2004, 23, 2601.
- (450) Gribkov, D. V.; Hultzsch, K. C. Chem. Commun. 2004, 730.
- (451) Gribkov, D. V.; Hultzsch, K. C.; Hampel, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 3748.
- (452) Rufanov, K. A.; Muller, B. H.; Spannenberg, A.; Rosenthal, U. New J. Chem. 2006, 30, 29.
- (453) Bochkarev, M. N.; Trifonov, A. A.; Fedorova, E. A.; Emelyanova, N. S.; Basalgina, T. A.; Kalinina, G. S.; Razuvaev, G. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1989, 372, 217.
- (454) Bochkarev, M. N.; Khramenkov, V. V.; Rad'kov, Y. F.; Zakharov, L. N.; Struchkov, Y. T. J. Organomet. Chem. 1992, 429, 27.
- (455) Deacon, G. B.; Koplick, A. J.; Tuong, T. D. Aust. J. Chem. 1982, 35, 941.
- (456) Murphy, E.; Toogood, G. E. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett. 1971, 7, 755.
- (457) Evans, W. J.; Engerer, S. C.; Coleson, K. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 6672.

- (458) Gailiunas, G.; Biktimirov, R. K.; Nurtdinova, G. V.; Monakov, Y. B.; Tolstikov, G. A. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim.* **1984**, *6*, 1435.
- (459) Forsyth, C. M.; Deacon, G. B.; Field, L. D.; Jones, C.; Junk, P. C.; Kay, D. L.; Masters, A. F.; Richards, A. F. *Chem. Commun.* 2006, 1003.
- (460) Zhiltsov, S. F.; Shustov, S. B.; Shustova, L. V. Metalloorgan. Khim. **1992**, *5*, 729.
- (461) Utimoto, K.; Nakamura, A.; Matsubara, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 8189.
- (462) Kunishima, M.; Tanaka, S.; Kono, K.; Hioki, K.; Tani, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 3707.
- (463) Kunishima, M.; Nakata, D.; Tanaka, S.; Hioki, K.; Tani, S. *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 9927.
- (464) Bochkarev, L. N.; Druzhkova, O. N.; Zhiltsov, S. F.; Zakharov, L. N.; Fukin, G. K.; Khorshev, S. Y.; Yanovsky, A. I.; Struchkov, Y. T. Organometallics 1997, 16, 500.
- (465) Shustov, S. B.; Bochkarev, L. N.; Zhiltsov, S. F. Metalloorgan. Khim. 1990, 3, 624.
- (466) Bochkarev, L. N.; Shustov, S. B.; Guseva, T. V.; Zhiltsov, S. F. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1988, 58, 923.
- (467) Druzhkova, O. N.; Pimanova, N. A.; Bochkarev, L. N. Russ. J. Gen. Chem. 1999, 69, 1724.
- (468) Bochkarev, M. N.; Katkova, M. A.; Khorshev, S. Y.; Makarenko, N. P. *Russ. Chem. Bull.* **1998**, 47, 349.
- (469) Karl, M.; Seybert, G.; Massa, W.; Harms, K.; Agarwal, S.; Maleika, R.; Stelter, W.; Greiner, A.; Heitz, W.; Neumüller, B.; Dehnicke, K. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. **1999**, 625, 1301.
- (470) Lehmkuhl, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1964, 3, 107.

CR1001194